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# Introduction

This is the trigger of the following email discussion:

* [AT121bis-e][431][Relay] SRAP proposals on U2U relay (Lenovo)

Scope: Discuss the SRAP proposals (P18a to P23) for discussion from [R2-2304194](file:///D:\OneDrive%20-%20Lenovo\3GPP\RAN2\TSGR2_121bis\Docs\R2-2304194.zip) and converge where possible.

Intended outcome: Report to CB session

Deadline: Monday 2023-04-24 2359 UTC

Your inputs before coming Sunday for early draft summary and proposals are appreciated.

# Discussion

## 2.1 Bearer Multiplexing

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Tdoc Number | Proposals |
| [**R2-2302643**](file:///D:\OneDrive%20-%20Lenovo\3GPP\RAN2\TSGR2_121bis\Docs\R2-2302643.zip)  OPPO | Proposal 9 The SRAP for L2 U2U relay supports multiplexing of the different bearers from the same and/or different remote UEs into the same RLC channel. |
| [**R2-2302701**](file:///D:\OneDrive%20-%20Lenovo\3GPP\RAN2\TSGR2_121bis\Docs\R2-2302701.zip)  Intel | Proposal 1. Agree to support multiplexing of different destinations in the same RLC channel. Revisit the agreement if SA2 does not update TS23.304 for Layer-2 U2U relaying (consistent with L3 U2U relaying update). |
| [**R2-2302791**](file:///D:\OneDrive%20-%20Lenovo\3GPP\RAN2\TSGR2_121bis\Docs\R2-2302791.zip)  Nokia | Proposal 7: L2 U2U relay supports multiplexing of data in the same RLC channel from/to a source End UE to/from different target End UEs between the source End UE and a serving U2U relay UE or data to/from a target End UE from/to different source End UEs between a serving U2U relay UE and the target End UE. |
| [**R2-2302836**](file:///D:\OneDrive%20-%20Lenovo\3GPP\RAN2\TSGR2_121bis\Docs\R2-2302836.zip)  Ericsson | Proposal 8 RAN2 to discuss the issue of multiplexing of data at the MAC-layer when the LCHs are associated with different (final) destination remote UEs. |
| [**R2-2302922**](file:///D:\OneDrive%20-%20Lenovo\3GPP\RAN2\TSGR2_121bis\Docs\R2-2302922.zip)  InterDigital | Proposal 13: Traffic for multiple destination UEs can be multiplexed by a remote UE on the same SL-RLC channel on the first hop. |
| [**R2-2302997**](file:///D:\OneDrive%20-%20Lenovo\3GPP\RAN2\TSGR2_121bis\Docs\R2-2302997.zip)  LG Electronics | Proposal 15. The rel-17 SRAP header structure can be reused for supporting the multiplexing of different destinations in the same RLC channel in the 1st-hop.  Proposal 16. The rel-17 SRAP header structure be reused for supporting the multiplexing of different sources in the same RLC channel in the 2nd-hop. |
| [**R2-2303005**](file:///D:\OneDrive%20-%20Lenovo\3GPP\RAN2\TSGR2_121bis\Docs\R2-2303005.zip)  ZTE, Sanechips | Proposal 1a: If the same PC5 unicast link is used between source remote UE and relay UE when the source remote UE communicates with different destination UEs through the same relay UE, multiplexing of different destinations in the same RLC channel is supported.  Proposal 1b: If the same PC5 unicast link is used between relay UE and destination UE when different source remote UEs communicate with the same destination UE through the same relay UE, multiplexing of different sources in the same RLC channel is supported. |
| [**R2-2303012**](file:///D:\OneDrive%20-%20Lenovo\3GPP\RAN2\TSGR2_121bis\Docs\R2-2303012.zip)  Fujitsu | Proposal 1: Multiplexing of different destinations in the same RLC channel is supported in Source Remote UE. |
| [**R2-2303222**](file:///D:\OneDrive%20-%20Lenovo\3GPP\RAN2\TSGR2_121bis\Docs\R2-2303222.zip)  Lenovo | Proposal 10: In U2U relaying, multiplexing of sidelink data by the transmitter remote UE towards more than one Rx remote UE served by the same relay node into a TB is supported.  Proposal 11: In U2U relaying, the multiplexing data from the different transmitting remote UEs towards the same destination UE at the relay UE is supported. |
| [**R2-2303340**](file:///D:\OneDrive%20-%20Lenovo\3GPP\RAN2\TSGR2_121bis\Docs\R2-2303340.zip)  Vivo | Proposal 1 RAN2 to send LS to SA2 for confirmation on the support of shared link for L2 U2U relay.  Proposal 2 RAN2 to support multiplexing of different destinations in the same RLC channel for both of the following two cases, if shared link for L2 U2U relay is supported by SA2.  - Case 1: the same RLC channel over 1st hop (between Source Remote UE and Relay UE) used for multiplexing data terminated to different Target Remote UEs  - Case 2: the same RLC channel over 2nd hop (between Relay UE and Target Remote UE) used for multiplexing data originated from different Source Remote UEs |
| [**R2-2303388**](file:///D:\OneDrive%20-%20Lenovo\3GPP\RAN2\TSGR2_121bis\Docs\R2-2303388.zip)  Apple | Proposal 6 Allow multiplexing traffic to different remote SL destinations in the same PC5 Relay RLC channel.  Proposal 7 SRAP header with different IDs (source and destination UE ID) as baseline. FFS on the need of support of pair-based local ID.  Proposal 8 Include both source and remote UE addresses in the SRAP header of both hops, because SA2 assumption on L2 ID separation prevents relay UE from regenerating SRAP header from L2 IDs used in the lower layer headers. |
| [**R2-2303486**](file:///D:\OneDrive%20-%20Lenovo\3GPP\RAN2\TSGR2_121bis\Docs\R2-2303486.zip)  Huawei | Proposal 1: Multiplexing of different destination end UEs in the same RLC channel should be supported for L2 U2U relay operation, and the end UE ID in adaptation header is used to differentiate different E2E unicast link (i.e. the link between one source end UE and one destination UE). |
| [**R2-2303545**](file:///D:\OneDrive%20-%20Lenovo\3GPP\RAN2\TSGR2_121bis\Docs\R2-2303545.zip)  CMCC | Proposal 4: Multiplexing of different destinations in the same RLC channel is not supported in this release. |
| [**R2-2303572**](file:///D:\OneDrive%20-%20Lenovo\3GPP\RAN2\TSGR2_121bis\Docs\R2-2303572.zip)  Spreadtrum | Proposal 12: RAN2 supports multiplexing of different sources/destinations in the same RLC channel. |
| [**R2-2303608**](file:///D:\OneDrive%20-%20Lenovo\3GPP\RAN2\TSGR2_121bis\Docs\R2-2303608.zip)  China Telecom | Proposal 10 For the scenario of U2U relay, one or more Source UEs can connect to one target UE with one relay UE or one Source UE can connect to more than one target UE with one relay UE may be supported in RAN2. |
| [**R2-2303934**](file:///D:\OneDrive%20-%20Lenovo\3GPP\RAN2\TSGR2_121bis\Docs\R2-2303934.zip)  ASUSTeK | Proposal 2 Multiplexing of different destinations in the same egress PC5 RLC channel is supported for L2 UE-to-UE Relay. |
| [**R2-2304123**](file:///D:\OneDrive%20-%20Lenovo\3GPP\RAN2\TSGR2_121bis\Docs\R2-2304123.zip)  MediaTek Inc. | Proposal 2: Support multiplexing of different destinations into the same RLC channel as long as there is overlapping on the whole path. |

