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## 6.2 On-network functional model description

Figure 6.2-1 illustrates the generic on-network functional model for SEAL.



Figure 6.2-1: Generic on-network functional model

In the vertical application layer, the VAL client communicates with the VAL server over VAL-UU reference point. VAL-UU supports both unicast and multicast delivery modes.

NOTE 1: The VAL-UU reference point is out of scope of the present document.

The SEAL functional entities on the UE and the server are grouped into SEAL client(s) and SEAL server(s) respectively. The SEAL consists of a common set of services (e.g. group management, location management) and reference points. The SEAL offers its services to the vertical application layer (VAL).

NOTE 2: The functionalities and reference points of the vertical application layer are out of scope of the present document.

NOTE 3: The vertical application layer may further consist of vertical application enabler layer functionalities (specified by 3GPP) and application specific functionalities, which is out of scope of the present document.

The SEAL client(s) communicates with the SEAL server(s) over the SEAL-UU reference points. SEAL-UU supports both unicast and multicast delivery modes. The SEAL client(s) provides the service enabler layer support functions to the VAL client(s) over SEAL-C reference points. The VAL server(s) communicate with the SEAL server(s) over the SEAL-S reference points. The SEAL server(s) may communicate with the underlying 3GPP network systems using the respective 3GPP interfaces specified by the 3GPP network system.

Editor's Note: SEAL-UU support for multicast delivery is FFS.

The specific SEAL client(s) and the SEAL server(s) along with their specific SEAL-UU reference points and the specific network interfaces of 3GPP network system used are described in the respective on-network functional model for each SEAL service.

Figure 6.2-2 illustrates the functional model for interconnection between SEAL servers.



Figure 6.2-2: Interconnection between SEAL servers

To support distributed SEAL server deployments, the SEAL server interacts with another SEAL server for the same SEAL service over SEAL-E reference point.

Figure 6.2-3 illustrates the functional model for inter-service communication between SEAL servers.



Figure 6.2-3: Inter-service communication between SEAL servers

The SEAL server interacts with another SEAL server for inter-service communication over SEAL-X reference point.

Figure 6.2-4 illustrates the functional model for communication between SEAL server and VAL user database.



Figure 6.2-4: Communication between SEAL server and VAL user database

The SEAL server interacts with the VAL user database for storing and retrieving user profile over VAL-UDB reference point.

Figure 6.2-5 shows the functional model for the signalling control plane.



Figure 6.2-5: Functional model for signalling control plane

NOTE: The Light-weight Protocol (LWP) functional entities and reference points are a generic representation of protocol entities and reference points for use in constrained environments. Realizations of LWP by means of a particular transport protocol are defined in the annex of this specification.
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#### 6.4.3.X LWP entities

##### 6.4.3.X.1 LWP client

This functional entity acts as the light-weight protocol client for all transactions of the SEAL client executing in a constrained UE. A SEAL client executing in an unconstrained UE may choose to use the LWP client if it is available.

##### 6.4.3.X.2 LWP proxy

This functional entity acts as a proxy for transactions between the LWP client and one or more LWP servers. The LWP proxy typically terminates a secure transport protocol (e.g. DTLS, TLS or secure WebSocket) session on LWP-1 reference point with the LWP client of the VAL UE allowing the LWP client to establish a single secure session for transactions with multiple LWP servers that are reachable by the LWP proxy.

The LWP proxy can act as a cross-protocol LWP-HTTP proxy to enable LWP clients to access resources on HTTP servers via the LWP-HTTP-2 reference point.

The LWP proxy terminates LWP-3 reference point that lies between different LWP proxies. It may provide a topology hiding function from LWP entities outside the trust domain of the VAL system.

The LWP proxy can also terminate LWP-HTTP-3 reference point for interworking with another HTTP proxy. In this role it provides cross-protocol mapping and may provide a topology hiding function from HTTP entities outside the trust domain of the VAL system.

The LWP proxy shall be in the same trust domain as the LWP clients and LWP servers that are located within a VAL service provider's network. There can be multiple instances of a LWP proxy e.g. one per trust domain.

##### 6.4.3.X.3 LWP server

This functional entity acts as the LWP server for all LWP transactions of the SEAL server.

NOTE: A SEAL client can act as LWP server for certain transactions as required by the SEAL service.

#### 6.4.3.Y LWP usage

LWP is a generic representation of a light-weight protocol for use in constrained environments. Realizations of the light-weight protocol (LWP) functional entities and reference points to a particular protocol are defined in the annexes of this specification.

