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\* \* \* \* 1st change \* \* \* \*

#### 4.13.6.1 EPS fallback for IMS voice

Figure 4.13.6.1-1 describes the EPS fallback procedure for IMS voice.

When the UE is served by the 5G System, the UE has one or more ongoing PDU Sessions each including one or more QoS Flows. The serving PLMN AMF has sent an indication towards the UE during the Registration procedure that IMS voice over PS session is supported, see clause 5.16.3.10 in TS 23.501 [2] and the UE has registered in the IMS. If N26 is not supported, the serving PLMN AMF sends an indication towards the UE during the Registration procedure that interworking without N26 is supported, see clause 5.17.2.3.1 in TS 23.501 [2].



Figure 4.13.6.1-1: EPS Fallback for IMS voice

1. UE camps on NG-RAN in the 5GS and an MO or MT IMS voice session establishment has been initiated.

2. Network initiated PDU Session modification to setup QoS flow for voice reaches the NG-RAN (see N2 PDU Session Request in clause 4.3.3).

3. NG-RAN is configured to support EPS fallback for IMS voice and decides to trigger fallback to EPS, taking into account UE capabilities, indication from AMF that "Redirection for EPS fallback for voice is possible" (received as part of initial context setup as defined in TS 38.413 [10]), network configuration (e.g. N26 availability configuration) and radio conditions. If NG-RAN decides not to trigger fallback to EPS, then the procedure stops here and following steps are not executed.

NG-RAN may initiate measurement report solicitation from the UE including E-UTRAN as target.

NOTE 1: If AMF has indicated that "Redirection for EPS fallback for voice is not possible", then AN Release via inter-system redirection to EPS is not performed in step 5.

4. NG-RAN responds indicating rejection of the PDU Session modification to setup QoS flow for IMS voice received in step 2 by PDU Session Response message towards the PGW-C+SMF (or H-SMF+P-GW-C via V-SMF, in case of roaming scenario) via AMF with an indication that mobility due to EPS fallback for IMS voice is ongoing. The PGW-C+SMF maintains the PCC rule(s) associated with the QoS Flow(s).

5. NG-RAN initiates either handover (see clause 4.11.1.2.1), or AN Release via inter-system redirection to EPS (see clause 4.2.6 and clause 4.11.1.3.2), taking into account UE capabilities. The PGW-C+SMF reports change of the RAT type if subscribed by PCF as specified in clause 4.11.1.2.1, or clause 4.11.1.3.2.

6. When the UE is connected to EPS, either 6a or 6b is executed

6a. In the case of 5GS to EPS handover, see clause 4.11.1.2.1, and in the case of inter-system redirection to EPS with N26 interface, see clause 4.11.1.3.2. In either case the UE initiates TAU procedure; or

6b. In the case of inter-system redirection to EPS without N26 interface, see clause 4.11.2.2. If the UE supports Request Type flag "handover" for PDN connectivity request during the attach procedure as described in clause 5.3.2.1 of TS 23.401 [13] and has received the indication that interworking without N26 is supported, then the UE initiates Attach with PDN connectivity request with request type "handover".

In inter-system redirection, the UE uses the emergency indication in the RRC message as specified in clause 6.2.2 of TS 36.331 [16] and E-UTRAN provides the emergency indication to MME during Tracking Area Update or Attach procedure. For the handover procedure see clause 4.11.1.2.1, step 1.

7. After completion of the mobility procedure to EPS or as part of the 5GS to EPS handover procedure (see clause 4.11.1.2.1), the SMF/PGW re-initiates the setup of the dedicated bearer for IMS voice, mapping the 5G QoS to EPC QoS parameters. The PGW-C+SMF behaves as specified in clause 4.9.1.3.1. The PGW-C+SMF reports about Successful Resource Allocation and Access Network Information if subscribed by PCF.

8. The IMS voice session establishment is continued.

At least for the duration of the voice call in EPS the E-UTRAN is configured to not trigger any handover to 5GS.

\* \* \* \* 2nd change \* \* \* \*

#### 4.13.6.2 Inter RAT Fallback in 5GC for IMS voice

Figure 4.13.6.2-1 describes the RAT fallback procedure in 5GC for IMS voice.

When the UE is served by the 5GC, the UE has one or more ongoing PDU Sessions each including one or more QoS Flows. The serving PLMN AMF has sent an indication towards the UE during the Registration procedure that IMS voice over PS session is supported, see clause 5.16.3.10 in TS 23.501 [2] and the UE has registered in the IMS.



Figure 4.13.6.2-1: RAT Fallback for IMS voice

1. UE camps on source NG-RAN in the 5GS and an MO or MT IMS voice session establishment has been initiated.

2. Network initiated PDU Session modification to setup QoS flow for IMS voice reaches the source NG-RAN (see N2 PDU Session Request in clause 4.3.3).

3. If source NG-RAN is configured to support RAT fallback for IMS voice, source NG-RAN decides to trigger RAT fallback, taking into account on UE capabilities, network configuration and radio conditions.

Source NG-RAN may initiate measurement report solicitation from the UE including target NG-RAN.

4. Source NG-RAN responds indicating rejection of the PDU Session modification to setup QoS flow for IMS voice received in step 2 by PDU Session Response message towards the SMF (or V-SMF, in case of roaming scenario) via AMF with an indication that mobility due to RAT fallback for IMS voice is ongoing. The SMF maintains the PCC rule(s) associated with the QoS Flow(s).

5. Source NG-RAN initiates Xn based Inter NG-RAN handover (see clause 4.9.1.2) or N2 based inter NG-RAN handover (see clause 4.9.1.3), or redirection to E-UTRA connected to 5GC (see clause 4.2.6). The SMF reports change of the RAT type if subscribed by PCF.

6. After completion of the Inter NG-RAN (inter-RAT) handover or redirection to E-UTRA connected to 5GC, the SMF re-initiates the PDU Session modification to setup QoS flow for IMS voice. The SMF reports about Successful Resource Allocation and Access Network Information if subscribed by PCF.

The IMS signalling related to IMS voice call establishment continues after step 1 as specified in TS 23.228 [55].

At least for the duration of the IMS voice call the target NG-RAN is configured to not trigger inter NG-RAN handover back to source NG-RAN.

\* \* \* \* End of changes \* \* \* \*