

## PSEUDO CHANGE REQUEST

⌘ **33.310 CR -** ⌘ rev **-** ⌘ Current version: **1.0.0** ⌘

For **HELP** on using this form, see bottom of this page or look at the pop-up text over the ⌘ symbols.

**Proposed change affects:** UICC apps  ME  Radio Access Network  Core Network

<b>Title:</b>	⌘ Certificate issuer name limitations removal		
<b>Source:</b>	⌘ Nokia, Siemens, T-Mobile		
<b>Work item code:</b>	⌘ NDS/AF	<b>Date:</b>	⌘ 02/02/2004
<b>Category:</b>	⌘ <b>F</b>	<b>Release:</b>	⌘ Rel-6
	Use <u>one</u> of the following categories: <b>F</b> (correction) <b>A</b> (corresponds to a correction in an earlier release) <b>B</b> (addition of feature), <b>C</b> (functional modification of feature) <b>D</b> (editorial modification) Detailed explanations of the above categories can be found in 3GPP <a href="#">TR 21.900</a> .		Use <u>one</u> of the following releases: 2 (GSM Phase 2) R96 (Release 1996) R97 (Release 1997) R98 (Release 1998) R99 (Release 1999) Rel-4 (Release 4) Rel-5 (Release 5) Rel-6 (Release 6)

<b>Reason for change:</b>	⌘ No need identified for certificate issuer name limitations. Access limitation to operator's certain region or subnet behind SEG can be achieved by operator's IPsec policy management.
<b>Summary of change:</b>	⌘ Removed text on certificate issuer name limitations
<b>Consequences if not approved:</b>	⌘ Need for certificate issuer name limitations would be unclear.

<b>Clauses affected:</b>	⌘ 5.2.2 VPN tunnel establishment											
<b>Other specs affected:</b>	⌘	<table border="1" style="display: inline-table; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr><td style="padding: 2px;">Y</td><td style="padding: 2px;">N</td></tr> <tr><td style="padding: 2px;"> </td><td style="padding: 2px;">N</td></tr> <tr><td style="padding: 2px;"> </td><td style="padding: 2px;">N</td></tr> <tr><td style="padding: 2px;"> </td><td style="padding: 2px;">N</td></tr> </table>	Y	N		N		N		N	Other core specifications	⌘
	Y	N										
		N										
		N										
	N											
		Test specifications										
		O&M Specifications										
<b>Other comments:</b>	⌘ -											

-----  
----- CHANGED SECTION -----  
-----

## 5.2.2 VPN tunnel establishment

After establishing a roaming agreement and finishing the required preliminary certificate management operations as specified in the previous section, the operators configure their SEGs for SEG-SEG connection, and the SAs are established as specified by NDS/IP [1].

In each connection configuration, the remote SEG DNS name or IP address is specified. Only the local roaming CA is configured as the trusted CA. Because of the cross-certification, any operator whose roaming CA has been cross-certified can get access using this VPN connection configuration. ~~If access to a certain local subnet is allowed for only certain operators, the VPN connection configuration shall include limitations for certificate issuer name.~~

~~Editor's note: These limitations for certificate issuer name are ff.~~

The following is the flow of connection negotiation from the point of view of Operator A's SEG (initiator). Operator B's SEG (responder) shall behave in a similar fashion.

- During connection initiation, the initiating Operator A's SEG A provides its own SEG certificate and the corresponding digital signature in IKE Main Mode message 3;
- SEG A receives the remote SEG B certificate and signature;
- SEG A validates the remote SEG B signature;
- SEG A verifies the validity of the SEG B certificate by a CRL check to both the Operator A and Operator B CRL databases. If a SEG cannot successfully perform both CRL checks, it shall treat this as an error and abort tunnel establishment;
- SEG A validates the SEG B certificate using the cross-certificate for Operator B. An IKE Phase 1 SA is established and the Phase-2 SA negotiation proceeds as described in NDS/IP [1] with PSK authentication.

NOTE: This specification provides authentication of SEGs in an "end-to-end" fashion as regards to roaming traffic (operator to operator). If NDS/AF (IKE) authentication were to be used for both access to the transport network (e.g. GRX) and for the end-to-end roaming traffic, IPsec mechanisms and policies such as iterated tunnels or hop-by-hop security would need to be used. However, it is highlighted that the authentication framework specified is independent of the underlying IP transport network.