**Proposal 18a: RAN2 to agree multiplexing of different destinations in the same RLC channel is supported.**

We discussed if multiplexing of different destinations in the same RLC channel can be supported in last meeting. Unfortunately, there was no consensus in last meeting. Based on the contributions, most of contributions support to multiplex the different destinations in the same RLC channel in the first hop.

Two contributions object multiplexing of different destinations in the same RLC channel. One thinks it may have security problems which are not explained in the contribution. The other contribution proposes multiplexing of data at the MAC-layer.

**Q1-1: Do companies agree that multiplexing of different destinations in the same RLC channel in the first hop is supported?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/ No | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary:**

**…..**

**Proposal 18b: If P18a is agreed, RAN2 to discuss if LS to SA2 is needed to ensure that the same PC5 unicast link is used between source remote UE and relay UE when the source remote UE communicates with different destination UEs through the same relay UE.**

ZTE and vivo point out that the same (shared) PC5 unicast link should be used between source remote UE and relay UE to ensure multiplexing of different destinations in the same RLC channel. Rapporteur tends to agree with it.

According to TS23.304 (6.7.1.1), the *shared PC5 link* will be used for the case that one L3 source remote UE communicates with multiple target L3 target UEs. According to TS23.304 (6.7.2), the L2 source remote UE can decide whether to use *an existing PC5 link* with the same U2U Relay towards the different target remote UEs. vivo thinks in current TS 23.304, the support of shared PC5 unicast link is described for L3 U2U relay in subclause 6.7.1.1 while it does not seem clear for L2 U2U relay in subclause 6.7.2. Therefore, Vivo proposes RAN2 to send LS to SA2 for confirmation on the support of shared link for L2 U2U relay. Rapporteur (not SA2 delegate) is not sure if it is sufficient for SA2 specification since ‘existing PC5 link’ is allowed by the source remote UE.

**Q1-2: If Yes for Q1-1, do companies agree to send LS to SA2 to ensure that the same PC5 unicast link between source remote UE and relay UE is used when the source remote UE communicates with different destination UEs through the same relay UE?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/ No | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary:**

**…..**

**Proposal 18c: RAN2 to discuss if multiplexing of the different bearers from the different source remote UEs into the same RLC channel in the second hop is supported.**

Some contributions also discuss if L2 U2U relay supports multiplexing of the different bearers from the same and/or different remote UEs into the same RLC channel in the second hop. The corresponding scenario is that multiple remote UEs communicates with the same target remote UE via the same relay UE. Based on the contributions, 8 contributions support multiplexing of the different bearers from the same and/or different remote UEs into the same RLC channel can be supported.

