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**Attachments:**

**1. Overall Description:**

SA3 thanks SA2 for the LS S2-2006011 on System support for Multi-USIM devices. SA3 discussed the issues raised in the LS and concluded that there are no major blocking issues. SA3 have initiated a study of the security issues involved and will inform other WGs know when conclusions are reached. For the questions raised in the LS, please find below brief initial answers.

*Q1: Please confirm whether exposing the Paging Cause in cleartext poses any privacy/security issues.*

SA3 answer: SA3 could not reach consensus on whether sending the paging cause in cleartext is a privacy issue. However, SA3 is confident that such a solution, if needed, can be designed.

*Q2: Please confirm whether from security perspective you see any blocking issues for the principle of Push Notification from a Paging Server and provide feedback on the security aspects of the communication between UE and Paging Server.*

SA3 answer: Security of the communication between the UE and the Paging server and exposure of the paging server IP address are to be studied by SA3.

*Q3: Please confirm whether from security perspective you see any blocking issues for the principle of Push Notification via SMS and please provide feedback on the use of the USIM credential to validate the SMS carrying the Push Notification and any privacy issue due to exposing MSISDN to other operator.*

SA3 answer: Similar to the above case, Push Notification via SMS is to be studied by SA3. In addition, SA3 would like to have a clarification of the validation scenario using USIM credential. Is the UE while connected to PLMN-A (and using USIM-A), expected to validate the SMS payload from PLMN-B (using the USIM-B)?

*Q4: Please confirm whether from security perspective you see any blocking issues in this solution: The registration request message of UE-2 includes the GUTI of UE-1. The UE-1 and UE-2 are part of same MUSIM UE.*

SA3 answer: If there is user consent for such close association of the two USIMs, such optimizations may be possible, but need a deeper analysis.

**2. Actions:**

**To SA2 group.**

**ACTION:** SA3 requests SA2 to take the above answers in to consideration. Also please clarify the USIM validation scenario in Q3.

**3. Date of Next TSG-SA WG3 Meetings:**

SA3#101e 9 - 20 November 2020 e-meeting

SA3#101bis-e TBD 2021 e-meeting