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# Introduction

The scope of this email discussion is UE RRM requirements for NR positioning from the following agenda items:

* AI 5.5.2.2.1 RRM Perf requirements: General
* AI 5.5.2.2.2. Measurement accuracy requirements
* AI 5.5.2.2.3 Test cases

In providing comments, companies are encouraged to:

* Be concise
* Provide comments on all topics/sub-topics of interest
* Ensure that comments are inserted in the latest version of the document by checking the folder before uploading
* Use “Track changes” to help identify added comments/changes

# Topic #1: General performance requirements for NR Positioning (AI 5.5.2.1)

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| [**R4-2107158**](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Crhuang5%5COneDrive%20-%20Intel%20Corporation%5CDocuments%5Cmy_work%5CLTE_A%5CRAN4%5C98e-b%5CDocs%5CR4-2107158.zip) Draft Big CR: Introduction of Rel-16 NR Positioning RRM performance requirements and test cases | Ericsson, Intel |  |

## Open issues summary and companies views’ collection for 1st round

*N.A.*

### Open issues

N.A.

### CRs/TPs comments collection

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **Comments collection** |
|  |  |
|  |
|  |  |
|  |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

### CRs/TPs

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation**  |
|  |  |
|  |
|  |  |
|  |

## Discussion on 2nd round

Please only comment on topics that are selected for discussion in 2nd round.

**Sub-topic#1-1**

## Summary on 2nd round

# Topic #2: Measurement Accuracy Requirements for PRS RSTD (AI5.5.2.2.2.1)

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| [**R4-2104745**](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Crhuang5%5COneDrive%20-%20Intel%20Corporation%5CDocuments%5Cmy_work%5CLTE_A%5CRAN4%5C98e-b%5CDocs%5CR4-2104745.zip)Discussion on PRS RSTD accuracy requirements | CATT | **Proposal 1: The applicable accuracy requirements are not impacted by HO****Proposal 2: Captured in the specification the propagation channel models based on which the accuracy requirements are derived.** **Observation 1: When the PRS bandwidth is larger, the measurement accuracy for all PRS configurations is same.** **Observation 2: When the PRS bandwidth is same, there is no big difference for the measurement error for different SCS.** **Proposal 3: The measurement accuracy of PRS RSTD is defined based on the PRS bandwidth and PRS\_NormLenthPerSlot.** **Proposal 4: Define the PRS RSTD measurement accuracy following the tables as below:** **Table 1 RSTD measurement accuracy in FR1**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| accuracy | PRS Ês/Iot | SCS | PRS BW | Repetition |
| dB | dB | kHz | PRB | - |
| [±TBD] | ≥-6dB | 15/30/60 | ≥[24] | ≥[1] |
| [±TBD] | ≥-13dB | ＞ [104] | ≥[1] |
| ≤ [104] | ≥[1] |
| [±TBD] | ≤ [52] | ＞[1] |
| [±TBD] | ≤ [52] | [1] |

 |
| [**R4-2106454**](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Crhuang5%5COneDrive%20-%20Intel%20Corporation%5CDocuments%5Cmy_work%5CLTE_A%5CRAN4%5C98e-b%5CDocs%5CR4-2106454.zip)Discussion on NR PRS RSTD measurement accuracy requirements | Intel Corporation | **Observation 1: There is no obvious performance gap when SCSs are different.****Observation 1: The performance gap among the results with the difference SCSs is mainly due to the different sampling rate, which can be less than 64Tc in same FR.*****Proposal 1: The requirements of RSTD measurement accuracy can be defined independent with SCS.*****Observation 2: There is obvious performance gap when PRS measurement BW in PRB size is different.*****Proposal 2: The requirements based on the different PRS measurement bandwidth shall be defined.*****Observation 3: The gap between the two adjacent RSTD requirements sets can be up to 64Tc.*****Proposal 3: RAN4 could NOT define any applicability depending on channel propagation condition.******Proposal 4:*** ***During the HO, the measurement accuracy shall be same as that of without HO.*** ***Proposal 5: The accuracy requirements of RSTD can be defined by the table below*****Table 1: RSTD accuracy requirements (Tc)**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **FR** | **PRS BW (PRBs)** | **PRS\_NormLenthPerSlot** |  **SINR= [-6dB, -13dB]** |
| FR1 | ≥24 | ≥1 | ±236 |
| ≥4 | ±108 |
| ≥52 | ≥1 | ±148 |
| ≥6 | ±44 |
| ≥104 | ≥1 | ±148 |
| ≥3 | ±108 |
| ≥268 | ≥1 | ±84 |
| ≥4 | ±52 |
| FR2 | ≥24 | ≥1 | TBD |
| ≥32 | ≥1 | ±372 |
| ≥64 | ≥1 | ±132 |
| ≥128 | ≥1 | ±132 |

 |
| [**R4-2106520**](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Crhuang5%5COneDrive%20-%20Intel%20Corporation%5CDocuments%5Cmy_work%5CLTE_A%5CRAN4%5C98e-b%5CDocs%5CR4-2106520.zip) | OPPO | **Proposal 1: Both AWGN and fading channel models should be considered when defining PRS RSTD accuracy.****Proposal 2: Further discuss the group delay margin with different PRS layer combinations and UE capability****Proposal 3: PRS repetition times, defined as the number of “1” in MutingPattern-r16 for mutingOption2-r16, should be considered for RSTD accuracy.****Proposal 4: The minimum value of other PRS configuration parameters, if introduced, should be considered for applicability of RSTD accuracy requirements.** |
| [**R4-2106632**](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Crhuang5%5COneDrive%20-%20Intel%20Corporation%5CDocuments%5Cmy_work%5CLTE_A%5CRAN4%5C98e-b%5CDocs%5CR4-2106632.zip) Discussion on PRS RSTD accuracy requirements | vivo | **Proposal 1: Applicable accuracy requirements are not impacted by any serving cell change.****Proposal 2: No need to define the applicability with propagation channels for accuracy requirement. (e.g. TDL-C channel model with 300 ns delay spread shall be considered also when defining accuracy requirments).****Proposal 3: RSTD accuracy rquirements are specified as in Table 1 and Table 2 for FR1 and FR2 respectively.**Table 1: RSTD measurement accuracy in FR1

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Accuracy | **((PRS Ês/Iot)ref,** (PRS Ês/Iot)*i* ) | Minimum PRSbandwidth | PRS SCS | Total number of repetitions of PRS resource |
| Ts Note 2 | dB | RB | kHz |  |
|  | (≥-6dB, ≥-13dB) | ≥ 24 | 15kHz | 1 |
|  | (≥-6dB, ≥-13dB) | ≥ 24 | 15kHz | 4 |
|  | (≥-6dB, ≥-13dB) | ≥ 52 | 15kHz | 1 |
|  | (≥-6dB, ≥-13dB) | ≥ 52 | 15kHz | 4 |
|  | (≥-6dB, ≥-13dB) | ≥ 104 | 15kHz | 1 |
|  | (≥-6dB, ≥-13dB) | ≥ 104 | 15kHz | 4 |
|  | (≥-6dB, ≥-13dB) | ≥ 268 | 15kHz | 1 |
|  | (≥-6dB, ≥-13dB) | ≥ 268 | 15kHz | 4 |
|  | (≥-6dB, ≥-13dB) | ≥ 48 | 30kHz | 1 |
|  | (≥-6dB, ≥-13dB) | ≥ 48 | 30kHz | 4 |
|  | (≥-6dB, ≥-13dB) | ≥ 132 | 30kHz | 1 |
|  | (≥-6dB, ≥-13dB) | ≥ 132 | 30kHz | 4 |
|  | (≥-6dB, ≥-13dB) | ≥ 272 | 30kHz | 1 |
|  | (≥-6dB, ≥-13dB) | ≥ 272 | 30kHz | 4 |

Table 2: RSTD measurement accuracy in FR2

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Accuracy | **((PRS Ês/Iot)ref,** (PRS Ês/Iot)*i* ) | Minimum PRSbandwidth | PRS SCS | Total number of repetitions of PRS resource |
| Ts Note 2 | dB | RB | kHz |  |
|  | (≥-6dB, ≥-13dB) | ≥ 32 | 120kHz | 1 |
|  | (≥-6dB, ≥-13dB) | ≥ 32 | 120kHz | 4 |
|  | (≥-6dB, ≥-13dB) | ≥ 64 | 120kHz | 1 |
|  | (≥-6dB, ≥-13dB) | ≥ 64 | 120kHz | 4 |
|  | (≥-6dB, ≥-13dB) | ≥ 128 | 120kHz | 1 |
|  | (≥-6dB, ≥-13dB) | ≥ 128 | 120kHz | 4 |

 |
| [**R4-2106338**](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Crhuang5%5COneDrive%20-%20Intel%20Corporation%5CDocuments%5Cmy_work%5CLTE_A%5CRAN4%5C98e-b%5CDocs%5CR4-2106338.zip) On PRS-RSTD measurement accuracy requirements | Qualcomm Incorporated | **Observation 1: It is already specified in TS 38.133 that RSTD accuracy requirements are not impacted by HO.****Proposal 1: Applicable RSTD accuracy requirements are not impacted by HO.****Proposal 2: Exclude simulations results for TDL-C channel model with 300 ns delay spread in FR1 for defining RSTD and UE Rx-Tx accuracy requirements.****Proposal 3: RAN4 to consider defining PRS-RSTD and UE Rx-Tx measurement accuracy requirements only for AWGN.****Proposal 4: RAN4 will add a non-zero group delay calibration margin to the RSTD accuracy requirements in FR1 and FR2. FFS the exact values of the margins for FR1 and FR2.****Observation 2: The group delay calibration margin should scale inversely with PRS bandwidth.****Proposal 5: RAN4 should discuss the assumptions for UE frequency error and separation between PRS resources and decide on a frequency drift margin to be added to RSTD measurement requirements.** |
| [**R4-2107165**](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Crhuang5%5COneDrive%20-%20Intel%20Corporation%5CDocuments%5Cmy_work%5CLTE_A%5CRAN4%5C98e-b%5CDocs%5CR4-2107165.zip) On RSTD measurement accuracy requirements | Ericsson | ***Proposal 1****: Clarify in section 9.9.2.5 of TS 38.133:* * + *If intra-frequency or inter-frequency handover occurs while RSTD measurements are being performed, then the UE shall continue and complete the on-going RSTD measurements.*

*[Moderator: this is related to core requirements]****Proposal 2****: The UE shall continue and complete an RSTD measurement while meeting RSTD measurement accuracy requirements in clause 10.1.23 even when a serving cell change (intra-frequency handover, inter-frequency handover, SCell reconfiguration, addition, release, activation, or deactivation, or PSCell reconfiguration, addition, or release) occurs during the measurement****Proposal 3****: No need to define the applicability for propagation channels in accuracy requirements (i.e., Option 1 in the RAN4#97-e WF [1]).****Proposal 4****: The RSTD accuracy requirements shall apply for any DL-PRS-ResourceRepetitionFactor≥1 and any LPRS≥2 which is given by the higher-layer parameter dl-PRS-NumSymbols and any comb pattern****Proposal 7****: The RSTD accuracy is defined based on the earlier agreed 4 samples (already in the core requirements). RAN4 shall not reopen the discussion on the number of samples.****Proposal 5****: For FR1, the RSTD measurement accuracy is as in Table 1.*

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Table 1: RSTD accuracy in FR1Accuracy,** **Tc** | **PRS BW,** **PRB** | **PRS SCS,****kHz** | **Repetition factor**  $T\_{rep}^{PRS}$**[38.211]** | **Repetition within slot** **(i.e.** $L\_{PRS}>K\_{comb}^{PRS}$**[38.211]**$L\_{PRS},K\_{comb}^{PRS}$**)** | **Comb size** $K\_{comb}^{PRS}$**[38.211]** |
| ±90 | 24 ≤ BW ≤ 48 | 15, 30 | All | All | All |
| ±50 | 48 < BW≤ 132 | 15, 30 | All | All | All |
| ±35 | BW >132 | 15, 30 | All | All | All |

***Proposal 8****: For FR2, the RSTD measurement accuracy is as in Table 2.***Table 2: RSTD accuracy in FR2**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Accuracy,** **Tc** | **PRS BW,** **PRB** | **PRS SCS,****kHz** | **Repetition factor**  $T\_{rep}^{PRS}$**[38.211]** | **Repetition within slot** **(i.e.** $L\_{PRS}>K\_{comb}^{PRS}$**[38.211]**$L\_{PRS},K\_{comb}^{PRS}$**)** | **Comb size** $K\_{comb}^{PRS}$**[38.211]** |
| ±80 | 24 ≤ BW ≤ 32 | 60, 120 | All | All | All |
| ±40 | 32 < BW≤ 64 | 60, 120 | All | All | All |
| ±30 | BW >64 | 60, 120 | All | All | All |

 |
| [**R4-2107006**](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Crhuang5%5COneDrive%20-%20Intel%20Corporation%5CDocuments%5Cmy_work%5CLTE_A%5CRAN4%5C98e-b%5CDocs%5CR4-2107006.zip) Discussion on accuracy requirements for RSTD measurement | Huawei, HiSilicon | **Proposal 1: Applicable accuracy requirements is not impacted by HO.****Proposal 2: Captured in the specification the propagation channel models based on which the accuracy requirements are derived, or the accuracy requirements are applicable only for AWGN.****Proposal 3: Use the following margins to account for the group delay calibration error for RSTD*** **0, if reference resource and neighbour resource are on the same PRS layer**
* **32Tc, reference resource and neighbour resource are on different PRS layers**

**Proposal 4: RSTD accuracy requirements are defined based on PRS configuration parameters of** * **PRS BW defined in number of PRBs**
* **PRS SCS**
* **PRS repetition factor *dl-PRS-ResourceRepetitionFactor \* dl-PRS-NumSymbols / dl-PRS-CombSizeN***

**Proposal 5: Use Table 2 as template to form RSTD accuracy requirements.****Table 2: Template for RSTD accuracy requirements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Accuracy (Tc)** | **SCS (kHz)** | **PRB num** | **Repetition**  |
|  | 15/30/60/120 | 24-40 | 2 |
|  | 44-84 | 1 |
|  | 88-168 | 1 |
|  | 172-max | 1 |

 |
| [**R4-2107007**](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Crhuang5%5COneDrive%20-%20Intel%20Corporation%5CDocuments%5Cmy_work%5CLTE_A%5CRAN4%5C98e-b%5CDocs%5CR4-2107007.zip)draftCR to introduce accuracy requirements for RSTD measurement | Huawei, HiSilicon | CR |

## Open issues summary and companies’ views collection for 1st round

### Sub-topic 2-1 Applicable accuracy requirement in case of intra-/inter-frequency HO and other serving cell changes

* Option 1 (Huawei, Intel, Qualcomm, CATT, vivo) Applicable accuracy requirements are not impacted by HO.
* Option 2 (Ericsson): Clarification on the current spec below
	+ *“If intra-frequency or inter-frequency handover occurs while RSTD measurements are being performed, then the UE shall continue and complete the on-going RSTD” measurements.*

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Intel | Support Option 1. In our view, Option 2 is same as Option 1 from the accuracy requirements perspective. We didn’t see any RSTD measurement accuracy difference when intra-f and inter-f HO happened. |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### Sub-topic 2-2 Applicable propagation channel for accuracy requirement

* Option 1 (vivo, Intel, Ericsson, OPPO). No need to define the applicability with propagation channels for accuracy requirement. (e.g. TDL-C channel model with 300 ns delay spread shall be considered also)
* Option 2 (Huawei): Captured in the specification the propagation channel models based on which the accuracy requirements are derived, or the accuracy requirements are applicable only for AWGN
* Option 2a (CATT): Captured in the specification the propagation channel models based on which the accuracy requirements are derived.
* Option 3 (Qualcomm): RAN4 to consider defining PRS-RSTD and UE Rx-Tx measurement accuracy requirements only for AWGN

Recommended WF: Can the proposal below be agreeable?

* Captured in the specification the propagation channel models based on which the accuracy requirements are derived.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Intel | As a compromise, we can accept the recommended WF. The exact text in spec can be discussed with CR together.  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### Sub-topic 2-3 How to define the accuracy requirements with the combinations of PRS BW and other parameters (e.g. SCS, comb size, repetition)

* Option 1 (Intel, CATT). RSTD accuracy requirements are defined based on PRS configuration parameters of
	+ PRS BW defined in number of PRBs
	+ PRS repetition factor *dl-PRS-ResourceRepetitionFactor \* dl-PRS-NumSymbols / dl-PRS-CombSizeN*
* Option 2 (Huawei, vivo). RSTD accuracy requirements are defined based on PRS configuration parameters of
	+ PRS BW defined in number of PRBs
	+ PRS SCS
	+ PRS repetition factor dl-PRS-ResourceRepetitionFactor \* dl-PRS-NumSymbols / dl-PRS-CombSizeN
* Option 3 (Ericsson): The RSTD accuracy requirements
	+ Depend on PRS BW defined in number of PRBs
* Option 4 (OPPO).
	+ For PRS repetition factor,the number of “1” in MutingPattern-r16 for mutingOption2-r16 should be considered instead of *dl-PRS-ResourceRepetitionFactor* .
	+ The minimum value of other PRS configuration parameters, e.g., repetition factor if introduced, should be considered for applicability of RSTD accuracy requirements.