**Q1-3: Do companies agree that multiplexing of the different bearers from the different source remote UEs into the same RLC channel in the second hop is supported?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/ No | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary:**

**…..**

Similar to Q1-2, we also need to check the same question for this scenario that the different source remote UEs communicate with a same destination UE through the same relay UE. According to TS23.304 (6.7.2), the L2 U2U Relay is allowed to decide whether to use an existing PC5 link between the relay UE and the L2 target remote UE.

**Q1-4: If Yes for Q1-3, do companies agree to send LS to SA2 to ensure that the same PC5 unicast link in the second hop is used when the different source remote UEs communicate with a same destination UE through the same relay UE?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/ No | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary:**

**…..**

## 2.2 Bearer mapping and SRAP design

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Tdoc Number | Proposals |
| [**R2-2302492**](file:///D:\OneDrive%20-%20Lenovo\3GPP\RAN2\TSGR2_121bis\Docs\R2-2302492.zip)  NEC | Proposal-1: The U2U SRAP sublayer is only for the purpose of bearer mapping.  Proposal-2: The identity information of source U2U Remote UE end-to-end Radio Bearer and a local Remote UE ID are included in the SRAP header in order for the target Remote UE to correlate the received packets for the specific PDCP entity associated with the right end-to-end Radio Bearer.  Proposal-3: Destination Remote UE ID or a local ID is included at SRAP data header to support bearer mapping for end-to-end UE-to-UE traffic for first hop.  Proposal-4: The identity information of source Remote UE is not included in the adaptation layer header of first hop assuming there is mapping at Relay UE.  Proposal-5: The U2U Relay UE configures source and target Remote UEs with the local UE identities. |
| [**R2-2302601**](file:///D:\OneDrive%20-%20Lenovo\3GPP\RAN2\TSGR2_121bis\Docs\R2-2302601.zip)  CATT | Proposal 12：RAN2 confirm relay UE determines the egress RLC channel based on the mapping from the E2E bearer ID to egress RLC channel, for a particular target remote UE.  Proposal 13: For U2U relay, PC5 adaptation layer header should include: source remote UE L2 ID, target remote UE L2 ID and BEARER ID. Considering the overhead, a mapping from the combination of source remote UE L2 ID and target remote UE L2 ID to a shorter link identifier is needed in Rel-18 U2U relay. |
| [**R2-2302643**](file:///D:\OneDrive%20-%20Lenovo\3GPP\RAN2\TSGR2_121bis\Docs\R2-2302643.zip)  OPPO | Proposal 9 The SRAP for L2 U2U relay supports multiplexing of the different bearers from the same and/or different remote UEs into the same RLC channel.  Proposal 10 R2 discuss including IDs of both end-UEs in the adaptation layer.  Proposal 11 R2 discusses using 24-bit L2 ID as the UE ID to be included in SRAP header. |
| [**R2-2302701**](file:///D:\OneDrive%20-%20Lenovo\3GPP\RAN2\TSGR2_121bis\Docs\R2-2302701.zip)  Intel | Proposal 1.1. Agree that destination remote UE ID is included within the SRAP header for support of L2 U2U relaying.  Proposal 2. Both source UE ID and destination UE ID are included in the SRAP header for U2U relaying.  Proposal 2.1. The L2 U2U relay UE does not do any mapping based on the UE IDs in the SRAP header.  Proposal 3. U2U relay UE assigns the 8-bit local UE ID for source remote UE and target remote UE involved in UE-to-UE relaying. |
| [**R2-2302791**](file:///D:\OneDrive%20-%20Lenovo\3GPP\RAN2\TSGR2_121bis\Docs\R2-2302791.zip)  Nokia | Proposal 8: The Local ID identifies a pair of the source and target End UEs on SRAP level over each hop in the L2 U2U relay connection.  Proposal 9: The Local ID is unique per hop and specific to each hop. Proposal 10: RAN2 adopts a single 8-bit Local ID that is included in the SRAP header.  Proposal 11: The assignment of the Local ID is hop-by-hop.  Proposal 12: The assignment of the local ID is initiated by either the source End UE or the target End UE whichever makes decision on the U2U relay (re)selection. |
| [**R2-2302836**](file:///D:\OneDrive%20-%20Lenovo\3GPP\RAN2\TSGR2_121bis\Docs\R2-2302836.zip)  Ericsson | Proposal 1 U2U relay determines the egress RLC channel based on mapping from a SRC UE’s E2E bearer ID to egress RLC channel of a particular DST UE.  Proposal 2 SRC ID should be included in the adaptation layer in the first and second hop.  Proposal 3 Local IDs are used to identify the SRC and DST UEs.  Proposal 4 Different local IDs are assigned to the SRC and DST UEs.  Proposal 5 The U2U relay assigns the local ID for the SRC and DST UEs. |
