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# **Introduction**

During the MBS SWG Ad-hoc Post 120-e meeting on October 20, 2022, a contribution S4aI221396 was discussed that covered the aspect of moving M4 media flows to other slices. Few comments were received during the call. This contribution addresses those comments and proposes way forward on this topic. Specifically, clause 3 of this contribution covers couple of use cases for migrating media flows to alternate slices when current network slices cannot provide the requested QoS.

# **Aspects related to moving flows between different slices** (carried over from S4aI221396)

1. **Support for moving flows to different slices in SA2 specifications**

An extract from clause 5.15.5.2.2 of TS 23.501 on determining whether ongoing traffic can be routed over other existing PDU Sessions in other slices is as follows:

*“The UE uses either the URSP rules (which includes the NSSP) or the UE Local Configuration as defined in clause 6.1.2.2.1 of TS 23.503 [45] to determine whether ongoing traffic can be routed over existing PDU Sessions belonging to other Network Slices or establish new PDU Session(s) associated with same/other Network Slice.”*

From the above extract, it is either the URSP rules delivered to the UE or the UE local configuration that defines how ongoing traffic can be routed over existing PDU Sessions belonging to other network slices.

Clause 6.6.2 of TS 23.503 describes URSP (UE Route Selection Policy) information. As part of URSP, a set of traffic descriptors that help identifying application flows are specified. Also specified are a list of route selection descriptors that define how the identified flows to be routed through the 5G system. Below is an extract from Table 6.6.2.1-3 of TS 23.503 about slicing related entries in the Route Selection Descriptor of URSP.

*Table 6.6.2.1-3: Route Selection Descriptor*

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| *Information name* | *Description* | *Category* | *PCF permitted to modify in URSP* | *Scope* |
| ***Route selection components*** | *This part defines the route selection components* | *Mandatory (NOTE 2)* |  |  |
| *Network Slice Selection* | *Either a single value or a list of values of S-NSSAI(s).* | *Optional*  *(NOTE 3)* | *Yes* | *UE context* |
| *DNN Selection* | *Either a single value or a list of values of DNN(s).* | *Optional* | *Yes* | *UE context* |
| *..*  *NOTE 3: When the Subscription Information contains only one S-NSSAI in UDR, the PCF needs not provision the UE with S-NSSAI in the Network Slice Selection information. The "match all" URSP rule has one S-NSSAI at most.*  *..* | | | | |

From the table above, one of the route selection components is the network slice selection information which is either a single value or a list of values of S-NSSAIs, and this defines the NSSP (Network Slice Selection Policy). When this information is present in the URSP rules delivered to the UE by the PCF, the UE routes the identified application traffic through the slices identified by the NSSP. It is to be noted that the network slice selection information can be a list of S-NSSAIs, but the specification does not specify how the identified application traffic can be moved between the slices.

For UE Local Configuration, clause 6.1.2.2.1 of TS 23.503 describes UE policy control, and specifies that among the four policy objects – Access Network Discovery & Selection Policy (ANDSP), UE Route Selection Policy (URSP), V2X Policy (V2XP), and ProSe Policy (ProSeP), two policy objects – ANDSP and URSP may be pre-configured in the UE.

From above SA2 specifications, it is not clear how the application flows can be moved between different slices.

1. **Review of dynamic policy in SA4 specifications**

Clause 7.9 of TS 26.512 specifies Policy Templates Provisioning API using which an 5GMS Application Provider configures a set of Policy Templates within the scope of the Provisioning Session that can be subsequently applied to downlink or uplink media streaming sessions. A Policy Template is identified by its *policyTemplateId*  and represents a set of PCF/NEF parameters which define the service quality and associated charging for the corresponding downlink or uplink media streaming sessions. Also specified that when the Policy Template is used for QoS Flows the *M1QOSSpecification* shall be included. As specified in Table 6.4.3.2-1 of TS 26512, the *M1QoSSpecification* includes properties such as maximum bit rate for uplink and downlink, maximum authorized bit rate for uplink and downlink by 5GMS Application Provider, default packet loss rates for uplink and downlink.

In addition to the above, the data model for Policy Template resource as specified in clause 7.9.3.1 includes the *ApplicationSessionContext* information element which provides information about “*sliceInfo*” and “*dnn*” information sub-elements that represent the slice and DNN for which the policy template is applicable.