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views.

[*Moderator notes: We can firstly identify how much performance variance for the difference parameters sets based on the simulation results collected in [R4-2106457]. Then we can consider to group these parameter sets to define the requirements*]

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Intel | From the simulation results collected in this meeting[*R4-2106457]*, the performance difference due to SCS is mainly because of the quantization error. So we can firstly conclude that the requirements can be defined independent with SCS. If the proponent of Option 2 can accept this, Option 1 and Option 2 are exactly same. For Option 3, we can see some performance difference when the other parameters are different especially when the PRS BW is too small (e.g. <24PRBs). So the requirements for some worse can be separated with other typical cases. But in principle, we fully agree the requirements sets must to be grouped for simplicity of testing. For Option 4, it is unnecessary to define the specific accuracy requirements for muting pattern.  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### Sub-topic 2-4 Group delay calibration margin

* Option 1 (Huawei). RAN4 to decide on the group delay calibration margin.
	+ margin equals to zero if the reference and neighbouring resources are on the same frequency layer in FR1
	+ 32Tc, reference resource and neighbour resource are on different PRS layers
* Option 1a(Qualcomm) RAN4 will add a non-zero group delay calibration margin to the RSTD accuracy requirements in FR1 and FR2.
	+ FFS the exact values of the margins for FR1 and FR2.
	+ The group delay calibration margin should scale inversely with PRS bandwidth.

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Intel | We slightly prefer Option 1 for FR1. FR2’s margin need more inputs.  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### Sub-topic 2-5 Frequency drift margin

* Option 1 (Qualcomm): RAN4 should discuss the assumptions for UE frequency error and separation between PRS resources and decide on a frequency drift margin to be added to RSTD measurement requirements.

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Intel | In our understanding, such frequency drift will led the timing offset over a long period. But for RSTD estimation, the timing offset compensation shall be needed in the practical implementation. Such extra margin is unnecessary.  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### Sub-topic 2-6 RSTD accuracy requirements for FR1/FR2

*[Moderator notes: the exact accuracy requirements can be discussed after the principles above agreed.]*

* Option 1 (Ericsson)

The RSTD accuracy requirements shall apply for any DL-PRS-ResourceRepetitionFactor≥1 and any LPRS≥2 which is given by the higher-layer parameter dl-PRS-NumSymbols and any comb pattern

**Table 1: RSTD accuracy in FR1**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Accuracy,** **Tc** | **PRS BW,** **PRB** | **PRS SCS,****kHz** | **Repetition factor**  $T\_{rep}^{PRS}$**[38.211]** | **Repetition within slot** **(i.e.** $L\_{PRS}>K\_{comb}^{PRS}$**[38.211]**$L\_{PRS},K\_{comb}^{PRS}$**)** | **Comb size** $K\_{comb}^{PRS}$**[38.211]** |
| ±90 | 24 ≤ BW ≤ 48 | 15, 30 | All | All | All |
| ±50 | 48 < BW≤ 132 | 15, 30 | All | All | All |
| ±35 | BW >132 | 15, 30 | All | All | All |

**Table 2: RSTD accuracy in FR2**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Accuracy,** **Tc** | **PRS BW,** **PRB** |  | **PRS SCS,****kHz** | **Repetition factor**  $T\_{rep}^{PRS}$**[38.211]** | **Repetition within slot** **(i.e.** $L\_{PRS}>K\_{comb}^{PRS}$**[38.211]**$L\_{PRS},K\_{comb}^{PRS}$**)** | **Comb size** $K\_{comb}^{PRS}$**[38.211]** |
| ±80 | 24 ≤ BW ≤ 32 |  | 60, 120 | All | All | All |
| ±40 | 32 < BW≤ 64 |  | 60, 120 | All | All | All |
| ±30 | BW >64 |  | 60, 120 | All | All | All |

* Option 2 (CATT)
* **Table 1 RSTD measurement accuracy in FR1**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| accuracy | PRS Ês/Iot | SCS | PRS BW | Repetition |
| Tc | dB | kHz | PRB | - |
| [±TBD] | ≥-6dB | 15/30/60 | ≥[24] | ≥[1] |
| [±TBD] | ≥-13dB | ＞ [104] | ≥[1] |
| ≤ [104] | ≥[1] |
| [±TBD] | ≤ [52] | ＞[1] |
| [±TBD] | ≤ [52] | [1] |

* Option 3(Intel)
* **Table 1: RSTD accuracy requirements (Tc)**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **FR** | **PRS BW (PRBs)** | **PRS\_NormLenthPerSlot** | **Accuracy requirements when SINR= [-6dB, -13dB]** |
| FR1 | ≥24 | ≥1 | ±236 |
| ≥4 | ±108 |
| ≥52 | ≥1 | ±148 |
| ≥6 | ±44 |
| ≥104 | ≥1 | ±148 |
| ≥3 | ±108 |
| ≥268 | ≥1 | ±84 |
| ≥4 | ±52 |
| FR2 | ≥24 | ≥1 | TBD |
| ≥32 | ≥1 | ±372 |
| ≥64 | ≥1 | ±132 |
| ≥128 | ≥1 | ±132 |

* Option 4. vivo
* Table 1: RSTD measurement accuracy in FR1

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Accuracy | **((PRS Ês/Iot)ref,** (PRS Ês/Iot)*i* ) | Minimum PRSbandwidth | PRS SCS | Total number of repetitions of PRS resource |
| Ts Note 2 | dB | RB | kHz |  |
|  | (≥-6dB, ≥-13dB) | ≥ 24 | 15kHz | 1 |
|  | (≥-6dB, ≥-13dB) | ≥ 24 | 15kHz | 4 |
|  | (≥-6dB, ≥-13dB) | ≥ 52 | 15kHz | 1 |
|  | (≥-6dB, ≥-13dB) | ≥ 52 | 15kHz | 4 |
|  | (≥-6dB, ≥-13dB) | ≥ 104 | 15kHz | 1 |
|  | (≥-6dB, ≥-13dB) | ≥ 104 | 15kHz | 4 |
|  | (≥-6dB, ≥-13dB) | ≥ 268 | 15kHz | 1 |
|  | (≥-6dB, ≥-13dB) | ≥ 268 | 15kHz | 4 |
|  | (≥-6dB, ≥-13dB) | ≥ 48 | 30kHz | 1 |
|  | (≥-6dB, ≥-13dB) | ≥ 48 | 30kHz | 4 |
|  | (≥-6dB, ≥-13dB) | ≥ 132 | 30kHz | 1 |
|  | (≥-6dB, ≥-13dB) | ≥ 132 | 30kHz | 4 |
|  | (≥-6dB, ≥-13dB) | ≥ 272 | 30kHz | 1 |
|  | (≥-6dB, ≥-13dB) | ≥ 272 | 30kHz | 4 |

* Option 5 (Huawei)
* **Table 2: Template for RSTD accuracy requirements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Accuracy (Tc)** | **SCS (kHz)** | **PRB num** | **Repetition**  |
|  | 15/30/60/120 | 24-40 | 2 |
|  | 44-84 | 1 |
|  | 88-168 | 1 |
|  | 172-max | 1 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Accuracy (Tc)** | **SCS (kHz)** | **PRB num** | **Repetition**  |
|  | 60 | 24-40 | 2 |
|  | 44-84 | 1 |
|  | 88-168 | 1 |
|  | 172-max | 1 |
|  | 120 | 24-40 | 2 |
|  | 44-84 | 1 |
|  | 88-168 | 1 |
|  | 172-max | 1 |

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views.

*[Moderator notes: the exact accuracy requirements can be discussed after the principles above agreed.]*

### CRs/TPs

[*Moderator notes: suggest take one of these CR drafts as the baseline which can be revised in 2nd round discussion*.]

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **Comments collection** |
| [**R4-2107007**](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Crhuang5%5COneDrive%20-%20Intel%20Corporation%5CDocuments%5Cmy_work%5CLTE_A%5CRAN4%5C98e-b%5CDocs%5CR4-2107007.zip) (Huawei, Hi Silicon) |  |
|  |
|  |
|  |  |
|  |
|  |
|  |  |
|  |
|  |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary**  |
| **Sub-topic#2-1** | **Applicable accuracy requirement in case of HO and other serving cell changes***Tentative agreements:* *Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round:* |
| **Sub-topic#2-2** | **Applicable propagation channel for accuracy requirement***Tentative agreements:**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round:*  |
| **Sub-topic#2-3** | **How to define the accuracy requirements with the combinations of PRS BW, repetitions and others***Tentative agreements:**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round:*  |
| **Sub-topic#2-4** | **Group delay calibration margin***Tentative agreements:* *Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round: Can be FFS* |
| **Sub-topic#2-5** | **Frequency drift margin***Tentative agreements:**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round: The exact requirements can be deferred to the next meeting.* |
| **Sub-topic#2-6** | **RSTD accuracy requirements for FR1/FR2***Moderator Notes: The principle (e.g. the parameters used to define the different requirements) can be agreed firstly. Then we can define the specific accurate requirements.* *Tentative agreements:**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round:*  |

### CRs/TPs

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation**  |
| R4-210700 (Huawei, Hi Silicon) |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## Discussion on 2nd round

Please only comment on topics that are selected for discussion in 2nd round.

**Sub-topic 2-1 Applicable accuracy requirement in case of HO and other serving cell changes**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Sub-topic 2-2 Applicable propagation channel for accuracy requirement**

*[Moderator notes: Companies were also encouraged to provide the simulation results to further check the gap brought by TDL-C]*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Sub-topic 2-3 How to define the accuracy requirements with the combinations of PRS BW, repetitions and others**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Sub-topic 2-4 Group delay calibration margin**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## Summary on 2nd round

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP/LS/WF number** | **T-doc status update recommendation**  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# Topic #3: Measurement Accuracy Requirements for PRS RSRP (AI5.5.2.2.2.2)

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| [**R4-2104746**](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Crhuang5%5COneDrive%20-%20Intel%20Corporation%5CDocuments%5Cmy_work%5CLTE_A%5CRAN4%5C98e-b%5CDocs%5CR4-2104746.zip)Discussion on PRS RSRP accuracy requirements | CATT | **Observation 1: There is no big difference on the measurement accuracy for SINR = -3dB and SINR = -6dB.** **Observation 2: When the PRS bandwidth is same, there is no big difference for the measurement error for different SCS.** **Observation 3: The measurement accuracy improves as the value of PRS\_NormLenthPerSlot increases. And the impact of PRS\_NormLenthPerSlot reduces when the PRS bandwidth become larger.** **Proposal 1: Define the side condition #1 for PRS RSRP measurement accuracy requirements in DL-AoD as -6dB.** **Proposal 2: The measurement accuracy of PRS RSRP is defined based on the PRS bandwidth and PRS\_NormLenthPerSlot.** **Proposal 3: Define the PRS RSRP measurement accuracy following the tables as below:** **Table 1 PRS RSRP measurement accuracy in FR1**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| accuracy | PRS Ês/Iot | SCS | PRS BW | Repetition |
| dB | dB | kHz | PRB | - |
| [±3.5] | ≥[-6]dB | 15/30/60 | ≥[24] | ≥[1] |
| [±3.5] | ≥-13dB | ≥ [52] | ≥[1] |
| ≤ [52] | ＞[2] |
| [±4.5] | ≤ [52] | ≤[2] |

**Table 2 PRS RSRP measurement accuracy in FR2**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| accuracy | PRS Ês/Iot | SCS | PRS BW | Repetition |
| dB | dB | kHz | PRB | - |
| [±3.5] | ≥[-6]dB | 60/120 | ≥[24] | ≥[1] |
| [±3.5] | ≥-13dB | ≥ [32] | ≥[1] |
| ≤ [32] | ＞[2] |
| [±4.5] | ≤ [32] | ≤[2] |

 |
| [[**R4-2104747**](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Crhuang5%5COneDrive%20-%20Intel%20Corporation%5CDocuments%5Cmy_work%5CLTE_A%5CRAN4%5C98e-b%5CDocs%5CR4-2104747.zip)](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_98bis_e/Docs/R4-2100446.zip) CR on PRS-RSRP accuracy requirements | CATT | CR |
| [**R4-2106339**](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Crhuang5%5COneDrive%20-%20Intel%20Corporation%5CDocuments%5Cmy_work%5CLTE_A%5CRAN4%5C98e-b%5CDocs%5CR4-2106339.zip)On PRS-RSRP measurement accuracy requirements | Qualcomm | **Proposal 1: Add calibration error margin of ±2.5 dB to PRS-RSRP absolute accuracy requirements for FR1.****Proposal 2: Add calibration error margin of ±4.0 dB to PRS-RSRP absolute accuracy requirements for FR2.****Proposal 3: FFS the calibration error margins for PRS-RSRP relative accuracy requirements for FR1 and FR2.****Proposal 4: RAN4 needs to consider the following** **questions before deciding the calibration error margin for relative accuracy requirements:**1. **Whether relative accuracy requirements would apply to any two PRS-RSRP measurements reported for any positioning method using either absolute report mapping or differential report mapping.**
2. **Whether relative accuracy requirements would apply to any two PRS-RSRP measurements corresponding to PRS resources from different TRPs.**
3. **Whether relative accuracy requirements would apply to any two PRS-RSRP measurements made in different PFLs or in the same PFL.**
4. **Whether relative accuracy requirements would apply to any two PRS-RSRP measurements made in different FRs.**
5. **Whether relative accuracy requirements would apply to any two PRS-RSRP measurements made in the same PFL with different Rx antennas/paths.**
6. **Whether relative accuracy requirements would apply to any two PRS-RSRP measurements with a large difference in RSRP levels (different AGC) in the same PFL.**
7. **Whether relative accuracy requirements would apply to any two PRS-RSRP measurements made with different Rx beams in FR2.**

**Observation 1: Rx beam indication is only provided in certain cases for PRS-RSRP measurements reported for DL-AoD.** |
| [**R4-2106456**](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Crhuang5%5COneDrive%20-%20Intel%20Corporation%5CDocuments%5Cmy_work%5CLTE_A%5CRAN4%5C98e-b%5CDocs%5CR4-2106456.zip)Discussion on NR PRS RSRP measurement accuracy requirements | Intel Corporation | **Observation 1a: With the same PRS BW, when SINR is higher enough (>= -3dB) the performance gap because of DL-PRS-NumSymbols ,DL-PRS\_ResourceRepetitionFactor and DL-PRS-CombSizeN can be less than about 1dB**.**Observation 1b: With the same PRS BW, when SINR is higher enough (>= -13dB) the performance gap because of DL-PRS-NumSymbols ,DL-PRS\_ResourceRepetitionFactor and DL-PRS-CombSizeN can be less than about 2dB**.***Proposal 1 : The accuracy requirements of RSRP can be independent with the following parameters:**** **DL-PRS-NumSymbols**
* **DL-PRS\_ResourceRepetitionFactor**
* **DL-PRS-CombSizeN**

**Observation 2a: When SINR is higher enough (>= -3dB) the performance gap because of PRS BW can be less than 1dB**.**Observation 2b: When SINR is higher enough (>= -13dB) the performance gap because of PRS BW can be less than 5dB**.***Proposal 2-1 : The accuracy requirements of RSRP when SINR is larger than [-3dB] can be independent with PRS BS.******Proposal 2-2 : The accuracy requirements of RSRP when SINR is larger than [-13dB] can be dependent with PRS BS.*** ***Proposal 3 : The absolute accuracy requirements of PRS RSRP can be specified by the Table 1 below***.**Table 1: PRS RSRP Absolute Accuracy**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **FR** | **PRS BW, MHz (or PRBs)** | **Accuracy (dB)****(SINR= -3dB)** | **Absolute Accuracy (dB)****(SINR= -13dB)** |
| **FR1** | **≥24** | [±2.0] | [±6.5] |
|  **≥ 52** | [±6.5] |
|
|
|
| **≥104** | [±5.0] |
|
|
|
|  **≥ 268** |  [±4.5] |
|
|
|
| **FR2** | **≥32**  | [±2.0] | [±6.0] |
|
|
|
| **≥64**  | [±6.0] |
|
|
|
| **≥128** | [±5.5] |
|
|
|

