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**1. Overall Description:**

SA2 thanks for CT1 LS on the NSSRG restriction on pending NSSAI. SA2 answers this question from CT1

Question: Should the UE take the S-NSSAIs in the Pending NSSAI into account when applying NSSRG restrictions in the Requested NSSAI?

as follows:

SA2 Answer: the UE only constraint is forming a Requested NSSAI is that the S-NSSAIs in the Requested NSSAI are mutually compatible with one another. Hence, if a S-NSSAI is Pending, this is not assumed to be part of the Requested NSSAI. Hence, the UE shall carry on with any requested NSSAI made of mutually compatible S-NSSAIs. If this Requested NSSAI then results to be incompatible with the Pending S-NSSAI. when the NSSAA procedure completes the AMF instead of allowing the S-NSSAI for which the NSSAA has successfully completed, it shall simply remove this S-NSSAI from the Pending NSSAI (if NSSAA failed, the S-NSSAI would instead be rejected as per current specifications). The attached CRs introduce this aspect of updating the Pending NSSAI in SA2 specifications and SA2 would like CT1 to implement the related Stage 3 changes.

**2. Actions:**

**To CT1 working group.**

**ACTION:** SA2 kindly asks CT1 to take the above information into account and implement the necessary changes to stage 3 in rel-17 to fix this issue.

**3. Date of Next SA2 Meetings:**
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