| [**R2-2302922**](file:///D:\OneDrive%20-%20Lenovo\3GPP\RAN2\TSGR2_121bis\Docs\R2-2302922.zip)  InterDigital | Proposal 14: Include both UE IDs (e.g., source and destination L2 UE IDs) in the adaptation layer header on both hops.  Proposal 15: Adaptation layer mapping for ingress unicast link/L2 ID pair to egress unicast link/L2 ID pair is configured by upper layers.  Proposal 16: Adaptation layer mapping for ingress LCH to egress LCH is (pre)configured based on the QoS profile of the end-to-end bearer. FFS whether obtained by the TX remote UE or the relay UE. |
| [**R2-2302997**](file:///D:\OneDrive%20-%20Lenovo\3GPP\RAN2\TSGR2_121bis\Docs\R2-2302997.zip)  LG Electronics | Proposal 12: For reducing the overhead of the SRAP header, only one local ID can be included in the SRAP header. In other words, the local ID mapped to the target remote UE includes in the 1st-hop SRAP header. The local ID mapped to the source remote UE includes in the 2nd-hop SRAP header.  Proposal 13: A common local ID for a pair between source and target remote UE can reduce the load of the relay UE. Relay UE can deliver a traffic packet including a common local ID as it is from the source remote UE to the target remote UE.  Proposal 14: We prefer that relay UE assigns the local ID for the source remote UE and the target remote UE for preventing to be assigned duplicated local IDs. |
| [**R2-2303005**](file:///D:\OneDrive%20-%20Lenovo\3GPP\RAN2\TSGR2_121bis\Docs\R2-2303005.zip)  ZTE, Sanechips | Proposal 2: It is suggested that both source UE L2 ID and destination UE L2 ID are included in the adaptation header.  Proposal 3: Relay UE determines the egress RLC channel based on the mapping from the ingress RLC channel to egress RLC channel, for a particular source-destination remote UE pair. |
| [**R2-2303012**](file:///D:\OneDrive%20-%20Lenovo\3GPP\RAN2\TSGR2_121bis\Docs\R2-2303012.zip)  Fujitsu | Proposal 2: The egress PC5-RLC channel in Relay UE is differentiated for each Destination remote UE.  Proposal 3: The transmission SRAP entity in Relay UE delivers data for different Destination remote UEs to different egress PC5-RLC channels.  Proposal 4: Destination Remote UE ID is included in the adaptation layer in the 1st hop and Source Remote UE ID is included in the adaptation layer in the 2nd hop, and Relay UE does a mapping.  Proposal 5: The Source Remote UE ID or the Destination Remote UE ID is a local/temporary UE ID.  Proposal 6: The Source Remote UE ID and the Destination Remote UE ID are allocated by Relay UE. |
| [**R2-2303336**](file:///D:\OneDrive%20-%20Lenovo\3GPP\RAN2\TSGR2_121bis\Docs\R2-2303336.zip)  Samsung | Proposal 1. SRAP functions for U2N case also apply to the U2U case, while bearing in mind that determination of UE ID field function in the U2N case may mean determination of a pair identifier in the U2U case or a destination UE identifier.  Proposal 2. Source UE inserts the ID of the Destination UE or the pair ID into the SRAP header. RAN2 to decide which option will be supported in Rel-18.  Proposal 3. For the case where the Source UE inserts the ID of the Destination UE, RAN2 to discuss whether Source UE also inserts its own ID into the SRAP header.  Proposal 4. The SRAP function of ‘Determination of SRAP ID field and BEARER ID field for data packets’ needs to be modified according to Proposals 2 and 3.  Proposal 5. For the case where the Source UE inserts the pair ID into the SRAP header, RAN2 to discuss using the PC5 Link Identifier for this purpose.  Proposal 6. RAN2 to discuss handling of collision in the {SRC UE ID, DST UE ID} pair ID space.  Proposal 7. RAN2 to discuss self-assignment of SRAP IDs by Remote UEs.  Proposal 8. RAN2 to discuss assignment of SRAP IDs by Remote UEs or Relay UEs to other Remote UEs.  Proposal 9. RAN2 to discuss handling of potential mismatch of per-hop SLRB configurations for the case of QoS handling for bearer multiplexing. |
| [**R2-2303340**](file:///D:\OneDrive%20-%20Lenovo\3GPP\RAN2\TSGR2_121bis\Docs\R2-2303340.zip)  Vivo | Proposal 3 If signalling overhead is the most import metric in Rel-18 single-hop scenario, adopt Option 1 (i.e., one local UE ID over first and second hop) in the adaptation layer header. Otherwise, adopt Option 3 (i.e., two L2 IDs over first and second hop).  Proposal 4 If local UE ID is used in the PC5 adaption layer header, the Relay UE is responsible to allocate the local UE ID for the remote UE. FFS detailed signalling procedure.  Proposal 5 If local UE ID is used in the PC5 adaption layer header, the local UE ID to be included over the first and second hop can be different, i.e.:   * The Relay UE allocates a local UE ID based on the numbering of Target Remote UE(s) and include it over the first hop * The Relay UE allocates a local UE ID based on the numbering of Source Remote UE(s) and include it over the second hop |