***Proposal 4 : The relative accuracy requirements of PRS RSRP can be specified by the Table 21 below***.**Table 2: PRS RSRP Relative Accuracy**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **FR** | **PRS BW, MHz (or PRBs)** | **Accuracy (dB)****(SINR= -3dB)** | **Absolute Accuracy (dB)****(SINR= -13dB)** |
| **FR1** | **≥24** | [±2.0] | [±3.5] |
|  **≥ 52** | [±3.0] |
|
|
|
| **≥104** | [±3.0] |
|
|
|
|  **≥ 268** |  [±3.0] |
|
|
|
| **FR2** | **≥32**  | [±1.5] | [±3.0] |
|
|
|
| **≥64**  | [±2.0] |
|
|
|
| **≥128** | [±1.0] |

 |
| [**R4-2106521**](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Crhuang5%5COneDrive%20-%20Intel%20Corporation%5CDocuments%5Cmy_work%5CLTE_A%5CRAN4%5C98e-b%5CDocs%5CR4-2106521.zip)Discussion on PRS RSRP accuracy requirements | OPPO | **Proposal 1: -6dB SINR side condition should be introduced for PRS RSRP.****Proposal 2: For RSRP RF margin in FR1, support 2.5dB for absolute accuracy and 0dB for relative accuracy.****Observation 1: For PRS RSRP with high SINR side condition, the performance loss among with different PRS configurations are ignorable.** **Proposal 4: For PRS RSRP with high SINR side condition, support single set of accuracy requirements for different PRS configuration.** **Proposal 5: For PRS RSRP with low SINR side condition, the accuracy requirements should be defined based on PRS bandwidth\*normalized PRS length.****Table 4: PRS-RSRP accuracy requirements for FR1**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Es/Iot (dB) | Absolute accuracy (dB) | Relativeaccuracy (dB) | Total number of PRS Res (i.e., PRS bandwidth\*normalized PRS length) |
| -6 | ±(1+2.5 margin) | ±(2.0+0 margin) | >=24\*12 |
| -13 | ±(6+2.5 margin) | ±(8.0+0 margin) | >=24\*12 |
| ±(1.5+2.5 margin) | ±(3.0+0 margin) | >=104\*12 |
| ±(1.0+2.5 margin) | ±(1.5+0 margin) | >=320\*12 |

 |
| [**R4-2106633**](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Crhuang5%5COneDrive%20-%20Intel%20Corporation%5CDocuments%5Cmy_work%5CLTE_A%5CRAN4%5C98e-b%5CDocs%5CR4-2106633.zip)Discussion on PRS-RSRP accuracy requirements | vivo | **Proposal 1: RF margin as in option 1 is used to define PRS-RSRP absolute and relative accuracy requirements.****Proposal 2: PRS-RSRP measurement accuracy rquirements are specified as in Table 1 and Table 2 for FR1 and FR2 respectively.**Table 1: PRS-RSRP measurement accuracy in FR1

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Accuracy | PRS Ês/Iot | Minimum PRSbandwidth | PRS SCS | Total number of repetitions of PRS resource |
| dB | dB | RB | kHz |  |
|  | ≥ -3 | ≥ 24 | 15kHz | 1 |
|  | ≥ -3 | ≥ 24 | 15kHz | 4 |
|  | ≥ -3 | ≥ 52 | 15kHz | 1 |
|  | ≥ -3 | ≥ 52 | 15kHz | 4 |
|  | ≥ -3 | ≥ 104 | 15kHz | 1 |
|  | ≥ -3 | ≥ 104 | 15kHz | 4 |
|  | ≥ -3 | ≥ 268 | 15kHz | 1 |
|  | ≥ -3 | ≥ 268 | 15kHz | 4 |
|  | ≥ -3 | ≥ 48 | 30kHz | 1 |
|  | ≥ -3 | ≥ 48 | 30kHz | 4 |
|  | ≥ -3 | ≥ 132 | 30kHz | 1 |
|  | ≥ -3 | ≥ 132 | 30kHz | 4 |
|  | ≥ -3 | ≥ 272 | 30kHz | 1 |
|  | ≥ -3 | ≥ 272 | 30kHz | 4 |
|  | ≥-13 | ≥ 24 | 15kHz | 1 |
|  | ≥-13 | ≥ 24 | 15kHz | 4 |
|  | ≥-13 | ≥ 52 | 15kHz | 1 |
|  | ≥-13 | ≥ 52 | 15kHz | 4 |
|  | ≥-13 | ≥ 104 | 15kHz | 1 |
|  | ≥-13 | ≥ 104 | 15kHz | 4 |
|  | ≥-13 | ≥ 268 | 15kHz | 1 |
|  | ≥-13 | ≥ 268 | 15kHz | 4 |
|  | ≥-13 | ≥ 48 | 30kHz | 1 |
|  | ≥-13 | ≥ 48 | 30kHz | 4 |
|  | ≥-13 | ≥ 132 | 30kHz | 1 |
|  | ≥-13 | ≥ 132 | 30kHz | 4 |
|  | ≥-13 | ≥ 272 | 30kHz | 1 |
|  | ≥-13 | ≥ 272 | 30kHz | 4 |
|  | ≥-13 | ≥ 24 | 15kHz | 1 |

Table 2: PRS-RSRP measurement accuracy in FR2

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Accuracy | PRS Ês/Iot | Minimum PRSbandwidth | PRS SCS | Total number of repetitions of PRS resource |
| dB | dB | RB | kHz |  |
|  | ≥ -3 | ≥ 32 | 120kHz | 1 |
|  | ≥ -3 | ≥ 32 | 120kHz | 4 |
|  | ≥ -3 | ≥ 64 | 120kHz | 1 |
|  | ≥ -3 | ≥ 64 | 120kHz | 4 |
|  | ≥ -3 | ≥ 128 | 120kHz | 1 |
|  | ≥ -3 | ≥ 128 | 120kHz | 4 |
|  | ≥-13 | ≥ 32 | 120kHz | 1 |
|  | ≥-13 | ≥ 32 | 120kHz | 4 |
|  | ≥-13 | ≥ 64 | 120kHz | 1 |
|  | ≥-13 | ≥ 64 | 120kHz | 4 |
|  | ≥-13 | ≥ 128 | 120kHz | 1 |
|  | ≥-13 | ≥ 128 | 120kHz | 4 |

**Proposal 3: Parameters in Table 1 and Table 2 can be further simplified or grouped based on agreements on accuracy.** |
| [**R4-2107166**](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Crhuang5%5COneDrive%20-%20Intel%20Corporation%5CDocuments%5Cmy_work%5CLTE_A%5CRAN4%5C98e-b%5CDocs%5CR4-2107166.zip)On PRS-RSRP measurement accuracy requirements | Ericsson | ***Proposal 1****: The PRS-RSRP accuracy requirements shall apply for any DL-PRS-ResourceRepetitionFactor≥1 and any LPRS≥2 which is given by the higher-layer parameter dl-PRS-NumSymbols and any comb pattern.****Proposal 2****: For FR1, the absolute PRS-RSRP measurement accuracy is as in Table 1.***Table 1: Absolute PRS-RSRP accuracy in FR1**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Accuracy,****dB** | **Es/Iot,** **dB** | **PRS BW,** **PRB** | **PRS SCS,****kHz** | **Repetition factor**  $T\_{rep}^{PRS}$**[38.211]** | **Repetition within slot** **(i.e.** $L\_{PRS}>K\_{comb}^{PRS}$**[38.211]**$L\_{PRS},K\_{comb}^{PRS}$**)** | **Comb size** $K\_{comb}^{PRS}$**[38.211]** |
| ±3 | -3 | 24 ≤ BW ≤ 48 | 15, 30 | All | All | All |
| ±2.5 | 48 < BW≤ 132 | 15, 30 | All | All | All |
| ±2 | BW >132 | 15, 30 | All | All | All |
| ±4.5 | -6 | 24 ≤ BW ≤ 48 | 15, 30 | All | All | All |
| ±3.5 | 48 < BW≤ 132 | 15, 30 | All | All | All |
| ±2.5 | BW >132 | 15, 30 | All | All | All |
| ±7 | -13 | 24 ≤ BW ≤ 48 | 15, 30 | All | All | All |
| ±5 | 48 < BW≤ 132 | 15, 30 | All | All | All |
| ±3 | BW >132 | 15, 30 | All | All | All |

***Proposal 3****: For FR2, the absolute PRS-RSRP measurement accuracy is as in Table 2.***Table 2: Absolute PRS-RSRP accuracy in FR2**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Accuracy,****dB** | **Es/Iot,** **dB** | **PRS BW,** **PRB** | **PRS SCS,****kHz** | **Repetition factor**  $T\_{rep}^{PRS}$**[38.211]** | **Repetition within slot** **(i.e.** $L\_{PRS}>K\_{comb}^{PRS}$**[38.211]**$L\_{PRS},K\_{comb}^{PRS}$**)** | **Comb size** $K\_{comb}^{PRS}$**[38.211]** |
| ±4 | -3 | 24 ≤ BW ≤ 32 | 60, 120 | All | All | All |
| ±3.5 | 32 < BW≤ 64 | 60, 120 | All | All | All |
| ±3 | BW >64 | 60, 120 | All | All | All |
| ±6 | -6 | 24 ≤ BW ≤ 32 | 60, 120 | All | All | All |
| ±5 | 32 < BW≤ 64 | 60, 120 | All | All | All |
| ±4 | BW >64 | 60, 120 | All | All | All |
| ±9 | -13 | 24 ≤ BW ≤ 32 | 60, 120 | All | All | All |
| ±7 | 32 < BW≤ 64 | 60, 120 | All | All | All |
| ±6 | BW >64 | 60, 120 | All | All | All |

* ***Proposal 4****: The PRS-RSRP accuracy is defined based on the earlier agreed 4 samples (already in the core requirements). RAN4 shall not reopen the discussion on the number of samples.*
* ***Proposal 5****: discuss the collected simulation results for the agreed side conditions (-3 dB and -13 dB). Note: -6 dB somehow was mistakenly used in the template in RAN4#98-e in R4-2104092.*
 |
| [**R4-2107008**](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Crhuang5%5COneDrive%20-%20Intel%20Corporation%5CDocuments%5Cmy_work%5CLTE_A%5CRAN4%5C98e-b%5CDocs%5CR4-2107008.zip)Discussion on accuracy requirements for PRS-RSRP measurement | Huawei, HiSilicon | **Proposal 1: The RF margin for PRS-RSRP accuracy is defined as** * **2.5dB for FR1 absolute accuracy requirements**
* **4dB for FR2 absolute accuracy requirements**
* **0dB for FR1 relative accuracy requirements**
* **0dB for FR2 relative accuracy requirements, provided that two PRS-RSRP are measured with the same Rx beam**

**Proposal 2: PRS-RSRP accuracy requirements are defined based on PRS configuration parameters of** * **PRS BW defined in number of PRBs**
* **PRS repetition factor *dl-PRS-ResourceRepetitionFactor \* dl-PRS-NumSymbols / dl-PRS-CombSizeN***

**Proposal 3a: For -3dB side condition, define a single set of accuracy requirements based on 24-PRB and no repetition.****Proposal 3b: For -13dB side condition, consider the following two options.*** **Option 1: define a single accuracy for all BWs based on different repetitions.**
* **Option 2: define different accuracies for different BWs based on no repetition**
 |

## Open issues summary and companies’ views collection for 1st round

### Sub-topic 3-1 PRS-RSRP SINR side condition of #1

Candidate options:

* Option 1(OPPO): -6dB
* Option 1a (CATT): Define the side condition #1 for PRS RSRP measurement accuracy requirements in DL-AoD as -6dB.
* Option 2 (Ericsson): -3dB

Recommended WF: Could we agreed [-3dB] as there is ignorable performance variance and [-3dB] was agreed in the last meeting?

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Intel | Since there are not obvious performance gap when SINR is -3dB and -6dB, we prefer to keep the -3dB as the side condition. So we can support Option 2 and recommended WF. |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### Sub-topic 3-2 How to define the accuracy requirements with the combinations of PRS BW and other parameters (e.g., comb size, repetition)

* Option 1a (Huawei).
	+ For -3dB side condition, define a single set of accuracy requirements based on 24-PRB and no repetition.
	+ For -13dB side condition, consider the following two options.
		- define a single accuracy for all BWs based on different repetitions or
		- define different accuracies for different BWs based on no repetition
* Option 1b (Intel, CATT): PRS-RSRP accuracy requirements are defined based on PRS configuration parameters of
	+ when SINR >[-3dB] , single set requirement
	+ when SINR >[-13dB]
		- PRS BW defined in number of PRBs
* Option 1c (Ericsson): *The PRS-RSRP accuracy requirements*
	+ Apply for:
		- any DL-PRS-ResourceRepetitionFactor≥1 and
		- any LPRS≥2 which is given by the higher-layer parameter dl-PRS-NumSymbols
		- any comb pattern.
	+ Dependend on PRS BW in PRBs
* Option 1d(OPPO)
	+ For PRS RSRP with high SINR side condition, support single set of accuracy requirements for different PRS configuration
	+ For PRS RSRP with low SINR side condition, the accuracy requirements should be defined based on PRS bandwidth\*normalized PRS length.
* Option 2. (vivo) Requirement sets can be further simplified or grouped based on agreements on accuracy.

Recommended WF: *Regarding to the options above are quite similar, could we agree the following proposal?*

* when SINR >[-3dB] ,
	+ single set requirement for all parameter sets
* when SINR >[-13dB]
	+ single set requirement for all parameter sets or
	+ multiple requirements depending on PRS BW (in PRBs )

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Intel | According to the proposals on the RSRP requirements and simulation results from the different companies, there is little variance in case of SINR=-3/-6dB. So the single requirement for high SINR can be agreed. And for the requirements for low SINR (-13dB), we have not strong preference. But if we need to define the multiple requirements for PRS RSRP, only the PRS BW need to be considered.  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### Sub-topic 3-3 RF calibration margin

Candidate options:

* Option 1(Huawei, vivo):

The RF margin for PRS-RSRP accuracy is defined as

* + 2.5dB for FR1 absolute accuracy requirements
	+ 4dB for FR2 absolute accuracy requirements
	+ 0dB for FR1 relative accuracy requirements
	+ 0dB for FR2 relative accuracy requirements, provided that two PRS-RSRP are measured with the same Rx beam
* Option 1a (Qualcomm):
	+ ±2.5 dB to PRS-RSRP absolute accuracy requirements for FR1.
	+ ±4.0 dB to PRS-RSRP absolute accuracy requirements for FR2.
	+ FFS the calibration error margins for PRS-RSRP relative accuracy requirements for FR1 and FR2.
		- RAN4 needs to consider the following questions before deciding the calibration error margin for relative accuracy requirements:
			* Whether relative accuracy requirements would apply to any two PRS-RSRP measurements reported for any positioning method using either absolute report mapping or differential report mapping.
			* Whether relative accuracy requirements would apply to any two PRS-RSRP measurements corresponding to PRS resources from different TRPs.
			* Whether relative accuracy requirements would apply to any two PRS-RSRP measurements made in different PFLs or in the same PFL.
			* Whether relative accuracy requirements would apply to any two PRS-RSRP measurements made in different FRs.
			* Whether relative accuracy requirements would apply to any two PRS-RSRP measurements made in the same PFL with different Rx antennas/paths.
			* Whether relative accuracy requirements would apply to any two PRS-RSRP measurements with a large difference in RSRP levels (different AGC) in the same PFL.
			* Whether relative accuracy requirements would apply to any two PRS-RSRP measurements made with different Rx beams in FR2.

Recommended WF: *Could we agree the following proposals*

* + 2.5dB for FR1 absolute accuracy requirements
	+ 4dB for FR2 absolute accuracy requirements
	+ FFS the calibration error margins for PRS-RSRP relative accuracy requirements for FR1 and FR2

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Intel | The recommended WF can be agreeable for us. |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### Sub-topic 3-4 PRS RSRP accuracy requirements

*[Moderator notes: the exact accuracy requirements can be discussed after the principles above agreed. And the accuracy requirements below were not include any margin.]*

* Option 1 (Intel)
* **Table 1: PRS RSRP Absolute Accuracy**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **FR** | **PRS BW, MHz (or PRBs)** | **Absolute Accuracy (dB)****(SINR= -3dB)** | **Absolute Accuracy (dB)****(SINR= -13dB)** |
| **FR1** | **≥24** | [±2.0] | [±6.5] |
|  **≥ 52** | [±6.5] |
|
|
|
| **≥104** | [±5.0] |
|
|
|
|  **≥ 268** |  [±4.5] |
|
|
|
| **FR2** | **≥32**  | [±2.0] | [±6.0] |
|
|
|
| **≥64**  | [±6.0] |
|
|
|
| **≥128** | [±5.5] |
|
|
|

**Table 2: PRS RSRP Relative Accuracy**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **FR** | **PRS BW, MHz (or PRBs)** | **Accuracy (dB)****(SINR= -3dB)** | **Absolute Accuracy (dB)****(SINR= -13dB)** |
| **FR1** | **≥24** | [±2.0] | [±3.5] |
|  **≥ 52** | [±3.0] |
|
|
|
| **≥104** | [±3.0] |
|
|
|
|  **≥ 268** |  [±3.0] |
|
|
|
| **FR2** | **≥32**  | [±1.5] | [±3.0] |
|
|
|
| **≥64**  | [±2.0] |
|
|
|
| **≥128** | [±1.0] |

* Option 2 (OPPO)
* **Table 4: PRS-RSRP accuracy requirements for FR1**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Es/Iot (dB) | Absolute accuracy (dB) | Relativeaccuracy (dB) | Total number of PRS Res (i.e., PRS bandwidth\*normalized PRS length) |
| -6 | ±(1+2.5 margin) | ±(2.0+0 margin) | >=24\*12 |
| -13 | ±(6+2.5 margin) | ±(8.0+0 margin) | >=24\*12 |
| ±(1.5+2.5 margin) | ±(3.0+0 margin) | >=104\*12 |
| ±(1.0+2.5 margin) | ±(1.5+0 margin) | >=320\*12 |

* Option 3 (Ericsson) For FR1, the absolute PRS-RSRP measurement accuracy is as in Table 1.