LWP is a representation of a protocol to be used by the SEAL service enablers on their respective SEAL-UU reference points when the SEAL client is executing in a constrained UE. In this case the SEAL client should use the LWP-1 reference point with the LWP proxy and should use either the LWP-2 or the LWP-HTTP-2 reference point for transport and routing of the related signalling with the SEAL server.

Editor’s note: Which procedures of a SEAL service enabler are not necessary to be supported for a constrained UE is FFS.

A SEAL client executing in a non-constrained UE may choose to use the LWP-1 reference point with the LWP proxy and may use either the LWP-2 or the LWP-HTTP-2 reference point for transport and routing of the related signalling with the SEAL server.

LWP may be used for interactions between SEAL servers on their respective SEAL-E reference points. For this usage the SEAL-E reference point shall use the LWP-1 and either the LWP-2 or the LWP-3 reference point depending on the trust relationship between the interacting SEAL servers.

\* \* \* Next Change \* \* \* \*

#### 6.5.3.X1 Reference point LWP-1 (between the LWP client and the LWP proxy)

The LWP-1 reference point exists between the LWP client and the LWP proxy.

#### 6.5.3.X2 Reference point LWP-2 (between the LWP proxy and the LWP server)

The LWP-2 reference point exists between the LWP proxy and the LWP server.

#### 6.5.3.X3 Reference point LWP-3 (between the LWP proxy and LWP proxy)

The LWP-3 reference point exists between the LWP proxy and another LWP proxy in a different network.

#### 6.5.3.X4 Reference point LWP-HTTP-2 (between the LWP proxy and the HTTP server)

The LWP-HTTP-2 reference point exists between the LWP proxy and the HTTP server. HTTP-2 and LWP-HTTP-2 reference points are equivalent.

#### 6.5.3.X5 Reference point LWP-HTTP-3 (between the LWP proxy and the HTTP proxy)

The LWP-HTTP-3 reference point exists between the LWP proxy and another HTTP proxy in a different network. HTTP-3 and LWP-HTTP-3 reference points are equivalent.

\* \* \* Next Change \* \* \* \*

Annex X (normative):
Protocol realizations of LWP in the signalling control plane

This annex specifies protocol realizations of the light-weight protocol in the signalling control plane.

# X.1 Usage of CoAP as LWP

This clause specifies how the CoAP protocol shall be used to realize the generic light-weight protocol in the signalling control plane.

The Constrained Application Protocol (CoAP) is a light-weight protocol defined by IETF in RFC 7252 [refX] and designed specifically for application layer communication for constrained devices. CoAP provides a request/response interaction model between application endpoints, supports built-in discovery of services and resources, and includes key concepts of the Web such as URIs and Internet media types. CoAP is designed to easily interface with HTTP for integration with the Web while meeting specialized requirements such as multicast support, very low overhead, and simplicity for constrained environments. RFC 7252 [refX] specifies bindings to UDP and DTLS. IETF RFC 8323 [refY] specifies bindings to TCP, WebSocket and TLS.

Figure X.2-1 illustrates the functional model for the LWP signalling control plane when CoAP is used as the LWP.



Figure X.2-1: Functional model for LWP signalling control plane when CoAP is used as LWP

When CoAP is used to realize the generic light-weight protocol defined in clause 6.2, then,

1. CoAP client is a realization of the LWP client
2. CoAP proxy is a realization of the LWP proxy, with the following clarifications:
	1. CoAP proxy shall be able to terminate a DTLS, TLS or secure WebSocket session on LWP-1 reference point;
	2. CoAP proxy shall be able to act as a cross-protocol CoAP-HTTP proxy to support LWP-HTTP-2 and LWP-HTTP-3 reference points;
3. CoAP server is a realization of the LWP server
4. CoAP supports the interactions over LWP-1, LWP-2 and LWP-3 reference points
5. The usage of CoAP by the SEAL service enablers shall follow the rules set out in clause 6.4.3.Y.
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## 3.2 Abbreviations

For the purposes of the present document, the abbreviations given in 3GPP TR 21.905 [1] and the following apply. An abbreviation defined in the present document takes precedence over the definition of the same abbreviation, if any, in 3GPP TR 21.905 [1].

5GS 5G System

5GVN 5G Virtual Network

CAPIF Common API Framework for northbound APIs

CRUDN Create, Retrieve, Update, Delete and Notify

EPC Evolved Packet Core

LWP Light-weight Protocol

NEF Network Exposure Function

NR New Radio

PCC Policy and Charging Control

SCEF Service Capability Exposure Function

SEAL Service Enabler Architecture Layer for Verticals

VAL Vertical Application Layer