| [**R2-2303388**](file:///D:\OneDrive%20-%20Lenovo\3GPP\RAN2\TSGR2_121bis\Docs\R2-2303388.zip)  Apple | Proposal 7 SRAP header with different IDs (source and destination UE ID) as baseline. FFS on the need of support of pair-based local ID.  Proposal 9 Support SRAP control PDU design to enhance the relay UE’s operation of end-to-end radio bearer. Details of Control PDU(s) can be further discussed. |
| [**R2-2303486**](file:///D:\OneDrive%20-%20Lenovo\3GPP\RAN2\TSGR2_121bis\Docs\R2-2303486.zip)  Huawei | Proposal 2: For L2 U2U relay, before the E2E PC5 link establishment, the local ID should be assigned on each hop via per-hop PC5-RRC message.  Proposal 3: For L2 U2U relay, the Tx end UE allocates local ID for Rx end UE on each direction.  Proposal 4: The UE identification carried in adaptation layer on the hop between one end UE#x and the Relay UE is a UE ID which can uniquely identify the peer end UE#y in the scope of the end UE#x.  Proposal 5: The E2E bearer identification should be able to identify E2E SL-DRBs and E2E SL-SRBs which carry E2E PC5-S messages and E2E PC5-RRC messages.  Proposal 6: For L2 U2U relay, the adaptation layer header includes Local ID and bearer ID in the same format of SRAP as defined for U2N relay in Rel-17. |
| [**R2-2303506**](file:///D:\OneDrive%20-%20Lenovo\3GPP\RAN2\TSGR2_121bis\Docs\R2-2303506.zip)  Qualcomm | Proposal 1: Relay UE determines the egress RLC Channel based on the mapping of E2E bearer and egress RLC Channel mapping, which is same as U2N relay.  Proposal 2: RAN2 should comply with the principle that forward compatibility for supporting multi-hop U2U relay should be taken into account.  Proposal 3: RAN2 does not pursue the Layer-2 ID as ID format in adaptation layer.  Proposal 4: To use local ID in adaptation layer to present the S-UE/D-UE pair (i.e. presenting the D-UE on the first hop and the S-UE on the second hop).  Proposal 5: The local ID is unique within one PC5 link.  Proposal 6: The Relay UE maintains the mapping from ingress local ID on the previous hop to egress local ID on the next hop, and replace the ingress local ID with egress local ID.  Proposal 7: Relay UE assigns local ID for each hop and notifies the S-Remote-UE or the D-Remote-UE using PC5-S message. |
| [**R2-2303545**](file:///D:\OneDrive%20-%20Lenovo\3GPP\RAN2\TSGR2_121bis\Docs\R2-2303545.zip)  CMCC | Proposal 5: Both source UE ID and destination UE ID should be contained in the adaptation layer header.  Proposal 6: Local UE ID mechanism is needed to reduce signalling overhead in R18 L2 U2U relay and R17 U2N relay mechanism can be reused.  Proposal 7: The destination UE takes the role for local UE IDs allocation. FFS when for destination UE to allocate local UE IDs. |
| [**R2-2303572**](file:///D:\OneDrive%20-%20Lenovo\3GPP\RAN2\TSGR2_121bis\Docs\R2-2303572.zip)  Spreadtrum | Proposal 13: For UE-to-UE relay, adaptation layer header should include local UE ID of the source End UE and local UE ID of the target End UE.  Proposal 15: For E2E SL-SRB, dedicated configuration/SIB/pre-configuration is used for the configuration of PC5 RLC channels of both hops. |
| [**R2-2303608**](file:///D:\OneDrive%20-%20Lenovo\3GPP\RAN2\TSGR2_121bis\Docs\R2-2303608.zip)  China Telecom | Proposal 8 For the U2U relay, the local IDs for each hop are needed to distinguish the S-Remote-UE and D-Remote-UE.  Proposal 9 For the U2U relay, the local IDs should be assigned by the relay UE, details are FFS. |
| [**R2-2303782**](file:///D:\OneDrive%20-%20Lenovo\3GPP\RAN2\TSGR2_121bis\Docs\R2-2303782.zip)  Xiaomi | Proposal 19: Multiplexing of different destinations in the same RLC channel is supported.  Proposal 20: The IDs mappable to the source and destination remote UE are different IDs.  Proposal 21: Include both source and destination UE IDs in the SRAP header. |
| [**R2-2303934**](file:///D:\OneDrive%20-%20Lenovo\3GPP\RAN2\TSGR2_121bis\Docs\R2-2303934.zip)  ASUSTeK | Proposal 1 In L2 UE-to-UE Relay, separate PC5 RLC channels are used for transmitting (1) per-hop PC5-S messages between ProSe end UE and U2U Relay UE and (2) E2E PC5-S messages between ProSe end UEs via U2U Relay UE.  Proposal 3 SRAP header contains an 8-bit UE ID field for supporting L2 UE-to-UE Relay.  Proposal 4 Different UE IDs are used in the SRAP header, and U2U Relay UE modifies the ID mappable to the destination remote UE in the SRAP header to the ID mappable to the source remote UE before sending the SRAP packet to the destination remote UE.  Proposal 5 U2U Relay UE assigns the ID mappable to the destination remote UE and provides it to the source remote UE in a RRCReconfigurationSidelink message.  Proposal 6 U2U Relay UE assigns the ID mappable to the source remote UE and provides it to the destination remote UE in a RRCReconfigurationSidelink message. |
| [**R2-2304123**](file:///D:\OneDrive%20-%20Lenovo\3GPP\RAN2\TSGR2_121bis\Docs\R2-2304123.zip)  MediaTek Inc. | Proposal 3: Include both source and destination UE ID into the adaptation layer.  Proposal 4: Using 24-bit L2 ID as the UE ID to be included in SRAP header. |