**Table 1: Absolute PRS-RSRP accuracy in FR1**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Accuracy,****dB** | **Es/Iot,** **dB** | **PRS BW,** **PRB** | **PRS SCS,****kHz** | **Repetition factor**  $T\_{rep}^{PRS}$**[38.211]** | **Repetition within slot** **(i.e.** $L\_{PRS}>K\_{comb}^{PRS}$**[38.211]**$L\_{PRS},K\_{comb}^{PRS}$**)** | **Comb size** $K\_{comb}^{PRS}$**[38.211]** |
| ±3 | -3 | 24 ≤ BW ≤ 48 | 15, 30 | All | All | All |
| ±2.5 | 48 < BW≤ 132 | 15, 30 | All | All | All |
| ±2 | BW >132 | 15, 30 | All | All | All |
| ±4.5 | -6 | 24 ≤ BW ≤ 48 | 15, 30 | All | All | All |
| ±3.5 | 48 < BW≤ 132 | 15, 30 | All | All | All |
| ±2.5 | BW >132 | 15, 30 | All | All | All |
| ±7 | -13 | 24 ≤ BW ≤ 48 | 15, 30 | All | All | All |
| ±5 | 48 < BW≤ 132 | 15, 30 | All | All | All |
| ±3 | BW >132 | 15, 30 | All | All | All |

**Table 2: Absolute PRS-RSRP accuracy in FR2**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Accuracy,****dB** | **Es/Iot,** **dB** | **PRS BW,** **PRB** | **PRS SCS,****kHz** | **Repetition factor**  $T\_{rep}^{PRS}$**[38.211]** | **Repetition within slot** **(i.e.** $L\_{PRS}>K\_{comb}^{PRS}$**[38.211]**$L\_{PRS},K\_{comb}^{PRS}$**)** | **Comb size** $K\_{comb}^{PRS}$**[38.211]** |
| ±4 | -3 | 24 ≤ BW ≤ 32 | 60, 120 | All | All | All |
| ±3.5 | 32 < BW≤ 64 | 60, 120 | All | All | All |
| ±3 | BW >64 | 60, 120 | All | All | All |
| ±6 | -6 | 24 ≤ BW ≤ 32 | 60, 120 | All | All | All |
| ±5 | 32 < BW≤ 64 | 60, 120 | All | All | All |
| ±4 | BW >64 | 60, 120 | All | All | All |
| ±9 | -13 | 24 ≤ BW ≤ 32 | 60, 120 | All | All | All |
| ±7 | 32 < BW≤ 64 | 60, 120 | All | All | All |
| ±6 | BW >64 | 60, 120 | All | All | All |

* Option 4(CATT):
	+ Define the PRS RSRP measurement accuracy following the tables as below:

**Table 1 PRS RSRP measurement accuracy in FR1**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| accuracy | PRS Ês/Iot | SCS | PRS BW | Repetition |
| dB | dB | kHz | PRB | - |
| [±3.5] | ≥[-6]dB | 15/30/60 | ≥[24] | ≥[1] |
| [±3.5] | ≥-13dB | ≥ [52] | ≥[1] |
| ≤ [52] | ＞[2] |
| [±4.5] | ≤ [52] | ≤[2] |

**Table 2 PRS RSRP measurement accuracy in FR2**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| accuracy | PRS Ês/Iot | SCS | PRS BW | Repetition |
| dB | dB | kHz | PRB | - |
| [±3.5] | ≥[-6]dB | 60/120 | ≥[24] | ≥[1] |
| [±3.5] | ≥-13dB | ≥ [32] | ≥[1] |
| ≤ [32] | ＞[2] |
| [±4.5] | ≤ [32] | ≤[2] |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Intel | In principle, Option 1,2,3 are fine for us. How to define the exact conditions to differentiate the multiple requirements set in case of low SINR by PRS BW can be decided based on the simulation results. For Option 4, it seems no need to define the different requirements when rep is >1 according to your results below

|  |
| --- |
| [-0.81,2.28] |
| [-0.89,1.73] |

 |
|  |  |
|  |  |

###  CRs/TPs

[Moderator notes: suggest take one of these CR drafts as the baseline which can be revised in 2nd round discussion.]

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **Comments collection** |
| [[**R4-2104747**](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Crhuang5%5COneDrive%20-%20Intel%20Corporation%5CDocuments%5Cmy_work%5CLTE_A%5CRAN4%5C98e-b%5CDocs%5CR4-2104747.zip)](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_98bis_e/Docs/R4-2100446.zip) **(CATT)** |  |
|  |
|  |
|  |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary**  |
| **Sub-topic#3-1a** | **SINR side condition***Tentative agreements:* *Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round: No further disussion* |
| **Sub-topic#3-2** | **How to define the accuracy requirements with the combinations of PRS BW and repetitions** *Tentative agreements:**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round:*  |
| **Sub-topic#3-3** | **RF margin***Tentative agreements:**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round. Can be FFS* |
| **Sub-topic#3-4** | **PRS RSRP accuracy requirements***Tentative agreements:**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round:*  |

### CRs/TPs

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation**  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## Discussion on 2nd round

Please only comment on topics that are selected for discussion in 2nd round.

**Sub-topic 3-2 How to define the accuracy requirements with the combinations of PRS BW, repetitions and others**

*[Moderator notes: ].*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Company 1 |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Sub-topic 3-3 RF margin**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Company A  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## Summary on 2nd round

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP/LS/WF number** | **T-doc status update recommendation**  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# Topic #4: Measurement Accuracy Requirements for UE Rx-Tx Time Difference (AI5.5.2.2.2.3)

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| [**R4-2106455**](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Crhuang5%5COneDrive%20-%20Intel%20Corporation%5CDocuments%5Cmy_work%5CLTE_A%5CRAN4%5C98e-b%5CDocs%5CR4-2106455.zip)Discussion on NR UE RX-TX time difference measurement accuracy requirements | Intel Corporation | ***Proposal 1 : UE Rx-Tx measurement requirements in TS38.133 shall be applicable unless the NTA\_offset changes during the measurement period.******Proposal 2: The accuracy of UE Rx-Tx time difference can be specified by the Table 1 below***.**Table 1: UE Rx-Tx difference measurement accuracy requirements (ns)**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **FR** | **PRS BW (PRBs)** | **PRS\_NormLenthPerSlot** |  **SINR= [-6dB]** |  **SINR= -13dB** |
| FR1 | 52 | 1 | TBD | TBD |
| ≥2 | TBD | TBD |
| ≥4 | TBD | TBD |
| ≥6 | TBD | TBD |
| 104 | 1 | TBD | TBD |
| ≥2 | TBD | TBD |
| ≥4 | TBD | TBD |
| ≥6 | TBD | TBD |
| 268 | 1 | TBD | TBD |
| ≥2 | TBD | TBD |
| ≥4 | TBD | TBD |
| ≥6 | TBD | TBD |
| FR2 | 32 | 1 | TBD | TBD |
| ≥2 | TBD | TBD |
| ≥4 | TBD | TBD |
| ≥6 | TBD | TBD |
| 64 | 1 | TBD | TBD |
| ≥2 | TBD | TBD |
| ≥4 | TBD | TBD |
| ≥6 | TBD | TBD |
| 128 | 1 | TBD | TBD |
| ≥2 | TBD | TBD |
| ≥4 | TBD | TBD |
| ≥6 | TBD | TBD |
| Note 1: **PRS\_NormLenthPerSlot = (DL-PRS-NumSymbols x DL-PRS\_ResourceRepetitionFactor) /DL-PRS-CombSizeN** |

 |
| [**R4-2106340**](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Crhuang5%5COneDrive%20-%20Intel%20Corporation%5CDocuments%5Cmy_work%5CLTE_A%5CRAN4%5C98e-b%5CDocs%5CR4-2106340.zip) On UE Rx-Tx measurement accuracy requirements | Qualcomm Incorporated | **Proposal 1: Clarify in section 10.1.25.2 in TS 38.133: “UE Rx-Tx time difference accuracy requirements shall not apply if NTA\_offset defined in Table 7.1.2-2 in 38.133 changes during the UE Rx-Tx measurement period.”****Proposal 2a: UE Rx-Tx measurement accuracy requirements shall not apply if the uplink transmission timing changes during the UE Rx-Tx measurement period due to network-configured TA command.****Proposal 2b: UE Rx-Tx measurement accuracy requirements shall apply if the uplink transmission timing changes during the UE Rx-Tx measurement period due to autonomous adjustment.****Proposal 3: UE Rx-Tx measurement accuracy requirements in the case of serving cell changes other than HO that do not impact the configuration of SRS for positioning are FFS.****Proposal 4: RAN4 will add a non-zero group delay calibration margin to the UE Rx-Tx accuracy requirements in FR1 and FR2. FFS the exact values of the margins for FR1 and FR2.****Observation 1: The group delay calibration margin should scale inversely with PRS bandwidth.****Proposal 5: For UE Rx-Tx measurements in FR1, add a group delay calibration margin of 4 ns \* (100/BW), where BW is the PRS bandwidth in MHz, to the accuracy requirements.** |
| [**R4-2107167**](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Crhuang5%5COneDrive%20-%20Intel%20Corporation%5CDocuments%5Cmy_work%5CLTE_A%5CRAN4%5C98e-b%5CDocs%5CR4-2107167.zip) On UE Rx-Tx measurement accuracy requirements | Ericsson | ***Proposal 1****: Clarify in section 10.1.25.2 in TS 38.133: “UE Rx-Tx time difference accuracy requirements shall not apply if NTA\_offset defined in Table 7.1.2-2 in 38.133 changes during the UE Rx-Tx measurement period.”****Proposal 2****: UE Rx-Tx measurement accuracy requirements shall not apply if the uplink transmission timing changes during the UE Rx-Tx measurement period due to autonomous adjustment or based on network-configured TA.****Proposal 3****: The UE shall continue and complete a UE Rx-Tx measurement while meeting UE Rx-Tx measurement accuracy requirements in clause 10.1.23, when a serving cell change (including SCell change, addition, release, activation, or deactivation, or PSCell change, addition, or release) occurs during the measurement, provided the cell change does not impact the configuration of the SRS used for the measurement.****Proposal 4****: The UE Rx-Tx accuracy requirements shall apply for any DL-PRS-ResourceRepetitionFactor≥1 and any LPRS≥2 which is given by the higher-layer parameter dl-PRS-NumSymbols and any comb pattern.****Proposal 7****: The UE Rx-Tx accuracy is defined based on the earlier agreed 4 samples (already in the core requirements). RAN4 shall not reopen the discussion on the number of samples.****Proposal 5****: For FR1, the UE Rx-Tx measurement accuracy is as in Table 1:***Table 1: UE Rx-Tx accuracy in FR1**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Accuracy,** **Tc** | **Es/Iot,** **dB** | **PRS BW,** **PRB** | **PRS SCS,****kHz** | **Repetition factor**  $T\_{rep}^{PRS}$**[38.211]** | **Repetition within slot** **(i.e.** $L\_{PRS}>K\_{comb}^{PRS}$**[38.211]**$L\_{PRS},K\_{comb}^{PRS}$**)** | **Comb size** $K\_{comb}^{PRS}$**[38.211]** |
| ±60 | -3 | 24 ≤ BW ≤ 48 | 15, 30 | All | All | All |
| ±30 | 48 < BW≤ 132 | 15, 30 | All | All | All |
| ±20 | BW >132 | 15, 30 | All | All | All |
| ±70 | -6 | 24 ≤ BW ≤ 48 | 15, 30 | All | All | All |
| ±40 | 48 < BW≤ 132 | 15, 30 | All | All | All |
| ±25 | BW >132 | 15, 30 | All | All | All |
| ±90 | -13 | 24 ≤ BW ≤ 48 | 15, 30 | All | All | All |
| ±50 | 48 < BW≤ 132 | 15, 30 | All | All | All |
| ±30 | BW >132 | 15, 30 | All | All | All |

***Proposal 6****: For FR2, the UE Rx-Tx measurement accuracy is as in Table 2:***Table 2: UE Rx-Tx accuracy in FR2**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Accuracy,** **Tc** | **Es/Iot,** **dB** | **PRS BW,** **PRB** | **PRS SCS,****kHz** | **Repetition factor**  $T\_{rep}^{PRS}$**[38.211]** | **Repetition within slot** **(i.e.** $L\_{PRS}>K\_{comb}^{PRS}$**[38.211]**$L\_{PRS},K\_{comb}^{PRS}$**)** | **Comb size** $K\_{comb}^{PRS}$**[38.211]** |
| ±70 | -3 | 24 ≤ BW ≤ 32 | 60, 120 | All | All | All |
| ±40 | 32 < BW≤ 64 | 60, 120 | All | All | All |
| ±25 | BW >64 | 60, 120 | All | All | All |
| ±90 | -6 | 24 ≤ BW ≤ 32 | 60, 120 | All | All | All |
| ±50 | 32 < BW≤ 64 | 60, 120 | All | All | All |
| ±30 | BW >64 | 60, 120 | All | All | All |
| ±100 | -13 | 24 ≤ BW ≤ 32 | 60, 120 | All | All | All |
| ±60 | 32 < BW≤ 64 | 60, 120 | All | All | All |
| ±40 | BW >64 | 60, 120 | All | All | All |

 |
| [**R4-2107168**](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Crhuang5%5COneDrive%20-%20Intel%20Corporation%5CDocuments%5Cmy_work%5CLTE_A%5CRAN4%5C98e-b%5CDocs%5CR4-2107168.zip)UE Rx-Tx measurement accuracy requirements | Ericsson | CR |
| [**R4-2107009**](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Crhuang5%5COneDrive%20-%20Intel%20Corporation%5CDocuments%5Cmy_work%5CLTE_A%5CRAN4%5C98e-b%5CDocs%5CR4-2107009.zip)Discussion on accuracy requirements for UE Rx-Tx time difference measurement | Huawei, HiSilicon | **Proposal 1: Capture in the specification that UE Rx-Tx accuracy requirements do not apply in case the UE UL timing changes during the measurement period.****Proposal 2: Accuracy requirements apply with serving cell change, provided that the serving cell change does not impact the UL timing. No need to capture this in the spec.****Proposal 3: Use 32Tc as the group delay calibration margin for UE Rx-Tx accuracy.****Proposal 4: UE Rx-Tx accuracy requirements are defined based on PRS configuration parameters of** * **PRS BW defined in number of PRBs**
* **PRS SCS**
* **PRS repetition factor *dl-PRS-ResourceRepetitionFactor \* dl-PRS-NumSymbols / dl-PRS-CombSizeN***

**Proposal 5: Use Table 1 as template to form UE Rx-Tx accuracy requirements.****Table 1: Template for UE Rx-Tx accuracy requirements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Accuracy (Tc)** | **SCS (kHz)** | **PRB num** | **Repetition**  |
|  | 15/30/60/120 | 24-40 | 2 for -13dB |
| 1 for -6dB |
|  | 44-84 | 1 |
|  | 88-168 | 1 |
|  | 172-max | 1 |

**Proposal 4: Use 32Tc as the group delay calibration margin for UE Rx-Tx accuracy.****Proposal 5: Capture in the specification that UE Rx-Tx accuracy requirements do not apply in case the UE UL timing changes during the measurement period.** |
| [**R4-2106522**](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Crhuang5%5COneDrive%20-%20Intel%20Corporation%5CDocuments%5Cmy_work%5CLTE_A%5CRAN4%5C98e-b%5CDocs%5CR4-2106522.zip) | OPPO | **Proposal 1: For the applicability of accuracy requirements under TA adjustment, support option 2:*** **UE Rx-Tx measurement accuracy requirements shall not apply if the uplink transmission timing changes during the UE Rx-Tx measurement period due to network-configured TA command.**
* **UE Rx-Tx measurement accuracy requirements shall apply if the uplink transmission timing changes during the UE Rx-Tx measurement period due to autonomous adjustment**
 |
| [**R4-2106634**](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Crhuang5%5COneDrive%20-%20Intel%20Corporation%5CDocuments%5Cmy_work%5CLTE_A%5CRAN4%5C98e-b%5CDocs%5CR4-2106634.zip) | vivo | **Proposal 1: UE Rx-Tx time difference accuracy requirements shall not apply if NTA\_offset defined in Table 7.1.2-2 in 38.133 changes during the UE Rx-Tx measurement period****Proposal 2: UE Rx-Tx measurement accuracy requirements shall not apply if the uplink transmission timing changes during the UE Rx-Tx measurement period due to network-configured TA command.** **Proposal 3: UE Rx-Tx measurement accuracy requirements shall apply if the uplink transmission timing changes during the UE Rx-Tx measurement period due to autonomous adjustment****Proposal 4: For the serving cell change not impacting SRS configuration, the UE shall continue the on-going UE Rx-Tx time difference measurement and the current measurement accuracy requirements apply.****Proposal 5: UE Rx-Tx time difference measurement accuracy rquirements are specified as in Table 1 and Table 2 for FR1 and FR2 respectively.**Table 1: UE Rx-Tx time difference measurement accuracy in FR1