When UE needs the support for dynamic policy, it creates a dynamic policy request to the 5GMS AF. Clause 11.5 of TS 26.512 describes the M5 Dynamic Policies API that allows the Media Session Handler to request a specific policy and charging treatment to be applied to a particular application data flow of a downlink or uplink media session. As part of the request, as specified in clause 11.5.3 of TS 26512 describing data model of *DynamicPolicy* resource, among a set of parameters, the *policyTemplateId* and *M5QoSSpecification* information is sent by the MSH to the 5GMS AF. The *policyTemplateId* is the same Id that the 5GMS Application Provider configured using the M1 API above and is informed to the UE during the M5 Service Access Information API as specified in clause 11.2 of TS 26512. The *M5QoSSpecificaiton* represents the requested QoS, specified in clause 6.4.3.3 of TS 26512, and is very similar to the structure of *M1QOSSpecification*.

Note: It is not clear from TS 26512 what the behavior is if MSH includes both the *policyTemplateId* and M5QoSSpecification (requested QoS), and the requested M5QoSSpecification cannot be satisfied by the network slice for which policy template applies.

It is possible that the UE application flows be migrated to a different network slice to achieve the required QoS for the downlink or uplink session. However, there is no text in our SA4 specifications that deal with migration of UE media flows to different network slices due to dynamic policy procedure.

# **Use Cases for moving M4 media flows to a different slice**

**Use case 1: Network slice service continuity**

3GPP SA2 is conducting a Rel-18 study on “Enhancement of Network Slicing Phase 3” (UID 940063). As part of this, 3GPP SA2 is studying a key issue “Key Issue#1: Support of Network Slice Service Continuity” and the findings are documented in TR 23700-41. Clause 5.1 in this TR describes the use case of slice service continuity. An excerpt from this clause is below:

|  |
| --- |
| **“5.1 Key Issue #1: Support of Network Slice Service continuity**5.1.1 Description *This Key issues is aiming to address WT#1. The following scenarios can happen:*  ***1) No mobility scenario:***  *Scenario 1a): network slice is overloaded in NG-RAN.*  *Scenario 1b): network slice or network slice instance is overloaded or undergoing planned maintenance in CN (e.g. network slice termination).*  *Scenario 1c): network performance of the network slice cannot meet the SLA.*  ***2) Inter RA Mobility scenario:***  *..*  *Scenario 2d): network slice or network slice instance is overloaded in the target CN.*  *This key issue is to study whether and how to provide service continuity for PDU sessions in network slices in the above scenarios 1b), 1c) and 2d).*” |

The key issue above documents the agreements that the network slices can be overloaded from time to time, they can undergo planned maintenance, and the performance of the network slice cannot meet the SLA (3GPP TS 29.520 describes stage-3 APIs using which NF consumers can get slice load level information and network slice instance load level information from NWDAF).

For this key issue, 11 solutions are documented in TR 23700-41:

* Solution #1: Additional S-NSSAI associated with the PDU session
* Solution #2: Slice Re-mapping Capabilities for Network Slice Service Continuity
* Solution #3: Support of Network Slice Service continuity using SSC mode 3
* Solution #4: PDU Session on compatible network slice
* Solution #5: PDU session handover to a target CN with an alternative S-NSSAI support
* Solution #15: Service continuity in case of Network Slice instance overload
* Solution #32: Solution for Network Control for UE Slice Use
* Solution #40: S-NSSAI change decided by PCF
* Solution #41: Network Slice change without service interruption
* Solution #42: Network controlled change to an alternative S-NSSAI
* Solution #43: Allowed NSSAI Determination in Initial Registration to Support Network Slice Service Continuity

Review of the solutions show the following:

1. All the solutions propose methods where an ‘alternative slice’ is identified to move the traffic from the current slice to that identified slice
2. Number of solutions based on which entity identifies the alternate slice: AMF (7), UE (2), SMF (1), PCF (1). It is not clear how each of these entities are configured with such alternate slice information.

As of the latest version of this TR 23700-41 (v1.1.0 of Rel-18):

* Clause 7.1 documents different evaluation points about each of the above 11 candidate solutions
* Clause 8.1 documents five interim conclusion points based on the evaluation of above solutions. There is still work to be done to have a clear conclusion on this topic

From the above, it is clear that network slices cannot be relied on for guaranteed performance or QoS, and thus needs control plane-based solutions to adapt if they start underperforming. This behavior can affect the SA4 specified dynamic policy procedures reviewed in clause 2 above i.e. it is entirely possible that when the MSH requests for a specific policy, the current network slice may not be able to provide the requested QoS, and therefore, the M4 media flows have to be migrated to another slice to receive the required QoS. The changes for clause 6.3 of TR 26941 proposed in this contribution uses a similar idea of an alternate slice as above, and proposes this alternate slice information configuration to happen over M1 messages so 5GMS AF can assist in migrating UE media flows to this alternate slice.