**Proposal 19: RAN2 to discuss if Relay UE determines the egress RLC Channel based on the mapping of E2E bearer ID and egress RLC Channel mapping as L2 U2N relay.**

In the last meeting, it was agreed that Remote UE determines the egress RLC channel based on the mapping from the E2E bearer ID to egress RLC channel, for a particular target Remote UE. One issue to be discussed is how the Relay UE determines the egress RLC Channel, and there could be two potential options as follows. Rapporteur thinks both options can work. And the option 1 is same as L2 U2N case.

* Option 1: mapping from E2E bearer ID to egress RLC channel
* Option 2: mapping from ingress RLC channel to egress RLC channel

**Q2: Do companies agree that Relay UE determines the egress RLC Channel based on the mapping of E2E bearer ID and egress RLC Channel mapping as L2 U2N relay?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/ No | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary:**

**…..**

**Proposal 20a: RAN2 to discuss for L2 U2U relay case, SRAP header should include:**

* Option 1: Target remote UE ID (layer-2 ID) in first hop and source remote UE ID (layer-2 ID) in second hop.
* Option 2: Target remote UE ID (local ID) in first hop and source remote UE ID (local ID) in second hop.
* Option 3: Both source remote UE ID (layer-2 ID) and target remote UE ID (layer-2 ID) included in each hop.
* Option 4: Both source remote UE ID (local ID) and target remote UE ID (local ID) included in each hop.
* Option 5: A common ID for a pair between source UD and target remote UE included in each hop.

From signaling overhead point of view, option 1/2/5 are better than option 3/4. The merit of Option 3/4 is forward compatibility for supporting Multi-hop. Option 1/2 should be enhanced if multi-hop should be supported. If pair ID based Option5 is used for multi-hop, how to ensure unique for each hop should be enhanced. In WID, there is a ‘Note’ for U2U objective as follows.

***Note 1A: This work should take into account the forward compatibility for supporting more than one hop in a later release.***

**Q3-1: Which option(s) is preferred from your side?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Option(s)  (1,2,3,4,5) | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary:**

**…..**

**Proposal 20b: If local ID or an ID for the pair between source remote UD and target remote UE is agreed in P20a, RAN2 to discuss which node (relay UE or source remote UE) assign this ID.**

If one of option 2, option 4 and option 5 is selected, please indicate which node (relay UE or source remote UE) assign this ID.

**Q3-2: If local ID for each remote UE or common ID for the pair is agreed, which node (relay UE or source remote UE or …) is responsible for the ID assignment?**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Company | local ID or common ID | Source remote UE/relay UE/… | Comments |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

**Summary:**

**…..**

## 2.3 E2E PC5 link

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Tdoc Number | Proposals |
| [**R2-2302492**](file:///D:\OneDrive%20-%20Lenovo\3GPP\RAN2\TSGR2_121bis\Docs\R2-2302492.zip)  NEC | Proposal-6: The one-to-one relationship between the PC5 unicast link and the PC5-RRC connection is kept to support PC5 RRC connection between the source Remote UE and the target Remote UE.  Proposal-7: PC5-RRC connection state management is not needed for UE-to-UE Relay.  Proposal-8: End-to-end PC5 RRC connection establishment can be only established after the hop-by-hop PC5 RRC connections are established for UE-to-UE Relay. |
| [**R2-2302601**](file:///D:\OneDrive%20-%20Lenovo\3GPP\RAN2\TSGR2_121bis\Docs\R2-2302601.zip)  CATT | Proposal 9: RAN2 to discuss that whether a new explicit end-to-end RRC connection procedure between the source remote UE and the target remote UE is needed or not. |
| [**R2-2302701**](file:///D:\OneDrive%20-%20Lenovo\3GPP\RAN2\TSGR2_121bis\Docs\R2-2302701.zip)  Intel | Proposal 4. The first PC5 unicast link towards the U2U relay UE is initiated by the source remote UE while the second PC5 unicast link can be initiated by the relay UE in general or by the target remote UE while doing integrated discovery and connection establishment scenario.  Proposal 5. The end-to-end PC5 unicast link and thereby the PC5 RRC configuration is initiated by the TX UE or the source remote UE initiating the overall U2U relay communication. |
| [**R2-2302836**](file:///D:\OneDrive%20-%20Lenovo\3GPP\RAN2\TSGR2_121bis\Docs\R2-2302836.zip)  Ericsson | Proposal 9 RAN2 to consider Figure 1. as the baseline for L2 U2U relaying in PC5 end-to-end link establishment for cases (a) and (b). |
| [**R2-2303005**](file:///D:\OneDrive%20-%20Lenovo\3GPP\RAN2\TSGR2_121bis\Docs\R2-2303005.zip)  ZTE, Sanechips | Proposal 6: PC5 RLC channel(s) for E2E SL-SRBs is configured by per hop PC5 unicast link. |
| [**R2-2303340**](file:///D:\OneDrive%20-%20Lenovo\3GPP\RAN2\TSGR2_121bis\Docs\R2-2303340.zip)  Vivo | Proposal 6 There should be one-to-one correspondence between the PC5-RRC connection and the PC5 unicast link in L2 U2U relay communication.  Proposal 7 There should be three PC5-RRC connections in L2 U2U relay communication:   * Per-hop PC5-RRC connection between source remote UE and the U2U relay UE; * Per-hop PC5-RRC connection between target remote UE and the U2U relay UE; * End-to-end PC5-RRC connection between source remote UE and target remote UE.   Proposal 8 Hop-by-hop PC5-RRC connection is established/reused after corresponding hop-by-hop PC5 unicast link establishment/modification. Specified SCCH configuration is used for hop-by-hop SL-SRBs as in legacy.  Proposal 9 End-to-end PC5-RRC connection is considered to be established after corresponding end-to-end PC5 unicast link establishment. Specified SRAP configuration on top of the legacy specified SCCH configuration is used for end-to-end SL-SRBs. |
| [**R2-2303486**](file:///D:\OneDrive%20-%20Lenovo\3GPP\RAN2\TSGR2_121bis\Docs\R2-2303486.zip)  Huawei | Proposal 7: As same as in Rel-16 V2X, the E2E PC5-RRC connection can be considered as established once E2E PC5 unicast link is established in L2 U2U relay operation. |
| [**R2-2303572**](file:///D:\OneDrive%20-%20Lenovo\3GPP\RAN2\TSGR2_121bis\Docs\R2-2303572.zip)  Spreadtrum | Proposal 14: E2E PC5-RRC is supported via E2E upper layer connection establishment procedure. |
| [**R2-2303648**](file:///D:\OneDrive%20-%20Lenovo\3GPP\RAN2\TSGR2_121bis\Docs\R2-2303648.zip)  Kyocera | Proposal 7 RAN2 should consider whether SL reestablishment of the E2E PC5 link should be supported for U2U relay.  Proposal 8 RAN2 should consider introducing PC5-RRC state if SL re-establishment of the E2E PC5 link is supported for U2U relay. |