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Accuracy | PRS Ês/Iot | Minimum PRSbandwidth | PRS SCS | Total number of repetitions of PRS resource |
| Ts | dB | RB | kHz |  |
|  | ≥ -3 | ≥ 24 | 15kHz | 1 |
|  | ≥ -3 | ≥ 24 | 15kHz | 4 |
|  | ≥ -3 | ≥ 52 | 15kHz | 1 |
|  | ≥ -3 | ≥ 52 | 15kHz | 4 |
|  | ≥ -3 | ≥ 104 | 15kHz | 1 |
|  | ≥ -3 | ≥ 104 | 15kHz | 4 |
|  | ≥ -3 | ≥ 268 | 15kHz | 1 |
|  | ≥ -3 | ≥ 268 | 15kHz | 4 |
|  | ≥ -3 | ≥ 48 | 30kHz | 1 |
|  | ≥ -3 | ≥ 48 | 30kHz | 4 |
|  | ≥ -3 | ≥ 132 | 30kHz | 1 |
|  | ≥ -3 | ≥ 132 | 30kHz | 4 |
|  | ≥ -3 | ≥ 272 | 30kHz | 1 |
|  | ≥ -3 | ≥ 272 | 30kHz | 4 |
|  | ≥-13 | ≥ 24 | 15kHz | 1 |
|  | ≥-13 | ≥ 24 | 15kHz | 4 |
|  | ≥-13 | ≥ 52 | 15kHz | 1 |
|  | ≥-13 | ≥ 52 | 15kHz | 4 |
|  | ≥-13 | ≥ 104 | 15kHz | 1 |
|  | ≥-13 | ≥ 104 | 15kHz | 4 |
|  | ≥-13 | ≥ 268 | 15kHz | 1 |
|  | ≥-13 | ≥ 268 | 15kHz | 4 |
|  | ≥-13 | ≥ 48 | 30kHz | 1 |
|  | ≥-13 | ≥ 48 | 30kHz | 4 |
|  | ≥-13 | ≥ 132 | 30kHz | 1 |
|  | ≥-13 | ≥ 132 | 30kHz | 4 |
|  | ≥-13 | ≥ 272 | 30kHz | 1 |
|  | ≥-13 | ≥ 272 | 30kHz | 4 |
|  | ≥-13 | ≥ 24 | 15kHz | 1 |

Table 2: UE Rx-Tx time difference measurement accuracy in FR2

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Accuracy | PRS Ês/Iot | Minimum PRSbandwidth | PRS SCS | Total number of repetitions of PRS resource |
| Ts | dB | RB | kHz |  |
|  | ≥ -3 | ≥ 32 | 120kHz | 1 |
|  | ≥ -3 | ≥ 32 | 120kHz | 4 |
|  | ≥ -3 | ≥ 64 | 120kHz | 1 |
|  | ≥ -3 | ≥ 64 | 120kHz | 4 |
|  | ≥ -3 | ≥ 128 | 120kHz | 1 |
|  | ≥ -3 | ≥ 128 | 120kHz | 4 |
|  | ≥-13 | ≥ 32 | 120kHz | 1 |
|  | ≥-13 | ≥ 32 | 120kHz | 4 |
|  | ≥-13 | ≥ 64 | 120kHz | 1 |
|  | ≥-13 | ≥ 64 | 120kHz | 4 |
|  | ≥-13 | ≥ 128 | 120kHz | 1 |
|  | ≥-13 | ≥ 128 | 120kHz | 4 |

 |

## Open issues summary and companies’ views collection for 1st round

### Sub-topic 4-1 Applicability of accuracy requirements in the case of NTA\_offset change

* Option 1: Clarify in section 10.1.25.2 in TS 38.133: “UE Rx-Tx time difference accuracy requirements shall not apply if NTA\_offset defined in Table 7.1.2-2 in 38.133 changes during the UE Rx-Tx measurement period.”
* Option 2 (Huawei, OPPO, vivo): Capture in the specification that UE Rx-Tx accuracy requirements do not apply in case the UE UL timing changes during the measurement period

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Intel | NTA\_offset change will impact the UL timing. So we support Option 1. But for Option 2, we are also fine depending how these clarifications are to be captured exactly. So the proponents of Option 2 can propose the exact words or sentence for such clarification to be checked.  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### Sub-topic 4-2 Applicability of accuracy requirements under TA adjustment

* Option 1. (Ericsson)
	+ UE Rx-Tx measurement accuracy requirements shall not apply if the uplink transmission timing changes during the UE Rx-Tx measurement period due to autonomous adjustment or based on network-configured TA
* Option 2. (Qualcomm, vivo, OPPO)
	+ UE Rx-Tx measurement accuracy requirements shall not apply if the uplink transmission timing changes during the UE Rx-Tx measurement period due to network-configured TA command.
	+ UE Rx-Tx measurement accuracy requirements shall apply if the uplink transmission timing changes during the UE Rx-Tx measurement period due to autonomous adjustment
* Option 3. (Huawei):
	+ Capture in the specification that UE Rx-Tx accuracy requirements do not apply in case the UE UL timing changes during the measurement period

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Intel | No strong preference. These three options are fine for us. In our understanding, if the timing change by UE autonomously shall be known by UE itself, UE then can report the exact UE Rx-Tx time difference to NW exclude such adjustment. |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### Sub-topic 4-3 Applicable accuracy requirement in case of other (non-HO) serving cell changes

Candidate options

* Option 1 (Ericsson, vivo): The UE shall continue and complete a UE Rx-Tx measurement while meeting UE Rx-Tx measurement accuracy requirements in clause 10.1.23, when a serving cell change (including SCell change, addition, release, activation, or deactivation, or PSCell change, addition, or release) occurs during the measurement, provided the cell change does not impact the configuration of the SRS used for the measurement.
* **Option 2(Huawei): Accuracy requirements apply with serving cell change, provided that the serving cell change does not impact the UL timing. No need to capture this in the spec.**

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Intel | Option 1 is fine for us. In our understanding, Option 2 is quite similar as Option 1 but with some wording clarification (e.g. what the exact UL timing impacts is )  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### Sub-topic 4-4 How to define the accuracy requirements with the combinations of PRS BW and other parameters (e.g. comb size, repetition)

* Option 1 (Huawei, vivo). UE Rx-Tx accuracy requirements are defined based on PRS configuration parameters of
	+ PRS BW defined in number of PRBs
	+ PRS SCS
	+ PRS repetition factor *dl-PRS-ResourceRepetitionFactor \* dl-PRS-NumSymbols / dl-PRS-CombSizeN*
* Option 2 (Ericsson): The UE Rx-Tx accuracy requirements
	+ depend on PRS BW defined in number of PRBs
	+ apply for:
		- any DL-PRS-ResourceRepetitionFactor≥1 and
		- any LPRS≥2 which is given by the higher-layer parameter dl-PRS-NumSymbols and
		- any comb pattern.
* Option 3(Qualcomm)
	+ PRS BW defined in number of PRBs
	+ PRS SCS
	+ number of PRS symbols per slot equal to PRS comb size.

Recommended WF: *Follow the same principle of RSTD accuracy.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Intel | Can follow the same conclusion for RSTD requirements |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### Sub-topic 4-5 Applicable propagation channel for accuracy requirement

* Option 1 (Qualcomm):
	+ Need the applicability with propagation channels for accuracy requirement (e.g. Exclude number from simulations for TDL-C channel model with 300 ns delay spread in FR1 for defining the RSTD accuracy requirements.)
	+ RAN4 to consider defining PRS-RSTD and UE Rx-Tx measurement accuracy requirements only for AWGN.

Recommended WF: *follow the same conclusion for RSTD.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Intel | Can follow the same conclusion for RSTD requirements |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### Sub-topic 4-6 Group delay calibration margin

* Option 1 (Huawei). Use 32Tc as the group delay calibration margin for UE Rx-Tx accuracy**.**
* Option 2(Qualcomm). For UE Rx-Tx measurements in FR1, add a group delay calibration margin of 4 ns \* (100/BW), where BW is the PRS bandwidth in MHz, to the accuracy requirements

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Intel | Can follow the same conclusion for RSTD requirements |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### Sub-topic 4-7 UE Rx-Tx time difference measurement accuracy requirements

* Option 1(Intel): The accuracy of UE Rx-Tx time difference can be specified by the Table 1 below.

**Table 1: UE Rx-Tx difference measurement accuracy requirements (ns)**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **FR** | **PRS BW (PRBs)** | **PRS\_NormLenthPerSlot** |  **SINR= [-6dB]** |  **SINR= -13dB** |
| FR1 | 52 | 1 | TBD | TBD |
| ≥2 | TBD | TBD |
| ≥4 | TBD | TBD |
| ≥6 | TBD | TBD |
| 104 | 1 | TBD | TBD |
| ≥2 | TBD | TBD |
| ≥4 | TBD | TBD |
| ≥6 | TBD | TBD |
| 268 | 1 | TBD | TBD |
| ≥2 | TBD | TBD |
| ≥4 | TBD | TBD |
| ≥6 | TBD | TBD |
| FR2 | 32 | 1 | TBD | TBD |
| ≥2 | TBD | TBD |
| ≥4 | TBD | TBD |
| ≥6 | TBD | TBD |
| 64 | 1 | TBD | TBD |
| ≥2 | TBD | TBD |
| ≥4 | TBD | TBD |
| ≥6 | TBD | TBD |
| 128 | 1 | TBD | TBD |
| ≥2 | TBD | TBD |
| ≥4 | TBD | TBD |
| ≥6 | TBD | TBD |
| Note 1: **PRS\_NormLenthPerSlot = (DL-PRS-NumSymbols x DL-PRS\_ResourceRepetitionFactor) /DL-PRS-CombSizeN** |

* Option 2 (Ericsson): The UE Rx-Tx accuracy requirements shall apply for any DL-PRS-ResourceRepetitionFactor≥1 and any LPRS≥2 which is given by the higher-layer parameter dl-PRS-NumSymbols and any comb pattern.
	+ *For FR1, the UE Rx-Tx measurement accuracy is as in Table 1:*

**Table 1: UE Rx-Tx accuracy in FR1**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Accuracy,** **Tc** | **Es/Iot,** **dB** | **PRS BW,** **PRB** | **PRS SCS,****kHz** | **Repetition factor**  $T\_{rep}^{PRS}$**[38.211]** | **Repetition within slot** **(i.e.** $L\_{PRS}>K\_{comb}^{PRS}$**[38.211]**$L\_{PRS},K\_{comb}^{PRS}$**)** | **Comb size** $K\_{comb}^{PRS}$**[38.211]** |
| ±60 | -3 | 24 ≤ BW ≤ 48 | 15, 30 | All | All | All |
| ±30 | 48 < BW≤ 132 | 15, 30 | All | All | All |
| ±20 | BW >132 | 15, 30 | All | All | All |
| ±70 | -6 | 24 ≤ BW ≤ 48 | 15, 30 | All | All | All |
| ±40 | 48 < BW≤ 132 | 15, 30 | All | All | All |
| ±25 | BW >132 | 15, 30 | All | All | All |
| ±90 | -13 | 24 ≤ BW ≤ 48 | 15, 30 | All | All | All |
| ±50 | 48 < BW≤ 132 | 15, 30 | All | All | All |
| ±30 | BW >132 | 15, 30 | All | All | All |

*: For FR2, the UE Rx-Tx measurement accuracy is as in Table 2*

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Accuracy,** **Tc** | **Es/Iot,** **dB** | **PRS BW,** **PRB** | **PRS SCS,****kHz** | **Repetition factor**  $T\_{rep}^{PRS}$**[38.211]** | **Repetition within slot** **(i.e.** $L\_{PRS}>K\_{comb}^{PRS}$**[38.211]**$L\_{PRS},K\_{comb}^{PRS}$**)** | **Comb size** $K\_{comb}^{PRS}$**[38.211]** |
| ±70 | -3 | 24 ≤ BW ≤ 32 | 60, 120 | All | All | All |
| ±40 | 32 < BW≤ 64 | 60, 120 | All | All | All |
| ±25 | BW >64 | 60, 120 | All | All | All |
| ±90 | -6 | 24 ≤ BW ≤ 32 | 60, 120 | All | All | All |
| ±50 | 32 < BW≤ 64 | 60, 120 | All | All | All |
| ±30 | BW >64 | 60, 120 | All | All | All |
| ±100 | -13 | 24 ≤ BW ≤ 32 | 60, 120 | All | All | All |
| ±60 | 32 < BW≤ 64 | 60, 120 | All | All | All |
| ±40 | BW >64 | 60, 120 | All | All | All |

* Option 3 (Huawei): Use Table 1 as template to form UE Rx-Tx accuracy requirements.

**Table 1: Template for UE Rx-Tx accuracy requirementsFR1**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Accuracy (Tc)** | **SCS (kHz)** | **PRB num** | **Repetition**  |
|  | 15 | 24-40 | 2 |
|  | 44-84 | 1 |
|  | 88-168 | 1 |
|  | 172-max | 1 |
|  | 30 | 24-40 | 2 |
|  | 44-84 | 1 |
|  | 88-168 | 1 |
|  | 172-max | 1 |
|  | 60 | 24-40 | 2 |
|  | 44-84 | 1 |
|  | 88-168 | 1 |
|  | 172-max | 1 |

**FR2**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Accuracy (Tc)** | **SCS (kHz)** | **PRB num** | **Repetition**  |
|  | 60 | 24-40 | 2 |
|  | 44-84 | 1 |
|  | 88-168 | 1 |
|  | 172-max | 1 |
|  | 120 | 24-40 | 2 |
|  | 44-84 | 1 |
|  | 88-168 | 1 |
|  | 172-max | 1 |

* Option 4 (vivo)
* Table 1: UE Rx-Tx time difference measurement accuracy in FR1

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Accuracy | PRS Ês/Iot | Minimum PRSbandwidth | PRS SCS | Total number of repetitions of PRS resource |
| Ts | dB | RB | kHz |  |
|  | ≥ -3 | ≥ 24 | 15kHz | 1 |
|  | ≥ -3 | ≥ 24 | 15kHz | 4 |
|  | ≥ -3 | ≥ 52 | 15kHz | 1 |
|  | ≥ -3 | ≥ 52 | 15kHz | 4 |
|  | ≥ -3 | ≥ 104 | 15kHz | 1 |
|  | ≥ -3 | ≥ 104 | 15kHz | 4 |
|  | ≥ -3 | ≥ 268 | 15kHz | 1 |
|  | ≥ -3 | ≥ 268 | 15kHz | 4 |
|  | ≥ -3 | ≥ 48 | 30kHz | 1 |
|  | ≥ -3 | ≥ 48 | 30kHz | 4 |
|  | ≥ -3 | ≥ 132 | 30kHz | 1 |
|  | ≥ -3 | ≥ 132 | 30kHz | 4 |
|  | ≥ -3 | ≥ 272 | 30kHz | 1 |
|  | ≥ -3 | ≥ 272 | 30kHz | 4 |
|  | ≥-13 | ≥ 24 | 15kHz | 1 |
|  | ≥-13 | ≥ 24 | 15kHz | 4 |
|  | ≥-13 | ≥ 52 | 15kHz | 1 |
|  | ≥-13 | ≥ 52 | 15kHz | 4 |
|  | ≥-13 | ≥ 104 | 15kHz | 1 |
|  | ≥-13 | ≥ 104 | 15kHz | 4 |
|  | ≥-13 | ≥ 268 | 15kHz | 1 |
|  | ≥-13 | ≥ 268 | 15kHz | 4 |
|  | ≥-13 | ≥ 48 | 30kHz | 1 |
|  | ≥-13 | ≥ 48 | 30kHz | 4 |
|  | ≥-13 | ≥ 132 | 30kHz | 1 |
|  | ≥-13 | ≥ 132 | 30kHz | 4 |
|  | ≥-13 | ≥ 272 | 30kHz | 1 |
|  | ≥-13 | ≥ 272 | 30kHz | 4 |
|  | ≥-13 | ≥ 24 | 15kHz | 1 |

