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\*\*\* Start of Change \*\*\*

##### 4.2.2.3.2 NAS NULL integrity protection

*Requirement Name*: NAS NULL integrity protection

*Requirement Reference:* TS 33.501 [2], clause 5.5.2

*Requirement Description*: "NIA0 shall be disabled in AMF in the deployments where support of unauthenticated emergency session is not a regulatory requirement." as specified in TS 33.501 [2], clause 5.5.2

*Threat References*: TR 33.926 [6], clause K.2.3.3, NAS NULL integrity protection

*Test Case:*

**Test Name:** TC\_NAS\_NULL\_INT\_AMF

**Purpose:**

Verify that NAS NULL integrity protection algorithm is used correctly.

**Pre-Conditions:**

Test environment with a UE. The UE may be simulated.

The vendor shall provide documentation describing how NIA0 is disabled and enabled in the AMF.

NIA0 is disabled in AMF for emergency calls.

**Execution Steps**

Test case A:

1. The UE only supports NIA0 as security capability.

2. The UE establish an unauthenticated emergency session

Test case B:

1. The UE support NIA0 and other integrity algorithms (NIA1, NIA2 and/or NIA3) as security capabilities.
2. The UE establish an emergency session.
3. The AMF derives the KAMF and NAS signalling keys after successful authentication of the UE.

4. The AMF sends the NAS Security Mode Command message to the UE containing the selected NAS algorithms.

**Expected Results:**

For Test case A: the session is rejected

For Test case B: the integrity algorithm selected by the AMF in NAS SMC message is different from NIA0 and

the NAS Security Mode Command message is integrity protected by the AMF.

**Expected format of evidence:**

Evidence suitable for the interface, e.g., Screenshot containing the operational results or pcap traces.

\*\*\* End of Change \*\*\*