**Proposal 21b: If P21a can be agreed, a one-to-one correspondence between end-to-end PC5 RRC connection and end-to-end PC5 unicast link is supported as legacy.**

It was agreed that end-to-end PC5 RRC connection between source remote UE and target remote UE is supported. In legacy direct PC5 link (TS38.300), there is one-to-one correspondence between the PC5-RRC connection and the PC5 unicast link. Is there a one-to-one correspondence between the end-to-end PC5-RRC connection and the end-to-end PC5 unicast link?

**Q4-1: Do companies agree that a one-to-one correspondence between end-to-end PC5 RRC connection and end-to-end PC5 unicast link is supported as legacy?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary:**

**…..**

**Proposal 21c: RAN2 to discuss which one of the following options can be considered as ‘a PC5-RRC connection is established’.**

* **Option 1: E2E PC5 unicast link is established**
* **Option 2: Hop-by-hop PC5 RRC connections are established for UE-to-UE Relay.**

In legacy PC5 link, a PC5-RRC connection is considered to be established after a corresponding PC5 unicast link is established as specified in TS 23.287. Which option can be considered as ‘an end-to-end PC5 RRC connection is successfully established’?

**Q4-2: Which option is preferred from your side?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Option1/2/… | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary:**

**…..**

## 2.4 QoS split

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Tdoc Number | Proposals |
| [**R2-2302601**](file:///D:\OneDrive%20-%20Lenovo\3GPP\RAN2\TSGR2_121bis\Docs\R2-2302601.zip)  CATT | Proposal 10: The end-to-end PDB parameter needs to be splitted between two PC5 links.  Proposal 11：The source remote UE is in charge of splitting the end-to-end QoS to hop-by-hop QoS for U2U relay. |
| [**R2-2302643**](file:///D:\OneDrive%20-%20Lenovo\3GPP\RAN2\TSGR2_121bis\Docs\R2-2302643.zip)  OPPO | Proposal 13 As L3 U2U relay, rely on PC5-S procedure for the QoS split decision of L2 U2U relay. |
| [**R2-2302701**](file:///D:\OneDrive%20-%20Lenovo\3GPP\RAN2\TSGR2_121bis\Docs\R2-2302701.zip)  Intel | Proposal 6. Wait for SA2 progress before discussing end-to-end QoS handling for U2U relaying. |
| [**R2-2302836**](file:///D:\OneDrive%20-%20Lenovo\3GPP\RAN2\TSGR2_121bis\Docs\R2-2302836.zip)  Ericsson | Proposal 6 The U2U relay configures the QoS split for the end-to-end unicast link.  Proposal 7 SRC UE can provide the U2U relay with assistance info to assist in splitting the QoS. |
| [**R2-2302922**](file:///D:\OneDrive%20-%20Lenovo\3GPP\RAN2\TSGR2_121bis\Docs\R2-2302922.zip)  InterDigital | Proposal 5: The TX remote UE determines the QoS split and sends the second-hop portion to the relay UE.  Proposal 6: f the TX remote UE and/or the relay UE are in RRC\_CONNECTED, this UE informs its gNB of the portion of the QoS split over its hop. |
| [**R2-2302997**](file:///D:\OneDrive%20-%20Lenovo\3GPP\RAN2\TSGR2_121bis\Docs\R2-2302997.zip)  LG Electronics | Proposal 17: The following two options can be considered for the SL connection establishments for the U2U relay.  (Option 1) If the source remote UE performs the QoS split, the source remote UE needs to receive the 2nd-hop PC5 RSRP from the relay UE. In this case, the source remote UE can configure for the 1st-hop and the 2nd-hop sidelink.  (Option 2) If the relay performs the QoS split, the relay UE needs to receive the QoS-related information from the source remote UE. In this case, the relay UE can configure for the 1st-hop and the 2nd-hop sidelink.  Proposal 18: We prefer relay UE configures QoS split for simplicity.  Proposal 19: If relay UE performs QoS split and SL configuration for each remote UE, the configuration information has to be included the end-to-end bearer ID, RLC channel ID in a hop, and the mapping information between the end-to-end bearer and RLC channel ID in a hop. |
