3GPP TSG CN WG1 Meeting #17 Puerto Rico, 14th - 18th May 2001 Tdoc N1-010867

Liaison Statement

From: 3GPP TSG CN WG1

To: 3GPP TSG SA WG1, 3GPP TSG CN, 3GPP TSG SA

Cc: 3GPP TSG CN WG4

Subject: Request for information from GSM Europe on 3 digit MNC

Contact: Andrew Howell, Motorola

andrew.howell@motorola.com

3GPP TSG CN WG1 thanks 3GPP TSG SA WG1 for the LS relating to the request, from GSM Europe, for information concerning the introduction of 3 digit MNC in Europe.

3GPP TSG CN WG1 has reviewed the proposal and would like to make the following observations:

- 3 digit MNC were first defined in R98. All implementations that are based on releases older than that do not support 3 digit MNC.
- The mixture of 2 and 3 digit MNCs in the same MCC has been explicitly defined only for the MCC codes 310-316 which have been assigned for the USA.

In general the system implications of implementing the two scenarios proposed by GSM Europe are significant:

- 1. Mobiles implemented to specifications earlier than R98, which check the validity of any received MNC, will fail these checks if 3 digit MNCs are introduced.
- 2. Existing Mobile stations and SIM cards would have to be either upgraded or replaced.
- 3. Existing mobile implementations could potentially have problems with the displaying of 2 and 3 digit MNCs
- 4. While the cost of incorporating these changes in new equipment is not significant, the cost of upgrading ALL legacy equipment (which is necessary to support roaming) will be significant.
- 5. The introduction of 3 digit MNC will cause roaming, billing, and service outages if existing equipment (mobile and network) is not upgraded.
- 6. Any update to existing networks will require the change to be implemented country wide, in all PLMNs, to avoid inconsistent mobile behaviour within the networks.
- 7. Even though the software changes required are themselves not significant, it is possible that all currently deployed GSM/GPRS equipment will have to be upgraded. This will be a daunting task.

Attached Tdocs:

Tdoc $\underline{\mathsf{TSG}}\, \underline{\mathsf{S1}}\, (01)518\, (N1\text{-}010842),$ incoming LS from SA1