* Table 2: UE Rx-Tx time difference measurement accuracy in FR2

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Accuracy | PRS Ês/Iot | Minimum PRSbandwidth | PRS SCS | Total number of repetitions of PRS resource |
| Ts | dB | RB | kHz |  |
|  | ≥ -3 | ≥ 32 | 120kHz | 1 |
|  | ≥ -3 | ≥ 32 | 120kHz | 4 |
|  | ≥ -3 | ≥ 64 | 120kHz | 1 |
|  | ≥ -3 | ≥ 64 | 120kHz | 4 |
|  | ≥ -3 | ≥ 128 | 120kHz | 1 |
|  | ≥ -3 | ≥ 128 | 120kHz | 4 |
|  | ≥-13 | ≥ 32 | 120kHz | 1 |
|  | ≥-13 | ≥ 32 | 120kHz | 4 |
|  | ≥-13 | ≥ 64 | 120kHz | 1 |
|  | ≥-13 | ≥ 64 | 120kHz | 4 |
|  | ≥-13 | ≥ 128 | 120kHz | 1 |
|  | ≥-13 | ≥ 128 | 120kHz | 4 |

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Intel | The principle to differentiate the requirements sets can be same as these of RSTD. For the exact requirements may be a little bit different (e.g. both 2 SINR side conditions needed the requirements, and RF margin can be different) |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### CRs/TPs

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **Comments collection** |
| [**R4-2107168**](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Crhuang5%5COneDrive%20-%20Intel%20Corporation%5CDocuments%5Cmy_work%5CLTE_A%5CRAN4%5C98e-b%5CDocs%5CR4-2107168.zip) **(Ericsson)** | Huawei: can be revised based on technical conclusions. |
|  |
|  |  |
|  |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary**  |
| **Sub-topic#4-1** | **Applicability of accuracy requirements in the case of NTA\_offset change***Tentative agreements:**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round: Can be FFS* |
| **Sub-topic#4-2** | **Applicability of accuracy requirements in the case of in the case of TA adjustment***Tentative agreements:* *Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round: Can be FFS* |
| **Sub-topic#4-3** | **Applicable accuracy requirement in case of other (non-HO) serving cell changes***Tentative agreements:* *Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round: Can be FFS* |
| **Sub-topic#4-4** | **How to define the accuracy requirements with the combinations of PRS BW and other parameters** *Tentative agreements:* *Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round:*  |
| **Sub-topic#4-5** | **Applicable propagation channel for accuracy requirement***Tentative agreements:* *Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round:*  |
| **Sub-topic#4-6** | **Group delay calibration margin***Tentative agreements: None**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round: Can follow the conclusion of #2-5* |
| **Sub-topic#4-7** | **UE Rx-Tx time difference measurement accuracy requirements** *Moderator Notes: The principle (e.g. the parameters used to define the different requirements) can be agreed firstly. Then we can define the specific accurate requirements**Tentative agreements:**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round:. The exact requirements can be deferred to the next meeting* |

### CRs/TPs

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation**  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## Discussion on 2nd round

Please only comment on topics that are selected for discussion in 2nd round.

**Sub-topic 4-x**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## Summary on 2nd round

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP/LS/WF number** | **T-doc status update recommendation**  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# Topic #5: Test cases (AI5.5.2.2.3)

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| [**R4-2106921**](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Crhuang5%5COneDrive%20-%20Intel%20Corporation%5CDocuments%5Cmy_work%5CLTE_A%5CRAN4%5C98e-b%5CDocs%5CR4-2106921.zip) [draft CR] Test cases for PRS-RSRP measurement accuracy | ZTE Corporation | CR  |
| [**R4-2107048**](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Crhuang5%5COneDrive%20-%20Intel%20Corporation%5CDocuments%5Cmy_work%5CLTE_A%5CRAN4%5C98e-b%5CDocs%5CR4-2107048.zip)Test case design principles for NR Positioning | ZTE | Proposal 1: Prioritize specifying TCs for NR SA and then consider other scenarios.Proposal 2: For PRS-RSRP, there can be separate tests (e.g., Test 1, Test 2, …) inside the test case, one for each side condition.Proposal 3: Further study if absolute measurement reporting is needed to be tested for all PRS measurements. |
| [**R4-2104748**](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Crhuang5%5COneDrive%20-%20Intel%20Corporation%5CDocuments%5Cmy_work%5CLTE_A%5CRAN4%5C98e-b%5CDocs%5CR4-2104748.zip) CR on test case for PRS-RSRP measurement requirements for FR2 in SA | CATT | CR |
| [**R4-2106449**](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Crhuang5%5COneDrive%20-%20Intel%20Corporation%5CDocuments%5Cmy_work%5CLTE_A%5CRAN4%5C98e-b%5CDocs%5CR4-2106449.zip)Discussion on NR Positioning test cases configuration | Intel Corporation | ***Proposal 1: The PRS configuration patterns in Table 1 for NR Positioning measurement tests can be specified in the Annex A.3.x of TS 38.133.******Proposal 2: SRS configuration can be specified as Table 2.*** ***Proposal 3: It is enough to define the test cases for NR standalone cells.*** ***Proposal 4: Absolute measurement reporting is tested for all PRS measurements. It is unnecessary to define the test case for differential RSTD.*** ***Proposal 5: In order to minimize the testing efforts, we can define two subtests per accuracy test cases corresponding to different combinations of {Es/Iot, PRS BW****}.****Proposal 6 : F***or **the different SINR side condition , we can *associate different cells with different Es/Iot in a single test* when testing PRS RSRP and UE Rx-Tx time difference.*****Proposal 7-1: 3 cells deployment scenarios (one is serving/reference cell , the other two neighbor cells) can be used for RSTD measurement test.*** ***Proposal 7-2: 2 cells deployment scenarios (one is serving/reference cell , the other neighbor cell) can be used for UE Rx-Tx time difference, PRS RSRP measurement tests.*** ***Proposal 7-3: 2 cells deployment scenarios (one is serving/reference cell , the other two neighbor cells) can be used for RSTD/PRS RSRP/UE Rx-Tx time difference accuracy test.*** ***Proposal 8: The synchronous cells will be tested for the measurement delay requirements test.*** ***Proposal 9: For the core requirements test cases, only the non-muting PRS configuration will be used.******Proposal 9a: For the core requirements test cases, the muting PRS configuration will be used if the corresponding requirements for muting defined.******Proposal 10: The number of positioning frequency layers measured can not be larger than 2.*** ***Proposal 11: Gap pattern #0 and #24 can be used for NR Positioning tests.*** ***Proposal 12: The test procedure for LTE OTDoA[4] can be reused for NR positioning measurement testing.***  |
| [**R4-2106450**](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Crhuang5%5COneDrive%20-%20Intel%20Corporation%5CDocuments%5Cmy_work%5CLTE_A%5CRAN4%5C98e-b%5CDocs%5CR4-2106450.zip) [draftCR] CR for PRS configurations for NR Pos RRM tests | Intel Corporation | CR |
| [**R4-2106451**](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Crhuang5%5COneDrive%20-%20Intel%20Corporation%5CDocuments%5Cmy_work%5CLTE_A%5CRAN4%5C98e-b%5CDocs%5CR4-2106451.zip) [draftCR] CR for the test case of RSTD measurement requirements reporting in SA | Intel Corporation | ***CR*** |
| [**R4-2106341**](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Crhuang5%5COneDrive%20-%20Intel%20Corporation%5CDocuments%5Cmy_work%5CLTE_A%5CRAN4%5C98e-b%5CDocs%5CR4-2106341.zip) Design of test cases for NR positioning | Qualcomm Incorporated | **Proposal 1: Only define test cases for SA.****Proposal 2: Multiple (2) SINR conditions for UE Rx-Tx and PRS-RSRP could be tested in one test case.****Proposal 3: Test two PRS BW configurations per test**1. **The first configuration could be the minimum PRS BW supported (PRBs = 24) paired with the smallest SCS for the FR being tested, i.e. SCS = 15 kHz for FR1 and SCS = 60 kHz for FR2.**
2. **The second configuration could be the highest PRS BW (num. PRBs) supported by the UE among the PRS reference configurations than RAN4 will define, paired with the highest SCS for the FR being tested (SCS = 30 kHz for FR1 and SCS = 120 kHz for FR2).**

**Proposal 4: RAN4 should define test cases with one PFL for RSTD, PRS-RSRP and UE Rx-Tx. Optionally, RAN4 could also define RSTD test cases with two PFLs.****Proposal 5: For RSTD and UE Rx-Tx define test cases with absolute reporting. For PRS-RSRP define test cases with differential reporting and optionally with absolute reporting.****Proposal 6:**1. **Use 160 ms PRS periodicity as baseline for all tests. Offsets may be specified in each test case in order to achieve orthogonality between PRS resources from multiple TRPs.**
2. **Comb size equal to 2 or 4 would be good choices. Number of PRS symbols = K\*comb\_size, K = 1, 2, 3 (if needed).**

**Specify multiple PRS BW configurations matching the ones specified in the accuracy requirements. E.g. number of PRBs = 24, 48, 96, 192, 264****Proposal 7: Match SRS periodicity to PRS periodicity, i.e. 160 ms.****Proposal 8: Support the proposed reference test configurations below under the assumption that they correspond to the Pcell configuration and do not constrain the PRS bandwidth and SCS to be tested in each test case.** *Supported test configurations for FR1:*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Configuration* | *Description* |
| *1* | *15 kHz SSB SCS, 10 MHz bandwidth, FDD duplex mode* |
| *2* | *15 kHz SSB SCS, 10 MHz bandwidth, TDD duplex mode* |
| *3* | *30 kHz SSB SCS, 40 MHz bandwidth, TDD duplex mode* |
| *NOTE: The UE is only required to be tested in one of the supported test configurations.* |

*Supported test configurations for FR2:*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Configuration* | *Description* |
| *1* | *120 kHz SSB SCS, 100 MHz bandwidth, TDD duplex mode* |

**Proposal 9: Option 1a. for RSTD measurement requirements, test cases with 3 cells are developed: NR PCell (cell 1) and two NR neighbor cells (cell 2, cell 3).****Proposal 10: At least some test cases for NR positioning should feature more than one positioning frequency layer****Proposal 11: FFS whether type 1 PRS muting should be used in the test case PRS configurations, pending the outcome of the discussion of whether and how to modify measurement period requirements to account for type 1 PRS muting****Proposal 12: Time synchronicity constraints between cells/TRPs may be incorporated in the test configurations to the extent needed to ensure that the Es/Iot side conditions are met during testing.** |
| [**R4-2107169**](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Crhuang5%5COneDrive%20-%20Intel%20Corporation%5CDocuments%5Cmy_work%5CLTE_A%5CRAN4%5C98e-b%5CDocs%5CR4-2107169.zip) On positioning test cases | Ericsson | ***Observation 1****: Only test cases for SA NR with a single FR have been agreed for NR positioning so far; no test cases have been agreed so far for deployments with both FR1 and FR2.****Proposal 1****: RAN4 will define NR positioning test cases for NR-DC, where PCell is in FR1, and PSCell is in FR2; otherwise define test cases for other deployments with both FR1 and FR2 (e.g., CA or SA with FR1 PCell and FR2 neighbors).****Proposal 2****: Each measurement type (RSTD, PRS-RSRP, or UE Rx-Tx) is tested in both configurations:** + *The cells are spread on two frequencies (regardless on the number of cells agreed for the test)*
	+ *All cells are on the same frequency*

***Proposal 3****: Further clarify the test case list:*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| TC1a | RSTD measurement requirements for FR1 in SA, for the same frequency | A6.6.x RSTD measurements |
| TC1b | RSTD measurement requirements for FR1 in SA, for different frequencies | A6.6.x RSTD measurements |
| TC 2a | RSTD measurement requirements for FR2 in SA, for the same frequency | A7.6.x RSTD measurements |
| TC 2b | RSTD measurement requirements for FR2 in SA, for different frequencies | A7.6.x RSTD measurements |
| TC 3a | PRS-RSRP measurement requirements for FR1 in SA, for the same frequency | A6.6.xx PRS-RSRP measurements |
| TC 3b | PRS-RSRP measurement requirements for FR1 in SA, for different frequencies | A6.6.xx PRS-RSRP measurements |
| TC 4a | PRS-RSRP measurement requirements for FR2 in SA, for the same frequency | A7.6.xx PRS-RSRP measurements |
| TC 4b | PRS-RSRP measurement requirements for FR2 in SA, for different frequencies | A7.6.xx PRS-RSRP measurements |
| TC 5a | UE Rx-Tx time difference measurement requirements for FR1 in SA, for the same frequency | A6.6.xxx UE Rx-Tx time difference measurements |
| TC 5b | UE Rx-Tx time difference measurement requirements for FR1 in SA, for different frequenciesv | A6.6.xxx UE Rx-Tx time difference measurements |
| TC 6a | UE Rx-Tx time difference measurement requirements for FR2 in SA, for the same frequency | A7.6.xxx UE Rx-Tx time difference measurements |
| TC 6b | UE Rx-Tx time difference measurement requirements for FR2 in SA, for different frequencies | A7.6.xxx UE Rx-Tx time difference measurements |
| TC 7a | RSTD measurement accuracy for FR1 in SA, for the same frequency | A6.7.x RSTD measurements |
| TC 7b | RSTD measurement accuracy for FR1 in SA, for different frequencies | A6.7.x RSTD measurements |
| TC 8a | RSTD measurement accuracy for FR2 in SA, for the same frequency | A7.7.x RSTD measurements |
| TC 8b | RSTD measurement accuracy for FR2 in SA, for different frequencies | A7.7.x RSTD measurements |
| TC 9a | PRS RSRP measurement accuracy for FR1 in SA, for the same frequency | A6.7.xx xx PRS-RSRP measurements |
| TC 9b | PRS RSRP measurement accuracy for FR1 in SA, for different frequencies | A6.7.xx xx PRS-RSRP measurements |
| TC 10a | PRS RSRP measurement accuracy for FR2 in SA, for the same frequency | A7.7.xx xx PRS-RSRP measurements |
| TC 10b | PRS RSRP measurement accuracy for FR2 in SA, for different frequencies | A7.7.xx xx PRS-RSRP measurements |
| TC 11a | UE Rx-Tx time difference measurement accuracy for FR1 in SA, for the same frequency | A6.7.xxx UE Rx-Tx time difference measurements |
| TC 11b | UE Rx-Tx time difference measurement accuracy for FR1 in SA, for different frequencies | A6.7.xxx UE Rx-Tx time difference measurements |
| TC 12a | UE Rx-Tx time difference measurement accuracy for FR2 in SA, for the same frequency | A7.7.xxx UE Rx-Tx time difference measurements |
| TC 12b | UE Rx-Tx time difference measurement accuracy for FR2 in SA, for different frequencies | A7.7.xxx UE Rx-Tx time difference measurements |

***Proposal 4****: The number of cells in RSTD test cases:** + *3 for measurements testing,*
	+ *2 for accuracy testing (provided there are separate test cases for the same and different frequencies).*

***Proposal 5****: The number of cells in PRS-RSRP test cases:** + *2 for measurements testing,*
	+ *2 for accuracy testing (provided there are separate test cases for the same and different frequencies), with*
		- *separate tests in the same test case for the different signal levels in the requirements*
		- *absolute measurements (on two cells) and relative measurements (on 1 cell) accuracy are tested in the same test*

***Proposal 6****: The number of cells in UE Rx-Tx test cases:** + *2 for measurements testing,*
	+ *2 for accuracy testing (provided there are separate test cases for the same and different frequencies).*

*NOTE: the signal levels in the two cells correspond to the different signal levels in the requirements.****Proposal 7****: In each test case, the NR measurements are tested for multiple measurement bandwidths, with at least:** + *the smallest bandwidth,*
	+ *a bandwidth from the medium bandwidths range (e.g., >48 PRBs in FR1 or >32 PRBs in FR2),*
	+ *a bandwidth from the large bandwidths range (e.g., >132 PRBs in FR1 or >64 PRBs in FR2).*

***Proposal 8****: No PRS repetitions (within a slot or across slots within a single PRS period) are configured in NR positioning test cases.****Proposal 9****: In each test case the NR measurements are tested for two combinations of PRS periodicity and MGL, e.g.:** + *TPRS>MGL, and*
	+ *TPRS<MGL.*

***Proposal 10****: PRS SCS is the same as SSB SCS.****Proposal 11****: The network configured k is set as follows:** + *timingReportingGranularityFactor=0 for FR1,*
	+ *timingReportingGranularityFactor=2 for FR2.*

***Proposal 12****: Absolute measurement reporting is tested.****Proposal 13****: No additional path reporting is configured (additionalPaths is not included in the measurement request).****Proposal 14****: No PRS-RSRP is configured together for multi-RTT (nr-RequestedMeasurements in the measurement request indicates no PRS-RSRP is requested together with UE Rx-Tx).****Proposal 15****: Configure DRX in all NR positioning test cases.****Proposal 16****: Supported test configurations for FR1:*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Configuration* | *Description* |
| *1* | *15 kHz SSB SCS, 10 MHz bandwidth, FDD duplex mode* |
| *2* | *15 kHz SSB SCS, 10 MHz bandwidth, TDD duplex mode* |
| *3* | *30 kHz SSB SCS, 40 MHz bandwidth, TDD duplex mode* |
| *NOTE: The UE is only required to be tested in one of the supported test configurations.* |

