

Source: Motorola
Title: Concern over SA WG2 CR handling
Agenda item:
Document for: INFORMATION

A preliminary inspection of the SA WG2 CRs to this meeting indicate that they may include a number of errors which need to be rectified before the CRs can be approved by the plenary. The errors noted include:

- CRs indicating they may have been written to the wrong version;
- Clashing and overlapping CRs written to the same clause;
- CRs marked with the wrong category;
- CR cover sheets incomplete or incorrectly filled out.

For information, the following are the results of a preliminary examination of the SA WG2 CRs to SA#20:

Td SP-03297: 18 CRs in the 23.060 pack:

CR 447, 448 and 449 (rel-4, 5 & 6) all appear to be written to 5.4.0 as indicated in the CR headers (note Correct Rel-5 version is 5.5.0). The CRs need to be re-written against the correct versions of the specifications.

CR 452, states Clause 12.3.2 is impacted, but clause 12.6.3.5 is also impacted. The cover sheet needs to be updated.

CR 431R2 Rel 5 and CR 446 Rel-6 appear to be written to version 5.4.0 as indicated in the CR header (note Correct Rel-5 version is 5.5.0). The CRs need to be re-written against the correct version of the Specifications. CR442R4 clashes with CR 446 at clause 6.9.2.2.1, 6.9.2.2.2 & 6.9.2.2.3, bullet 11) (CR446 is the Rel-6 mirror of CR 431R2). The CRs should be returned to SA WG2 to be merged.

CR 442R4 also shows as R3 in the Tdoc cover sheet, the S2 email approval of this CR is confusing on which version is approved. (email approval started with Rev 1). As the status of the CR is unclear it should be returned to SA2 WG for further review.

Td SP-03298: 21 CRs in the LCS pack:

Pdf files of 23.171 CR 29 and 23.271 CR 169r2 do not show revisions.

23.271 CR 166r2 show just one clause impacted on cover, but in fact there are many. The cover sheet needs to be updated.

CR 155R10 introduces what appears to be a completely new clause 9.1.9 "Deferred Location Request Procedure for the change of area event". However CR184R3 makes changes to clause 9.1.9 which has not yet been approved (CR 184r3 written against non existent version of the specification?).

CR 182R3 appears to be a Cat A and not a Cat F as marked. The cover sheet needs to be updated.

CR 176/177 and CR 181R3/183R3 - there appears to be a clash on clause 9.1.5.3. The CRs should be returned to SA WG2 for further review (176 to be merged with 181 to clarify?)

CR166R2 states Clause 9.1.1 impacted, but in fact changes 7.4 and adds clauses 7.5 as well. The cover sheet needs to be corrected.

Td SP-03303: 24 CRs in the IMS pack:

Document cover sheet shows wrong version of 23.228 for CR292R2.

CR 317R1 and mirror 318R2 claim Clauses 5.2.1a, 5.2.2.3, 5.2.2.4, 5.2.2.5 are modified, in fact only 5.2.1.a appears to be changed. Is this CR complete or are the revisions to the other clauses missing?

CR 311R1 & CR 319 The CR text has the header for 23.060 5.4.0. This needs to be corrected and checked – possibly best to return the CRs to SA WG2 for further review.

CR 297R3 does not show affected clauses on the cover sheet. The cover sheet needs to be corrected.

Td SP-03304: 23.895 CR appears to be against version 6.0.0 and not 6.1.0. The CR should be returned to SA WG2 for review and correction.

Concern has been expressed at previous Plenary meetings that SA2 CR handling and approval processes are leading to unnecessary errors and while the cause of these errors is uncertain it may well be a reflection of the excessive use of email approvals and the timing of WG meetings too close to Plenary.

Therefore it is proposed that SA WG2 consider restricting the use of email to a simple 'agreed'/'not agreed' process for documents handled at the SA WG2 meetings. If documents need to be debated then these should be handled at the SA WG2 meeting rather than on the email reflector.