**Use case 2: Upsell vs dedicated premium gaming slice**

[1] discusses aspects related to commercializing 5G network slicing. The white paper discusses network slicing use cases and path to initial commercialization, and evolution of network slicing technology. The white paper discusses gaming slice scenarios, and talks of two use-cases:

* ***Premium service use-case****: where the user pays in a subscription model and will be able to use such premium treatment whenever it needs* [**provided using a separate dedicated premium network slice**]
* ***Upsell use-case****: where the user pays a one-time fee for a premium slice-enabled treatment, such as in the previously mentioned example of a temporary boost in performance for video or gaming*

The white paper further states the following:

*“One aspect we have hinted at but not discussed in detail is the on-demand enablement of slicing in the upsell scenario. While the Premium use case approach can be implemented by configuring the network with allowed NSSAI-s and modifying the user profile to use specific NSSAI when accessing the network, the Upsell approach requires communication between subscriber’s app, or the OS in case it intermediates the payment, and the Core for the purpose of dynamically managing the slicing activation/deactivation, as well as the monetization aspect.* ***An example of upsell in our gaming slice scenario involves asking the user to purchase the gaming slice treatment for a desired duration at a premium slice, at which point a network API would be accessed to enable the user to access the gaming slice. In this example, it would trigger the sending of a new URSP table that contains the rule and route for the gaming NSSAI****”*. While this use case requires a user subscription that triggers switching of slice that can be addressed in the UE using an URSP update, a similar use case can be imagined where the application provider can provision an alternate gaming slice that the UE can move to in case the current slice cannot provide required experience to the users.

# **Solution options and opinion**

Given the current standardization in SA2 and SA4 specifications, following options may be possible for addressing the issue of media flow migration between different network slices:

- *Option 1- Decline application of dynamic policy if current slice cannot provide requested QoS*: With this option, the 5GMS AF gets to know the current slice performance information as described in clause 3 above, but it declines the application of dynamic policy. In this case, the M4 media flows may not receive the required QoS support from the network.

- *Option 2: Use an alternate slice in case current slice cannot provide requested QoS:* With this option, the application provider configures an alternate slice information at the 5GMS AF for the UE media flows to be moved to in case the current slice cannot provide the requested QoS based dynamic policy. When the UE requests for such a dynamic policy application, and if the 5GMS AF infers that the current slice cannot provide requested QoS, it informs the MSH in the UE to move the M4 media flow to the alternate slice configured above.

# **References**

[1] “Commercializing 5G Network Slicing”, 5G Americas White Paper, https://www.5gamericas.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/07/Commercializing-5G-Network-Slicing-Jul-2022.pdf, July 2022

# **Proposal**

We propose following change be adopted into TR 26.941 for key issue #3.

**===== 1. CHANGE =====**

# 2 References

[?] 3GPP TS 24.501: "…".

**===== 2. CHANGE =====**

## 6.3 Key Issue #3: Moving media flows to other slices

### 6.3.1 Description

#### 6.3.1.1 Migration of UE flows between slices due to dynamic policy

Clause 7.9 of TS 26.512 [20] specifies Policy Templates Provisioning API using which an 5GMS Application Provider configures a set of Policy Templates within the scope of the Provisioning Session that can be subsequently applied to downlink or uplink media streaming sessions.

- The dynamic policy invocation configuration information is fetched by the Media Session Handler from the 5GMS AF using the M5 Service Access Information API specified in clause 11.2 of [20]. The response includes a list of 5GMS AF endpoint addresses that provide the dynamic policy API and set of valid policyTemplateIds.

- When the UE wants a different network QoS policy for 5G Media Streaming, the Media Session Handler in the 5GMS Client creates a dynamic policy request to the 5GMS AF. Clause 11.5 of [20] describes the M5 Dynamic Policies API that allows the Media Session Handler to request a specific policy and charging treatment to be applied to a particular application data flow of a downlink or uplink media streaming session.

- When the Media Session Handler intends to activate a QoS-related Dynamic Policy Template, it includes a M5QoSSpecification property, as specified in clause 6.4.3.3 of [20]. For transient operational reasons, it is possible that the requested M5QoSSpecification cannot be satisfied by the network slice for which policy template applies at the time of the dynamic policy invocation request. To satisfy the network QoS requirements of the target policy template, a potential solution is to extend the scope of the 5GMS architecture to allow the affected media flow to be migrated to a different PDU Session in a different network slice.