***Proposal 17****: Supported test configurations for FR2:*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Configuration* | *Description* |
| *1* | *120 kHz SSB SCS, 100 MHz bandwidth, TDD duplex mode* |

***Proposal 18****: SRS configuration in UE Rx-Tx test cases is based on the following assumptions:** + *frequency hopping: no*
	+ *group or sequence hopping: no*
	+ *Number of antenna ports: 1*
	+ *Resource type: periodic*

*SCS: same as for SSB* |
| [**R4-2107170**](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Crhuang5%5COneDrive%20-%20Intel%20Corporation%5CDocuments%5Cmy_work%5CLTE_A%5CRAN4%5C98e-b%5CDocs%5CR4-2107170.zip) TC5 and TC6: UE Rx-Tx time difference measurement requirements for FR1 and FR2 in SA | Ericsson | ***CR*** |
| [**R4-2107171**](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Crhuang5%5COneDrive%20-%20Intel%20Corporation%5CDocuments%5Cmy_work%5CLTE_A%5CRAN4%5C98e-b%5CDocs%5CR4-2107171.zip) TC11 and TC12: UE Rx-Tx time difference measurement accuracy for FR1 and FR2 in SA | Ericsson | ***CR*** |
| [**R4-2107010**](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Crhuang5%5COneDrive%20-%20Intel%20Corporation%5CDocuments%5Cmy_work%5CLTE_A%5CRAN4%5C98e-b%5CDocs%5CR4-2107010.zip) Discussion on RRM test case for UE positioning requirements | Huawei, HiSilicon | **Proposal 1: Define positioning RRM test cases for NR SA only.** **Proposal 2: Test both SINR side conditions in the accuracy tests, by associating different cells with different Es/Iot in a single test case.****Proposal 3: No need to define test cases for the serving carrier frequencies and non-serving carrier frequencies.****Proposal 4a: Test both Case 1 and Case 2 for delay tests** * **Case 1: 1 PFL, and all cells are on the same PFL**
* **Case 2: 2 PLFs, and cells are distributed on two PFLs**

**UE supporting more than one PFL only needs to pass tests for Case 2.****Proposal 4b: Test both Case 1 and Case 2 for delay tests and RSTD accuracy tests. Test Case 1 for PRS-RSRP and UE Rx-Tx accuracy tests.****Proposal 5: The number of cells/TRPs in the test cases is 2.****Proposal 6: Define test cases for sync scenarios only.****Proposal 7: Define test cases without muting.****Proposal 8: Do not define RSTD accuracy tests with differential RSTD.****Proposal 9: RAN4 should not need to limit the reporting format for the test cases.** **Proposal 10: Consider Table 1 for general PRS configuration for positioning RRM test cases.****Table 1: general PRS configuration for positioning RRM test cases**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **PRS Pattern 1.1** | **PRS Pattern 1.2** | **PRS Pattern 2.1** | **PRS Pattern 2.2** | **PRS Pattern 3.1** | **PRS Pattern 3.2** |
| **SCS** | FR1, 15k | FR1, 30k | FR2, 120k |
| **PRS periodicity and offset** | 160ms, 10ms |
| **BW (num of PRBs)** | 52 | 106 | 66 |
| **Comb size** | 2 |
| **Number of PRS symbols** | 2 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 4 |
| **Number of PRS slots** | 1 |

**Proposal 11: Consider Table 2 for general SRS configuration for UE Rx-Tx test cases.****Table 2: general SRS configuration for UE Rx-Tx RRM test cases**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| SRS-Resource | SRS-ResourceId | 0 |
|  | nrofSRS-Ports | Port1 |
|  | transmissionComb  | n4 |
|  | combOffset-n4 | 0 |
|  | cyclicShift-n4 | 0 |
|  | resourceMappingstartPosition | 0 |
|  | resourceMappingnrofSymbols  | n4 |
|  | resourceMappingrepetitionFactor | n1 |
|  | freqDomainPosition | 0 |
|  | freqDomainShift | 0 |
|  | freqHoppingc-SRS | Matches NRB,c  |
|  | groupOrSequenceHopping | Neither |
|  | resourceType | Periodic |
|  | periodicityAndOffset-p | 80\*2^u, 20\*2^u |
|  | sequenceId | 0 |

**Proposal 12: Define two subtests per accuracy test cases corresponding to different combinations of {Es/Iot, PRS BW}.** **Proposal 13: Re-use the test configurations {10MHz BW, 15kHz SCS}, {40MHz BW, 30kHz SCS} and {100MHz BW, 120kHz SCS} for serving cell and also PRS in case of delay test.** |
| [**R4-2107011**](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Crhuang5%5COneDrive%20-%20Intel%20Corporation%5CDocuments%5Cmy_work%5CLTE_A%5CRAN4%5C98e-b%5CDocs%5CR4-2107011.zip) draftCR to introduce TC for PRS-RSRP measurement requirements for FR1 in SA | Huawei, HiSilicon | ***CR*** |
| [**R4-2107012**](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Crhuang5%5COneDrive%20-%20Intel%20Corporation%5CDocuments%5Cmy_work%5CLTE_A%5CRAN4%5C98e-b%5CDocs%5CR4-2107012.zip) draftCR to introduce TC for RSTD measurement accuracy for FR1 and FR2 in SA | Huawei, HiSilicon | ***CR*** |
| [**R4-2106523**](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Crhuang5%5COneDrive%20-%20Intel%20Corporation%5CDocuments%5Cmy_work%5CLTE_A%5CRAN4%5C98e-b%5CDocs%5CR4-2106523.zip)Discussion on remaining issues of test cases for NR positioning | OPPO | **Proposal 1: Define positioning RRM test cases for NR SA only.****Proposal 2: Define RSTD test cases with 3 cells/TRPs, and other test cases with 2 cells/TRPs.****Proposal 3: For the different SINR side condition for UE Rx-Tx time difference, prefer different Es/Iot in a single test.****Proposal 4: Define two subtests per accuracy test cases corresponding to different combinations of {Es/Iot, PRS BW}.** |

## Open issues summary and companies’ views collection for 1st round

### Sub-topic 5-1 Test cases for the different SINR side conditions

* Option 1 (Ericsson, ZTE, Intel)
	+ Both SINR side conditions for UE Rx-Tx are tested in the same test with two cells.
	+ For PRS-RSRP, there can be separate tests (e.g., Test 1, Test 2, …) inside the test case, one for each side condition.
* Option 1a (Qualcomm) : Multiple (2) SINR conditions for UE Rx-Tx and PRS-RSRP could be tested in one test case.
* Option 1b(Huawei, OPPO): Test both SINR side conditions in the accuracy tests, by associating different cells with different Es/Iot in a single test case

Recommended WF: *Could the following proposal be agreeable?*

* For UE Rx-Tx time difference, one test case with 2 cells can be defined with different SINR, one for each side condition.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Intel | For UE Rx-Tx time difference, the recommend WF can be agreeable. But for PRS RSRP test, how many cells shall be configured is under debating (issue 5-8). If there are number of cells/TRP for PRS RSRP is larger than 1, Option 1a/1b is fine for us.  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### Sub-topic 5-2 Test cases for the serving carrier frequencies and non-serving carrier frequencies

* Option 1(Huawei): No need to define test cases for the serving carrier frequencies and non-serving carrier frequencies.

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Intel | Option 1 can be agreeable because for NR positioning no measurements shall be depending on the serving carrier.  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### Sub-topic 5-3 Absolute measurement reporting in test cases

* Option 1 (Ericsson, Intel): Absolute measurement reporting is tested for all PRS measurements.
* Option 1a(Huawei): Do not define RSTD accuracy tests with differential RSTD. No need to limit the reporting format for the test cases.
* Option 2( Qualcomm): **:**
	+ For RSTD and UE Rx-Tx define test cases with absolute reporting.
	+ For PRS-RSRP define test cases with differential reporting and optionally with absolute reporting.
* Option 3(ZTE): FFS

Recommended WF: Can the proposals below agreeable?

* For RSTD and UE Rx-Tx timing difference, only the absolute accuracy test cases will be defined.
* For PRS RSRP
	+ only the absolute accuracy test cases will be defined or
	+ define test cases with differential reporting and optionally with absolute reporting.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Intel | The recommended WF can be agreeable. Furthermore, for PRS RSRP as a compromised proposal, we can agree “define test cases with differential reporting and optionally with absolute reporting” to cover the relative RSRP accuracy requirement tests. |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### Sub-topic 5-4 Test case list clarifications

* Option 1 (Ericsson)
* *Further clarify the test case list:*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| TC1a | RSTD measurement requirements for FR1 in SA, for the same frequency | A6.6.x RSTD measurements |
| TC1b | RSTD measurement requirements for FR1 in SA, for different frequencies | A6.6.x RSTD measurements |
| TC 2a | RSTD measurement requirements for FR2 in SA, for the same frequency | A7.6.x RSTD measurements |
| TC 2b | RSTD measurement requirements for FR2 in SA, for different frequencies | A7.6.x RSTD measurements |
| TC 3a | PRS-RSRP measurement requirements for FR1 in SA, for the same frequency | A6.6.xx PRS-RSRP measurements |
| TC 3b | PRS-RSRP measurement requirements for FR1 in SA, for different frequencies | A6.6.xx PRS-RSRP measurements |
| TC 4a | PRS-RSRP measurement requirements for FR2 in SA, for the same frequency | A7.6.xx PRS-RSRP measurements |
| TC 4b | PRS-RSRP measurement requirements for FR2 in SA, for different frequencies | A7.6.xx PRS-RSRP measurements |
| TC 5a | UE Rx-Tx time difference measurement requirements for FR1 in SA, for the same frequency | A6.6.xxx UE Rx-Tx time difference measurements |
| TC 5b | UE Rx-Tx time difference measurement requirements for FR1 in SA, for different frequenciesv | A6.6.xxx UE Rx-Tx time difference measurements |
| TC 6a | UE Rx-Tx time difference measurement requirements for FR2 in SA, for the same frequency | A7.6.xxx UE Rx-Tx time difference measurements |
| TC 6b | UE Rx-Tx time difference measurement requirements for FR2 in SA, for different frequencies | A7.6.xxx UE Rx-Tx time difference measurements |
| TC 7a | RSTD measurement accuracy for FR1 in SA, for the same frequency | A6.7.x RSTD measurements |
| TC 7b | RSTD measurement accuracy for FR1 in SA, for different frequencies | A6.7.x RSTD measurements |
| TC 8a | RSTD measurement accuracy for FR2 in SA, for the same frequency | A7.7.x RSTD measurements |
| TC 8b | RSTD measurement accuracy for FR2 in SA, for different frequencies | A7.7.x RSTD measurements |
| TC 9a | PRS RSRP measurement accuracy for FR1 in SA, for the same frequency | A6.7.xx xx PRS-RSRP measurements |
| TC 9b | PRS RSRP measurement accuracy for FR1 in SA, for different frequencies | A6.7.xx xx PRS-RSRP measurements |
| TC 10a | PRS RSRP measurement accuracy for FR2 in SA, for the same frequency | A7.7.xx xx PRS-RSRP measurements |
| TC 10b | PRS RSRP measurement accuracy for FR2 in SA, for different frequencies | A7.7.xx xx PRS-RSRP measurements |
| TC 11a | UE Rx-Tx time difference measurement accuracy for FR1 in SA, for the same frequency | A6.7.xxx UE Rx-Tx time difference measurements |
| TC 11b | UE Rx-Tx time difference measurement accuracy for FR1 in SA, for different frequencies | A6.7.xxx UE Rx-Tx time difference measurements |
| TC 12a | UE Rx-Tx time difference measurement accuracy for FR2 in SA, for the same frequency | A7.7.xxx UE Rx-Tx time difference measurements |
| TC 12b | UE Rx-Tx time difference measurement accuracy for FR2 in SA, for different frequencies | A7.7.xxx UE Rx-Tx time difference measurements |

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Intel | In principle, 2 PLFs are necessary because the requirements of RSTD/UE Rx-Tx time difference/RSRP were defined for the multiple PLFs already. But we can consider to reduce the number of TCs as possible. So it is unnecessary to define both same PFL and different PFL for all test cases.  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### Sub-topic 5-5 Test cases for the different deployment scenarios

* Option 1 (Intel, Huawei, ZTE, Qualcomm, OPPO): Only need to define the test cases for SA
* Option 2 (Ericsson). RAN4 will define NR positioning test cases for NR-DC, where Pcell is in FR1, and PSCell is in FR2; otherwise define test cases for other deployments with both FR1 and FR2 (e.g., CA or SA with FR1 Pcell and FR2 neighbors).

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Intel | Support Option 1. In our view, the requirements applied to SA are definitely same as these for Pcell in CA. That is the test cases proposed by Option 2 are redundant. |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### Sub-topic 5-6 General PRS configuration for NR Positioning test cases

**Sub-topic 5-6-1 PRS periodicity and offset**

* Option 1 (Huawei, CATT, Intel): PRS periodicity is 160ms with 10ms offset in all test cases.
* Option 1a (Qualcomm): **Use 160 ms PRS periodicity as baseline for all tests. Offsets may be specified in each test case in order to achieve orthogonality between PRS resources from multiple TRPs**
* Option 2 (Ericsson):
	+ *In each test case the NR measurements are tested for two combinations of PRS periodicity and MGL, e.g.:*
		- *TPRS>MGL, and*
		- *TPRS<MGL.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Intel | Both Option 1 and 1a are fine for us. The specific PRS offset can be defined individual if necessary, which will not impact the common PRS configuration. For Option 2, we don’t think it is necessary which can double the testing efforts.  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Sub-topic 5-6-2 Comb size, number of symbol , slot repetition factor**

*[Moderator notes: In previous discussion, the PRS density in both time and frequency domain may introduce the performance diver. Therefore, these factors can be verified with several combinations.]*

* Option 1 (Huawei ,CATT):
* **Table 1: general PRS configuration for positioning RRM test cases**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **PRS Pattern 1.1** | **PRS Pattern 1.2** | **PRS Pattern 2.1** | **PRS Pattern 2.2** | **PRS Pattern 3.1** | **PRS Pattern 3.2** |
| **SCS** | FR1, 15k | FR1, 30k | FR2, 120k |
| **PRS periodicity and offset** | 160ms, 10ms |
| **BW (num of PRBs)** | 52 | 106 | 66 |
| **Comb size** | 2 |
| **Number of PRS symbols** | 2 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 4 |
| **Number of PRS slots** | 1 |

* + PRS comb size is 2 for all test cases
		- For measurement delay test, use symbol size 4, slot repetition 1, and PRS BW same as CH BW or
		- For measurement accuracy test, verify performance of different combinations with subtests
* Option 2 (Intel)：The following PRS configuration combinations are proposed:
* **Table 1: PRS configuration patterns for NR positioning measurement**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | PRS Pattern 1 | PRS Pattern 2 | PRS Pattern 3 |
| SCS | FR1, 15k | FR1, 30k | FR2, 120k |
| PRS periodicity | 160ms | 80ms | 20ms |
| Number of PRS symbol | 2 | 4 | 6 |
| Comb size | 2 | 4 | 6 |
| Repetition factor | 4 | 2 | 1 |

* Option 3 (Ericsson)：The following PRS configuration combinations are proposed:
	+ *No PRS repetitions (within a slot or across slots within a single PRS period) are configured in NR positioning test cases*
	+ *PRS SCS is the same as SSB SCS.*
	+ *The network configured k is set as follows:*
		- *timingReportingGranularityFactor=0 for FR1,*
		- *timingReportingGranularityFactor=2 for FR2.*
* Option 4(Qualcomm)
	+ Comb size equal to 2 or 4 would be good choices.
	+ Number of PRS symbols = K\*comb\_size, K = 1, 2, 3 (if needed).

