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# Introduction

This e-mail thread covers two ongoing LS exchanges with different ITU-R WPs:

1. At last RAN plenary RAN4 was tasked (RP-210789) to consider a test signal proposed by ITU-R WP 1C in R4-2100004.
2. At last RAN4, antenna parameters were sent in LS to ITU-R WP 5D. In R4-2106354 additional information is provided to better reflect base stations deployed in networks. The intention is to send the information to ITU-R WP 5D.

This thread is split up into two corresponding topics:

1. Test signal
2. Antenna model extension

# Topic #1: Test signal

In R4-2100004, ITU-R WP 1C request RAN4 to consider the feasibility to introduce a test signal to facilitate in-filed OTA testing of unwanted emission.

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| R4-2106356 | Ericsson | The intention with this contribution is to initiate the discussion in RAN4 about the request from ITU-R. A collection of RAN4 aspects to consider before responding to ITU-R WP 1C is presented in the contribution. At the end on the contribution a draft LS is provided to stimulate the discussion.  |

## Open issues summary

### Sub-topic 1-1

Sub-topic description: Collect more relevant technical information required to better understand the test signal concept to be able to analyze the RAN4 impact.

**Issue 1-1: RAN4 specific issues related to proposed test signal**

* Identify any additional information to be requested from ITU-R WP 1C by RAN4, in order to better understand the test signal
	+ Comments are welcome
* Recommended WF
	+ Collect questions and open issued in a draft LS response.

### Sub-topic 1-2

Sub-topic description: In relation to the request from WP1C, some alternative solutions relevant for measuring unwanted emission are proposed in R4-2106356. The intention with this sub-topic is to collect feedback and maybe even more alternative approaches.

**Issue 1-2: Alternative approaches**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: Normal operation
	+ Option 2: Normal operation and fixed measurement location
	+ Option 3: Proprietary test configuration
	+ Option 4: Provoking traffic
* Recommended WF
	+ Collect information in a draft LS response.

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Nokia | Sub topic 1-1: RAN4 can analyse technical aspects only from the point of view of doing measurements in controlled environment. RAN4 cannot analyse measurement uncertainties in the field. In addition to RAN1 impact, there would likely be impact also elsewhere to be able to enable/disable proposed test signal. It would be therefore better to send LS first to RAN plenary to clarify what would need to be done and what would be the impacted WGs/specifications, in case a dedicated test signal would in the end be seen as preferred option compared to the alternative approaches. RAN can forward the message to ITU-R WP 1C in June meeting.Sub topic 1-2: Comments for alternative approaches:* Option 1: Good approach to schedule measurement at peak traffic hours
* Option 2: Represent well the normal operation
* Option 3: Has similar issues identified earlier for test mode. By enabling a test mode, the BS normal network operation will be disrupted
* Option 4: Good approach using test UEs to provoke BS to schedule full carriers

In addition, option 1, 2, 4 could even be combined, i.e. averaging over the peak hours could be performed while traffic is simultaneously being provoked.….Others: Overall, we see the alternative approaches more preferable, given the issues and open items surrounding the test signal definition. |

### CRs/TPs comments collection

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **Comments collection** |
| XXX | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| YYY | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary**  |
| **Sub-topic #1** | *Tentative agreements:**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provides recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update*

*Note: The tdoc decisions shall be provided in Section 3 and this table is optional in case moderators would like to provide additional information.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

# Topic #2: Antenna model extension

At last RAN4 meeting antenna parameters was provided in LS to ITU-R WP 5D in R4-2103104. The antenna parameters do not reflect AAS base stations deployed in networks. Therefore, additional information has been provided in R4-2106354 with the intention to send an additional LS to ITU-R WP 5D with information more relevant for sharing studies.

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| R4-2106354 | Ericsson, Nokia, Qualcomm | Proposal 1: An extension to current antenna model is proposed to model antennas using sub-arraysProposal 2: A parameter set for an antenna using sub-arrays is proposed |

## Open issues summary

The current antenna model defined in TR 37.840 models only antennas with single element configurations. Now when ITU-R WP 5D is evaluating measurement results from real base stations there is a need to update the antenna model to better reflect AAS base station deployed in networks.

### Sub-topic 2-1

Sub-topic description: An antenna model extension is proposed in R4-2106354 to include the sub-array impact on the composite antenna pattern.

**Issue 2-1: Antenna model extension**

* Proposals
	+ Extend the antenna model to support sub-array geometries
* Recommended WF
	+ Send LS to ITU-R WP 5D with additional information relevant for AAS base station modelling

### Sub-topic 2-2

Sub-topic description: For sharing studies in ITU-R WP 5D relevant antenna parameters are required. A relevant and representable parameter set for wide area base station using sub-arrays have been presented.

**Issue 2-2: Antenna parameter set**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: Model the sub-array antenna characteristics using provided parameters in R4-2106354
* Recommended WF
	+ Include parameters set in LS to WP 5D.

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Nokia | Sub topic 1-1: Support the proposal.Sub topic 1-2: Support the proposal.….Others: |
| Qualcomm | Sub-topic 1-1: We support the proposal. Sub-topic 1-2: We support the proposal.  |
| Spark | We support both proposals for sub topics 1-1 and 1-2. There will be an impact in 5D to revise ITU R M 2101 and this could be problematic.The antenna arrays shown in R4 2106354 are cross pol arrays yet the antenna models are for co polarized elements. This may also be improved in M 2101.Spark NZ will be happy to work with RAN 4 colleagues to do the above. |

### CRs/TPs comments collection

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **Comments collection** |
| XXX | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| YYY | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary**  |
| **Sub-topic#1** | *Tentative agreements:**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

*Moderator can provide summary of 2nd round here. Note that recommended decisions on tdocs should be provided in the section titled ”Recommendations for Tdocs”.*

# Recommendations for Tdocs

## 1st round

**New tdocs**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Title** | **Source** | **Comments** |
| WF on … | YYY |  |
| LS on … | ZZZ | To: RAN\_X; Cc: RAN\_Y |
|  |  |  |

**Existing tdocs**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Tdoc number** | **Title** | **Source** | **Recommendation**  | **Comments** |
| R4-210xxxx | CR on … | XXX | Agreeable, Revised, Merged, Postponed, Not Pursued |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Notes:

1. Please include the summary of recommendations for all tdocs across all sub-topics incl. existing and new tdocs.
2. For the Recommendation column please include one of the following:
	1. CRs/TPs: Agreeable, Revised, Merged, Postponed, Not Pursued
	2. Other documents: Agreeable, Revised, Noted
3. For new LS documents, please include information on To/Cc WGs in the comments column
4. Do not include hyper-links in the documents

## 2nd round

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Tdoc number** | **Title** | **Source** | **Recommendation**  | **Comments** |
| R4-210xxxx | CR on … | XXX | Agreeable, Revised, Merged, Postponed, Not Pursued |  |
| R4-210xxxx | WF on … | YYY | Agreeable, Revised, Noted |  |
| R4-210xxxx | LS on … | ZZZ | Agreeable, Revised, Noted |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Notes:

1. Please include the summary of recommendations for all tdocs across all sub-topics.
2. For the Recommendation column please include one of the following:
	1. CRs/TPs: Agreeable, Revised, Merged, Postponed, Not Pursued
	2. Other documents: Agreeable, Revised, Noted
3. Do not include hyper-links in the documents