Clause 5.15.5.2.2 of TS 23.501 [7] specifies that the USRP rules delivered to the UE or the UE local configuration define how ongoing traffic can be routed over existing PDU Sessions belonging to other network slices. However, it is not clear how the application flows can be moved between different network slices using USRP information.

Open issues:

- How does the 5GMS System determine which slice to migrate the media flow to if the current slice cannot satisfy the requested QoS and how does the Media Session Handler migrate media flows between PDU Sessions on different network slices.

### 6.3.2 Candidate solutions

#### 6.3.2.1 Candidate solution #1: Dynamic policy in case current slice cannot provide requested QoS

Figure 6.3.2.1-1 illustrates the procedure for M5 dynamic policy invocation when the current network slice is unable to provide the requested QoS based dynamic policy.



Figure 6.3.2.1-1: Procedure for dynamic policy when the current network slice cannot provide requested QoS

The steps are as follows:

1. The 5GMS Application Provider configures a provisioning session at the 5GMS AF at reference point M1.

2. The 5GMS Application Provider provides service announcement information to the 5GMS-Aware Application in the UE as described in step 4 of clause 5.1 for downlink streaming and step 4 of clause 6.1 for uplink streaming in TS 26.501 [20].

3. (Optional) In case the 5GMSd Client in UE received only a reference to the Service Access Information, then it acquires the Service Access Information from the 5GMS AF as described in step 6 of clause 5.1 of [20].

4. The 5GMS AF gets current slice load information as described in clause 4.3 of TS 29.520 [19].

5. The M5 Media Session Handling procedure is then performed as specified in step 7 of clause 5.1 for downlink media streaming and step 7 of clause 6.1 for uplink media streaming in [20].

a. The Media Session Handler in the UE requests application of dynamic policy for the application data flow in the current slice by sending a policyTemplateId and M5QoSSpecification as described in clause 11.5 of [20].

b. Using the information received in step 4 above, the 5GMS AF may conclude that the requested dynamic policy cannot be satisfied in the current slice. The 5GMS AF denies application of the requested dynamic policy.

6. The M4 Media Streaming procedures are then carried out as specified in step 8 of clause 5.1 for downlink media streaming and step 8 of clause 6.1 for uplink media streaming in [20] in the current slice with possible performance degradation.

Figure 6.3.2.1-2 illustrates the procedure for M5 dynamic policy when the current network slice is unable to provide the requested QoS-based dynamic policy, and the 5GMS AF assists in moving the UE media flow to an alternate network slice to receive the requested QoS.



Figure 6.3.2.1-2: Procedure for dynamic policy when the current network slice cannot provide requested policy which triggers migration of media flows to alternate slice

The steps are as follows:

1. The 5GMS Application Provider configures a provisioning session at the 5GMS AF at reference point M1 **with information about alternate S-NSSAI to migrate the UE session to in case current slice cannot provide required QoS**.

2. The 5GMS Application Provider provides service announcement information to the 5GMS-Aware Application in the UE as described in step 4 of clause 5.1 for downlink streaming and step 4 of clause 6.1 for uplink streaming in TS 26.501 [20].

3. (Optional) In case the 5GMSd Client in UE received only a reference to the Service Access Information, then it acquires the Service Access Information from the 5GMS AF as described in step 6 of clause 5.1 of [20].

4. The 5GMS AF gets current slice load information as described in clause 4.3 of TS 29.520 [19].

5. The M5 Media Session Handling procedure is then performed as specified in step 7 of clause 5.1 for downlink media streaming and step 7 of clause 6.1 for uplink media streaming in [20].

a. The Media Session Handler in UE requests application of dynamic policy for the application data flow in the current slice by sending a policyTemplateId and M5QoSSpecification as described in clause 11.5 of [20].

b. Using the information received in step 4 above, the 5GMS AF may conclude that the requested dynamic policy cannot be satisfied in the current slice. **The 5GMS AF then suggests to the Media Session Handler in UE to move the M4 flow to an alternate slice and provides the alternate slice S-NSSAI.**

6. **The Media Session Handler in the UE interacts with the AMF to request the establishment of a new PDU Session or modification of an existing PDU Session to use the alternate network slice as indicated by the alternate S-NSSAI.** The PDU Session establishment and update procedures are defined in clause 8.3 of TS 24.501 [?].

7. The M4 Media Streaming procedures are then carried out as specified in step 8 of clause 5.1 for downlink media streaming and step 8 of clause 6.1 for uplink media streaming in [20] in the alternate slice **with the network QoS in the requested dynamic policy**.

**===== END CHANGES =====**