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Intel | Repetition and other parameters which can impact the accuracy requirements can be up to the requirements themselves. If we define the requirement regardless with them, we can simply to use either of them as Option 1,2,4 |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Sub-topic 5-6-2 PRS BW**

* Option 1 (Huawei): 10MHz for 15kHz SCS, 40MHz for 30kHz SCS and 100MHz for 120kHz SCS for delay tests. For accuracy tests, the BWs to be tested needs to be further discussed based on outcome of accuracy requriements.
* Option 2 (Ericsson)
	+ *In each test case, the NR measurements are tested for multiple measurement bandwidths, with at least:*
		- *the smallest bandwidth,*
		- *a bandwidth from the medium bandwidths range (e.g., >48 PRBs in FR1 or >32 PRBs in FR2),*
		- *a bandwidth from the large bandwidths range (e.g., >132 PRBs in FR1 or >64 PRBs in FR2).*
* Option 3 (Qualcomm)
	+ Specify multiple PRS BW configurations matching the ones specified in the accuracy requirements. E.g. number of PRBs = 24, 48, 96, 192, 264

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Intel | For the BW, the different BWs shall be configured for the accuracy requirements TC. But how many configuration is needed is up to the eventual requirement. So far, we think 2 groups BW is enough.  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### Sub-topic 5-7 SRS configuration for NR Positioning test case

* Option 1 (Huawei)
	+ BW: to define the SRS BW corresponding to the channel BW, i.e. 10MHz for 15kHz SCS, 40MHz for 30kHz SCS and 100MHz for 120kHz SCS.
	+ comb size 4 with 4 OFDM symbols.
	+ 80ms, and the offset is 20ms (the separation between PRS and SRS is 10ms).
* **Table 2: general SRS configuration for UE Rx-Tx RRM test cases**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| SRS-Resource | SRS-ResourceId | 0 |
|  | nrofSRS-Ports | Port1 |
|  | transmissionComb  | n4 |
|  | combOffset-n4 | 0 |
|  | cyclicShift-n4 | 0 |
|  | resourceMappingstartPosition | 0 |
|  | resourceMappingnrofSymbols  | n4 |
|  | resourceMappingrepetitionFactor | n1 |
|  | freqDomainPosition | 0 |
|  | freqDomainShift | 0 |
|  | freqHoppingc-SRS | Matches NRB,c  |
|  | groupOrSequenceHopping | Neither |
|  | resourceType | Periodic |
|  | periodicityAndOffset-p | 80\*2^u, 20\*2^u |
|  | sequenceId | 0 |

* Option 2(Ericsson)
	+ *frequency hopping: no*
	+ *group or sequence hopping: no*
	+ *Number of antenna ports: 1*
	+ *Resource type: periodic*
	+ *SCS: same as for SSB*
* Option 3 (Qualcomm) Match SRS periodicity to PRS periodicity, i.e. 160 ms

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Intel | Option 1 and 2 can be combined together.For Option 1, the periodicity and offset can be configured same for all SCS as we defined for PRS common configuration.  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### Sub-topic 5-8 Number of cells/TRPs for NR Positioning test case

* Option 1. (Intel, Qualcomm, OPPO)
	+ for RSTD measurement requirements, test cases with 3 cells are developed: NR Pcell (cell 1) and two NR neighbor cells (cell 2, cell 3);
	+ for RSTD measurement accuracy requirements, test cases with 2 cells can be sufficient, provided separate test cases are developed for measurements on the same and different frequency layers: NR Pcell (cell 1) and one NR neighbor cell (cell 2)
	+ for PRS-RSRP (DL-AoD) and UE Rx-Tx time difference measurement requirements and measurement accuracy requirements, the same test set-up as for RSTD can be used
* Option 2 (Huawei): two TRPs in the test case
* Option 3 (Ericsson):
	+ The number of cells in RSTD test cases:
		- 3 for measurements testing,
		- 2 for accuracy testing (provided there are separate test cases for the same and different frequencies).
	+ The number of cells in PRS-RSRP test cases:
		- 2 for measurements testing,
		- 2 for accuracy testing (provided there are separate test cases for the same and different frequencies), with separate tests in the same test case for the different signal levels in the requirements;
		- Note: absolute measurements (on two cells) and relative measurements (on 1 cell) accuracy are tested in the same test
	+ The number of cells in UE Rx-Tx test cases:
	+ 2 for measurements testing,
	+ 2 for accuracy testing (provided there are separate test cases for the same and different frequencies).

NOTE: the signal levels in the two cells correspond to the different signal levels in the requirements.

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Intel | Option 1 and 3 can be acceptable for us.  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### Sub-topic 5-9 Number of positioning frequency layers

* Option 1. (Intel): The number of positioning frequency layers measured cannot be larger than [2].
* Option 1a (Qualcomm): one or two PRS frequency layers
* Option 2 (Ericsson): Each measurement type (RSTD, PRS-RSRP, or UE Rx-Tx) is tested in both configurations:
	+ The cells are spread on two frequencies (regardless on the number of cells agreed for the test)
	+ All cells are on the same frequency
* Option 3 (Huawei)
	+ Test both Case 1 and Case 2 for delay tests
		- Case 1: 1 PFL, and all cells are on the same PFL
		- Case 2: 2 PLFs, and cells are distributed on two PFLs
		- UE supporting more than one PFL only needs to pass tests for Case 2.
	+ Test both Case 1 and Case 2 for delay tests and RSTD accuracy tests. Test Case 1 for PRS-RSRP and UE Rx-Tx accuracy tests

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Intel | Option 3 is fine to use which can minimize the test cases number. Cant’ agree Option 2. We need NOT to test all cases with two configurations(same and different PFL).  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### Sub-topic 5-10 Synchronous/Asynchronous cells

* Option 1. (Intel, Huawei): The synchronous cells will be tested for the measurement delay requirements test.
* Option 1a(Qualcomm): Time synchronicity constraints between cells/TRPs may be incorporated in the test configurations to the extent needed to ensure that the Es/Iot side conditions are met during testing

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Intel | Some restriction on the time restriction is needed. We can have not any specific definition on synchronous/asynchronous.  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### Sub-topic 5-11 Muting pattern

* Option 1. (Intel, Huawei): only the non-muting PRS configuration will be used.
* Option 2 (Qualcomm) FFS up to **the outcome of the discussion of whether and how to modify measurement period requirements to account for type 1 PRS muting**

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Intel | Prefer Option 1 according to current requirements regardless muting pattern. If there is any updates on the core part due to the muting pattern, we can update these tests also.  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### Sub-topic 5-12 Subsets of accuracy tests

* Option 1. (Huawei, Intel, OPPO): Define two subtests per accuracy test cases corresponding to different combinations of {Es/Iot, PRS BW}.
* Option 1a(Qualcomm) Test two PRS BW configurations per test
	+ The first configuration could be the minimum PRS BW supported (PRBs = 24) paired with the smallest SCS for the FR being tested, i.e. SCS = 15 kHz for FR1 and SCS = 60 kHz for FR2.
	+ The second configuration could be the highest PRS BW (num. PRBs) supported by the UE among the PRS reference configurations than RAN4 will define, paired with the highest SCS for the FR being tested (SCS = 30 kHz for FR1 and SCS = 120 kHz for FR2).
* Option 2 (Ericsson): In each test case, the NR measurements are tested for multiple measurement bandwidths, with at least:
	+ the smallest bandwidth,
	+ a bandwidth from the medium bandwidths range (e.g., >48 PRBs in FR1 or >32 PRBs in FR2),
	+ a bandwidth from the large bandwidths range (e.g., >132 PRBs in FR1 or >64 PRBs in FR2).

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Intel | Option 1 and 1a are fine for us.  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### Sub-topic 5-13 Reporting configuration

* Option 1 (Ericsson): The network configured k is set as follows:
	+ timingReportingGranularityFactor=0 for FR1,
	+ timingReportingGranularityFactor=2 for FR2

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Intel | The report resolution : can follow the minimum resolution UE can achieved because the network configured “k1” can be overrided by UE’s (k2) |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### Sub-topic 5-14 Supported test configurations in FR1

* Option 1 (Ericsson): *Supported test configurations for FR1:*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Configuration* | *Description* |
| *1* | *15 kHz SSB SCS, 10 MHz bandwidth, FDD duplex mode* |
| *2* | *15 kHz SSB SCS, 10 MHz bandwidth, TDD duplex mode* |
| *3* | *30 kHz SSB SCS, 40 MHz bandwidth, TDD duplex mode* |
| *NOTE: The UE is only required to be tested in one of the supported test configurations.* |

* Option 1a (Qualcomm): : Support the proposed reference test configurations below under the assumption that they correspond to the Pcell configuration and do not constrain the PRS bandwidth and SCS to be tested in each test case.

*Supported test configurations for FR1:*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Configuration* | *Description* |
| *1* | *15 kHz SSB SCS, 10 MHz bandwidth, FDD duplex mode* |
| *2* | *15 kHz SSB SCS, 10 MHz bandwidth, TDD duplex mode* |
| *3* | *30 kHz SSB SCS, 40 MHz bandwidth, TDD duplex mode* |
| *NOTE: The UE is only required to be tested in one of the supported test configurations.* |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  |  |
|  |  |

* Option 1b (Huawei): Re-use the test configurations {10MHz BW, 15kHz SCS}, {40MHz BW, 30kHz SCS} **for serving cell and also PRS in case of delay test**.

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Intel | Option 1, 1a are fine for us.  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### Sub-topic 5-15 Supported test configurations in FR2

* Option 1 (Ericsson): *Supported test configurations for FR2:*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Configuration* | *Description* |
| *1* | *120 kHz SSB SCS, 100 MHz bandwidth, TDD duplex mode* |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  |  |
|  |  |

* Option 1b (Huawei): Re-use the test configurations {100MHz BW, 120kHz SCS} **for serving cell and also PRS in case of delay test**.

e

* Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Intel | Option 1, 1a are fine for us.  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### CRs/TPs

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **Comments collection** |
| [**R4-2106921**](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Crhuang5%5COneDrive%20-%20Intel%20Corporation%5CDocuments%5Cmy_work%5CLTE_A%5CRAN4%5C98e-b%5CDocs%5CR4-2106921.zip) [draft CR] Test cases for PRS-RSRP measurement accuracy (ZTE) |  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
| [**R4-2104748**](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Crhuang5%5COneDrive%20-%20Intel%20Corporation%5CDocuments%5Cmy_work%5CLTE_A%5CRAN4%5C98e-b%5CDocs%5CR4-2104748.zip) CR on test case for PRS-RSRP measurement requirements for FR2 in SA (CATT) |  |
|  |
|  |
| [**R4-2106450**](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Crhuang5%5COneDrive%20-%20Intel%20Corporation%5CDocuments%5Cmy_work%5CLTE_A%5CRAN4%5C98e-b%5CDocs%5CR4-2106450.zip) draftCR] CR for PRS configurations for NR Pos RRM tests (Intel) |  |
|  |
|  |
| [**R4-2106451**](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Crhuang5%5COneDrive%20-%20Intel%20Corporation%5CDocuments%5Cmy_work%5CLTE_A%5CRAN4%5C98e-b%5CDocs%5CR4-2106451.zip) draftCR] CR for the test case of RSTD measurement requirements reporting in SA (Intel) |   |
|  |
|  |
| [**R4-2107170**](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Crhuang5%5COneDrive%20-%20Intel%20Corporation%5CDocuments%5Cmy_work%5CLTE_A%5CRAN4%5C98e-b%5CDocs%5CR4-2107170.zip) TC5 and TC6: UE Rx-Tx time difference measurement requirements for FR1 and FR2 in SA (Ericsson) |  |
|  |
|  |
| [**R4-2107171**](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Crhuang5%5COneDrive%20-%20Intel%20Corporation%5CDocuments%5Cmy_work%5CLTE_A%5CRAN4%5C98e-b%5CDocs%5CR4-2107171.zip) TC11 and TC12: UE Rx-Tx time difference measurement accuracy for FR1 and FR2 in SA (Ericsson) |  |
|  |
|  |
| [**R4-2107011**](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Crhuang5%5COneDrive%20-%20Intel%20Corporation%5CDocuments%5Cmy_work%5CLTE_A%5CRAN4%5C98e-b%5CDocs%5CR4-2107011.zip) draftCR to introduce TC for PRS-RSRP measurement requirements for FR1 in SA**(Huawei)** |  |
|  |
|  |
| [**R4-2107012**](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Crhuang5%5COneDrive%20-%20Intel%20Corporation%5CDocuments%5Cmy_work%5CLTE_A%5CRAN4%5C98e-b%5CDocs%5CR4-2107012.zip) draftCR to introduce TC for RSTD measurement accuracy for FR1 and FR2 in SA (Huawei) |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary**  |
| **Sub-topic#5-1** | **Test cases for the different SINR side condition for UE Rx-Tx time difference** *Tentative agreements:**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round: Can be FFS* |
| **Sub-topic#5-2** | **Test cases for** serving carrier frequencies and non-serving carrier frequencies **measurements***Tentative agreements**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round : Can be FFS* |
| **Sub-topic #5-3** | **Absolute measurement reporting in test cases***Tentative agreements:**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round: Can be FFS* |
| **Sub-topic#5-4** | *Tentative agreements:**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round: Can be FFS* |
| **Sub-topic#5-5** | **Test cases for the different deployment scenarios***Tentative agreements:**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round: Can be FFS* |
| **Sub-topic#5-6-1** | **General PRS configuration for NR Positioning test case***Tentative agreements:* *Candidate options**Recommendations for 2nd round:* |
| **Sub-topic#5-6-2** | **Combination of Comb size, number of symbol , slot repetition factor***Tentative agreements:* *Candidate options**Recommendations for 2nd round:* |
| **Sub-topic#5-6-3** | **PRS BW***Tentative agreements:* *Candidate options**Recommendations for 2nd round:* |
| **Sub-topic#5-7** | **SRS configuration for NR Positioning test case***Tentative agreements: None**Candidate options:* *Recommendations for 2nd round:* |
| **Sub-topic#5-8** | **Number of cells/TRPs for NR Positioning test case***Tentative agreements:**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round:Can be FFS* |
| **Sub-topic#5-9** | **Number of positioning frequency layers***Tentative agreements: None.**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round :can be FFS* |
| **Sub-topic#5-10** | **Synchronous/Asynchronous cells***Tentative agreements: None**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round: Can be FFS* |
| **Sub-topic#5-11** | **Muting pattern** *Tentative agreements: None**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round: Can be FFS* |
| **Sub-topic#5-12** | **Subsets of accuracy tests***Tentative agreements: None**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round: Can be FFS* |
| **Sub-topic#5-13** | *Tentative agreements: None**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round: Can be FFS* |
| **Sub-topic #5-14** | **Supported test configurations in FR1***Tentative agreements:* *Recommendations for 2nd round:*  |
| **Sub-topic #5-15** | **Supported test configurations in FR2***Tentative agreements:* *Recommendations for 2nd round:*  |

### CRs/TPs

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation**  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## Discussion on 2nd round

Please only comment on topics that are selected for discussion in 2nd round.

**Sub-topic#5-1 Test cases for the different SINR side condition for UE Rx-Tx time difference and RSRP**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |

### CRs/TPs

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation**  |
|  |  |

## Summary on 2nd round

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP/LS/WF number** | **T-doc status update recommendation**  |
|  |  |

# Simulation results

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| [R4-2106457](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_98bis_e/Docs/R4-2106457.zip) | Intel Corporation | PRS simulation results collection |
| [**R4-2106519**](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Crhuang5%5COneDrive%20-%20Intel%20Corporation%5CDocuments%5Cmy_work%5CLTE_A%5CRAN4%5C98e-b%5CDocs%5CR4-2106519.zip) | OPPO | PRS simulation results collection |
| [**R4-2107216**](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Crhuang5%5COneDrive%20-%20Intel%20Corporation%5CDocuments%5Cmy_work%5CLTE_A%5CRAN4%5C98e-b%5CDocs%5CR4-2107216.zip) | Ericsson | PRS simulation results collection |
| [**R4-2106343**](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Crhuang5%5COneDrive%20-%20Intel%20Corporation%5CDocuments%5Cmy_work%5CLTE_A%5CRAN4%5C98e-b%5CDocs%5CR4-2106343.zip) | Qualcomm Incorporated | PRS simulation results collection |
| [**R4-2106637**](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Crhuang5%5COneDrive%20-%20Intel%20Corporation%5CDocuments%5Cmy_work%5CLTE_A%5CRAN4%5C98e-b%5CDocs%5CR4-2106637.zip) | vivo | PRS simulation results collection |
| [**R4-2106635**](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Crhuang5%5COneDrive%20-%20Intel%20Corporation%5CDocuments%5Cmy_work%5CLTE_A%5CRAN4%5C98e-b%5CDocs%5CR4-2106635.zip) | vivo | PRS simulation results collection |
| [**R4-2106636**](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Crhuang5%5COneDrive%20-%20Intel%20Corporation%5CDocuments%5Cmy_work%5CLTE_A%5CRAN4%5C98e-b%5CDocs%5CR4-2106636.zip) | vivo | PRS simulation results collection |
| [**R4-2106637**](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Crhuang5%5COneDrive%20-%20Intel%20Corporation%5CDocuments%5Cmy_work%5CLTE_A%5CRAN4%5C98e-b%5CDocs%5CR4-2106637.zip) | vivo | PRS simulation results collection |

## Open issues summary

[*Moderator notes: all simulaiton reusts from the different comapanies were be included in* [*R4-2106457*](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_98bis_e/Docs/R4-2106457.zip) *for information only*.]

### Sub-topic 6-1

# Recommendation for Tdocs (To be updated)

**After first round:**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Tdoc No. | Source company | Recommendation | Remarks |
| Big CR |
|  |  |  |  |
| CR for accuracy requirements |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| WF |
|  |  |  |  |

**After 2nd round**:

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Tdoc No. | Revision from | Source company | Recommendation | Remarks |
| Big CR |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| CR for accuracy requirements |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| CR for TC |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| WF |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Simulation result collection and full set of the simulation parameter list  |
|  |  |  |  |  |