

## S3 Editors CHANGE REQUEST

⌘ **33.200 CR CR-Num** ⌘ rev **-** ⌘ Current version: **0.3.2** ⌘

For **HELP** on using this form, see bottom of this page or look at the pop-up text over the ⌘ symbols.

Proposed change affects: ⌘ (U)SIM  ME/UE  Radio Access Network  Core Network

<b>Title:</b>	⌘ Cleanup of MAPsec structure of protected operations		
<b>Source:</b>	⌘ Ericsson		
<b>Work item code:</b>	⌘ Network Domain Security	<b>Date:</b>	⌘ 23-April-01
<b>Category:</b>	⌘ <b>D</b>	<b>Release:</b>	⌘
<i>Use <u>one</u> of the following categories:</i>		<i>Use <u>one</u> of the following releases:</i>	
<b>F</b> (essential correction)		2 (GSM Phase 2)	
<b>A</b> (corresponds to a correction in an earlier release)		R96 (Release 1996)	
<b>B</b> (Addition of feature),		R97 (Release 1997)	
<b>C</b> (Functional modification of feature)		R98 (Release 1998)	
<b>D</b> (Editorial modification)		R99 (Release 1999)	
Detailed explanations of the above categories can be found in 3GPP TR 21.900.		REL-4 (Release 4)	
		REL-5 (Release 5)	

<b>Reason for change:</b>	⌘ The chapters about MAPsec structure of protected operations have been inherited from R99 and need some cleaning up.
<b>Summary of change:</b>	⌘ R99 concepts have been removed and the text has been cleaned up: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Editorial changes,</li><li>- "KSXY" notation removed from PM1 and PM2</li><li>- "MAPHeader" removed from PM2</li></ul>
<b>Consequences if not approved:</b>	⌘

<b>Clauses affected:</b>	⌘ 7.2.5
<b>Other specs affected:</b>	⌘ <input type="checkbox"/> Other core specifications ⌘ <input type="checkbox"/> Test specifications <input type="checkbox"/> O&M Specifications
<b>Other comments:</b>	⌘

## 7.2.5 MAPsec structure of protected ~~operations~~messages

### 7.2.5.1 MAPsec protection modes

MAPsec provides for three different protection modes and these are defined as follows:

Protection Mode 0: No Protection

Protection Mode 1: Integrity, Authenticity

Protection Mode 2: Confidentiality, Integrity, and Authenticity

MAP operation protected by means of MAPsec consists of a Security Header and the Protected Payload. Secured MAP ~~operations~~messages have the following structure:

Security Header	Protected Payload
-----------------	-------------------

In all three protection modes, the security header is transmitted in cleartext.

In protection mode 2 providing confidentiality, the protected payload is essentially the encrypted payload of the original MAP ~~operation-message~~ (see chapter 7.2.5.4). For integrity and authenticity in protection modes 1 and 2, the message authentication code is calculated on the security header and the payload of the original MAP ~~operation-message~~ in cleartext ~~and it~~ is included in the protected payload. In protection mode 0 no protection is offered, therefore the protected payload is identical to the payload of the original MAP ~~operationmessage~~.

~~[EDITOR: I got the impression that a container operation "SecureTransport" is being specified and that it would take a protected operations as its payload. This is not yet reflected in the most current version of TR-33.800 and the the material here may not be completely up-to-date. This affects 7.2.5.2-5-~~

~~Input from companies with CN4 delegates is wanted.]~~

### 7.2.5.2 Protection Mode 0

Protection Mode 0 offers no protection at all. Therefore, the protected payload ~~of Secured MAP-operations messages~~ in protection mode 0 is ~~functionally and security wise~~ identical to the original MAP ~~operation-~~message payload in cleartext.

For cases where Protection Mode 0 is to be used the protection level will be identical to the original unprotected MAP ~~operationmessage~~. It is therefore allowed as an implementation option to let Protection Mode 0 operations be sent without the security header.

### 7.2.5.3 Protection Mode 1

The protected payload of Secured MAP ~~operations-~~messages in protection mode 1 takes the following form:

TVP  Cleartext   H <sub>K<del>S<sub>XY</sub>(int)</del></sub> ( TVP   Security Header  Cleartext)
---

where "Cleartext" is the payload of the original MAP operation in clear text. Therefore, in Protection Mode 1 the protected payload is a concatenation of the following information elements:

- Time Variant Parameter TVP
- Cleartext
- Integrity Check Value

Authentication of origin and message integrity are achieved by applying the message authentication code (MAC) function H with the integrity ~~session-key~~ ~~K<sub>S<sub>XY</sub>(int)</sub>~~ ~~defined by the security association~~ to the concatenation of Time Variant Parameter TVP, Security Header and Cleartext.

The TVP used for replay protection of Secured MAP operations is a 32 bit time-stamp. The receiving network entity ~~shall will~~ accept an operation only if the time-stamp is within a certain time-window. The resolution of the clock from

which the time-stamp is derived must be agreed as a system parameter, the size of the time-window at the receiving network entity need not be standardised.

#### 7.2.5.4 Protection Mode 2

The Secured MAP Message Body-protected payload of Secured MAP-operations Messages in protection mode 2 takes the following form:

$$\text{TVP} \parallel E_{K_{SX}(conf)}(\text{Cleartext}) \parallel H_{K_{SX}(int)}(\text{TVP} \parallel \text{MAP Header} \parallel \text{Security Header} \parallel E_{K_{SX}(conf)}(\text{Cleartext}))$$

where "Cleartext" is the original MAP-message-operation message payload in clear text. Message-Confidentiality is achieved by encrypting Cleartext with the confidentiality session key defined by the security association  $K_{SX}(conf)$ . Authentication of origin and message-integrity are achieved by applying the message authentication code (MAC) function H with the integrity session-key defined by the security association  $K_{SX}(int)$  to the concatenation of Time Variant Parameter TVP, MAP Header, Security Header and encrypted  $E_{K_{SX}(conf)}(\text{Cleartext})$ .

The TVP used for replay protection of Secured MAP messages is a 32 bit time-stamp. The receiving network entity will shall accept a message only if the time-stamp is within a certain time-window. The resolution of the clock from which the time-stamp is derived must be agreed as a system parameter, the size of the time-window at the receiving network entity need not be standardised.

It is further recommended that the use of protection mode 2 whenever possible as this makes replay attacks even more difficult.