Report on test step L2M problems - v106

Nr. of error report	Test step name	Version	Problem	Comment from MCC Task 160	Done date
010006					
	po_ConnectionAndSS_Release	**v1.0.6**	Why all branches in postamble po_ConnectionAndSS_Release didn't release the RB entity tsc_RB_BCCH? Does it because the RB entity tsc_RB_BCCH has same configuration in all test cases, so didn't need to be released? I think all the channels configured in ts_CreateCell_DCH should be released for all cases. But it seems not this way here.	Accepted - v110	05/12/2001
	ts_InitVariables	**v1.0.6**	The default value of tCell of default Cell A~F are initialized to px_TCellA~ px_TCellF in ts_InitVariables. The default values of px_TCellA~ px_TCellF defined in the Test Suite Parameter Declarations part are 0, 512,1536, 321, 321, 6577 accordingly, but the default tCell values 321 and 6577for px_TCellD~ px_TCellF are not in resolution of 256 chips, so these values are incorrect. Besides, in 34.123-3 v1.0.5 Annex B. (i.e. PIXIT), there are only default values for px_TcellB and px_TcellC,and both are set	Accepted - v110	05/12/2001
	ts_InitVariables	**v1.0.6**	In ts_InitVariables, the primary scrambling code of cell A, B~F have already been set to 100, 110~150 by px_PriScrmCodeA, B~F in ATS. But in ts_CellConfig line 22 reset it according to the creation sequence of a new cell, i.e. the secondary created cell's primary scrambling code should be its default value plus 50. What's the rationale of this reset action? It seems to me is a redundant action.	Accepted - v110 - The deletion was done in ts_CellConfig	05/12/2001
	ts_InitVariables	**v1.0.6**	According to Table 11 in 25.211 and 25.331 section 10.3.6.18, there are three possible Npilot values 2, 4, 8 when SF=256. Therefore Line 47 in ts_InitVariables [(px_SF_PilotCode = 256) AND ((px_SF_PilotSize = pb4) OR (px_SF_PilotSize= pb8))] should be modified to [(px_SF_PilotCode = 256) AND ((px_SF_PilotSize = pb2) OR (px_SF_PilotSize = pb4) OR (px_SF_PilotSize= pb8))]. Besides, the type of px_SF_Pilot_Size should be modified from PilotBits128 to PilotBits256.	Accepted - v110	05/12/2001

Nr. of error report	Test step name	Version	Problem	Comment from MCC Task 160	Done date
	ts_RRC_ConnEst	**v1.0.6**	Line 7 states: "AM ? RLC_AM_DATA_IND (tcv_HFN := RLC_AM_DATA_IND.aM_message.uL_DCCH_Message.message.rrcConnectionSetupComplete.startList.[0].start_Value)" Does this line imply CS domain start value must be presented in startList[0], i.e. CS domain start value must be the first element of startlist? Because if not in this position, the HFN in SS and UE will become inconsistent. But in 25.331 didn't expose this restriction, the CS domain start value could be any position in startlist.	Accepted - v110	05/12/2001
010007					
	ts_CheckUE_Idle	**v1.0.6**	Row 7: It would be better to set "waitTime" to be '0' instead of tcv_Wait15s. This brings the UE back to idle mode immediately	Accepted - v110	06/12/2001
	ts_GMM_ServiceRequest	**v1.0.6**	States in Comments header field that it is only used in MT calls which is wrong. Could also be used in MO PS Session Setup.	Accepted - v110	06/12/2001
	ts_SendSysInfoWithSpecialSIB1	**v1.0.6**	For SIB 2-4, rows 7-9, tcv's are used while in the rest of the SIB sendings constraints are used. Should be either of them in all places to have the same look and prevent confusion	Accepted - v110 - tcv_SIB2 has been removed. Tcv_SIB3 and tcv_SIB4 are needed to contain either UTRAN_GERAN or UTRAN only default values (respectively cb_SIB3_DefUTRAN or cb_SIB3_DefUTRAN_GERAN)	06/12/2001
	ts_SS_BCH_SCH_CPICH_Cfg	**v1.0.6**	ca_pCCCPCH_Info : typing error - one ´C´ too many in channel name	Accepted - v110	06/12/2001

This report contains:

Number of problems: 9

Completed problems: 9