|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| PR | Company name | Justification for change/comments |
| 7.0-1 | Futureweirapporteur | Rewording to use service requirement style. Rapporteur – changed operator managed to licensed as this is the term used in 22.261 |
| 7.0-2 | Futurewei | This not only related to authorization, but also how one PIN element can comnicati with other PIN elements in different PINs. ( maybe fore release 18, only for single PIN case). [rapporteur] -In the new text you use word device, what do you mean as this is not specified? Do you mean PIN Element? |
| 7.0-3 | Futurewei | if this multicast, suggest change to “ transmit with same content ” to avoid confusion, also rephase to “ 5g system shall ….”[rapporteur] - This is based on PR 5.3.6-1 which was originally written as:*For intra-PIN communications, a PIN Element shall be able to transmit media to one or more PIN Element at the same time* |
| 7.0-4 | Futurewei | This requirement is too general, nothing new. may be better to use KPI requirement. |
| 7.0-5 | Futurewei | not clear what faults need to be considered. need to rephase to specific the fault issue, is management faults? or connection fault? Or implementation fault management. 3GPP system already have many mechanisms for different fault handling, so maybe it can be considered this already covered. Maybe packet error rate KPI?[rapporteur] - This was the case that a PIN Element may have multiple communication routes to reach another PIN Element – maybe this is better wording? (Usecase inHome is example) |
| 7.1-1 | Futurewei | Rewording to use service requirement style. |
| 7.1-2 | Futurewei  | is this general for all 5G connectivity? Is this already be covered? Also, how to define loss of connectivity? E.g.no data during certain period time?Suggest considered this has already been covered. [rapporteur] 7.2-1 covers this. |
| 7.1-3 | Futurewei  | Is this the same about optimization of discovery to avoid congestion, can be merged. Also, current wording restricts to certain service discovery solution, such as using service discovery message. Suggest to make the requirement more general.[rapporteur] You mean 7.1-4, I changed the 1st column to reflect this. |
| 7.2-1 | Futurewei  | Rewording to use service requirement style.Add 5.11.6-2 which is covered by this.  |
| 7.4-1 | FutureweiIDCC | Merge 5.11.6-4, which allow different secure communication within a PIN. IDCC - Aligning NOTE with NOTE 2 in 7.2-1 |
| 7.4-2 | Futurewei | Rewording to use service requirement style. |
| 7.4-4 | Futurewei | Rewording to use service requirement style. |
| 7.5-2 | Futurewei rapporteur | Rewording to use service requirement style.Rapporteur - changed operator managed to “use licensed spectrum” as this is the term used in 22.261 |
| 7.5-3 | rapporteur | Changed non operator managed and operator managed to non-licensed and licensed. |
| 7.5-4 | Futurewei  | Rewording to use service requirement style. |
| 7.6-1 | Futurewei IDCC | It’s default that there is QoS management association with the 3GPP link? This seems already be covered.IDCC – Do not see this requirement covered. P.R re-instated with some rewording.Aligning NOTE with NOTE 2 in 7.2-1 |
| 7.7-1 | Futurewei | Rewording to use service requirement style. |
| 7.7-2 | FutureweiRapporteur | Merge 5.11.6-1 into this. Rapporteur – The following have been added (from PR 5.11.6-7), they were lost in Betsys suggestion to put into this requirement.* Connectivity type a PIN Element shall use.
* If a PIN Element is allowed external connectivity and if that is Local Break Out (LBO) or via 5GS

Also added PR 5.x.6-1 in the “original requirement column” as this 7.7-2 current formulation also covered that. |
| 7.7-4 | Convida Wireless | Added CPR 7.7-4; it was previously commented that this was mobility or load sharing and covered by existing reqt. Clarify that this is not mobility or load sharing and the PR tries to capture a PEMC assisting a PE with re-establishing 5G connectivity after the PE has discovered it has lost connectivity; the PEMC in this case is not a UE |
| Futurewei  | Rewording to use service requirement style. |
| 7.7-5 | Futurewei  | This is from PR-5.11.6-5, and it is different than 5.1.5-1 and not be covered. prefer to be alone.  |