| [**R2-2303005**](file:///D:\OneDrive%20-%20Lenovo\3GPP\RAN2\TSGR2_121bis\Docs\R2-2303005.zip)  ZTE, Sanechips | Proposal 5: Each UE involved in U2U relay communication decides the PC5 QoS split of its next hop (i.e. the hop between the UE and the next UE). |
| [**R2-2303340**](file:///D:\OneDrive%20-%20Lenovo\3GPP\RAN2\TSGR2_121bis\Docs\R2-2303340.zip)  Vivo | Proposal 13 RAN2 to discuss which node is responsible for QoS split in L2 U2U relay:   * Option 1: by TX UE per hop (or TX UE’s serving gNB in case of RRC CONNECTED) * Option 2: by L2 U2U Relay UE (or Relay UE’s serving gNB in case of RRC CONNECTED)   Proposal 14 Using Hop-by-Hop PC5 RRC procedure in L2 U2U relay scenario to perform the E2E QoS splitting over the two hops. |
| [**R2-2303486**](file:///D:\OneDrive%20-%20Lenovo\3GPP\RAN2\TSGR2_121bis\Docs\R2-2303486.zip)  Huawei | Proposal 8: In L2 U2U relay operation, the E2E QoS requirement is to be divided into per-hop QoS requirement in AS layer via PC5-RRC messages.  Proposal 9: Following Rel-16 sidelink communication principle of Tx UE configuring Rx UE, in L2 U2U relay operation:  ‐ Tx end UE provides E2E SDAP/PDCP configuration to the Rx end UE, meanwhile provide first hop RLC bearer configuration to the relay UE,  ‐ The relay UE provides the second hop RLC bearer configuration to the Rx end UE,  ‐ The Rx end UE receives packets from second hop RLC bearer and passes the packets to the E2E PDCP entity associated with the E2E radio bearer.  Proposal 10: QoS split is performed per direction from Tx end UE to Rx end UE.  Proposal 11: The QoS split is performed by Tx end UE side, and as baseline the Tx end UE obtains the split QoS info in the following way:  ‐ If the Tx end UE is OoC, the QoS split is based on pre-config, otherwise the QoS split is based on network configuration.  ‐ If the network provides QoS split information in SIB12, the idle/inactive UE can use the info in SIB12 to perform QoS split, otherwise the idle/inactive UE should enter RRC connected state.  ‐ If the Tx end UE is in connected state, it reports QoS parameters to the network, and network can provide per-hop QoS parameters via Uu RRC message.  Proposal 12: The existing RSRP measurement report and CBR measurement report can be used to assist Tx end UE or its gNB on QoS split, FFS on other assistance information. |
| [**R2-2303545**](file:///D:\OneDrive%20-%20Lenovo\3GPP\RAN2\TSGR2_121bis\Docs\R2-2303545.zip)  CMCC | Proposal 8: The relay UE takes the role for splitting QoS parameters in R18 L2 U2U relay. The relay UE can get E2E QoS parameters from the Source remote UE.  Proposal 9: The relay UE can perform QoS split in OOC and IC RRC idle/inactive/connected state. It’s up to relay UE’s gNB implementation whether to perform QoS split when the relay UE is in RRC Connected state.  Proposal 10: QoS split is based on PC5-S messages in R18 U2U relay. |
| [**R2-2303572**](file:///D:\OneDrive%20-%20Lenovo\3GPP\RAN2\TSGR2_121bis\Docs\R2-2303572.zip)  Spreadtrum | Proposal 11: source End UE or source End UE’s serving gNB perform QoS split. |
| [**R2-2303608**](file:///D:\OneDrive%20-%20Lenovo\3GPP\RAN2\TSGR2_121bis\Docs\R2-2303608.zip)  China Telecom | Proposal 11 RAN2 to discuss how to design the PC5-RRC or PC5-S procedure for E2E QoS split.  Proposal 12 It’s more efficient for the relay UE to split the QoS profiles.  Proposal 13 The source remote UE can negotiate with the relay UE to decide the two hops QoS split. |
| [**R2-2303782**](file:///D:\OneDrive%20-%20Lenovo\3GPP\RAN2\TSGR2_121bis\Docs\R2-2303782.zip)  Xiaomi | Proposal 22: Rely on the UE itself to perform QoS split. FFS source remote UE or relay UE. |
| [**R2-2303990**](file:///D:\OneDrive%20-%20Lenovo\3GPP\RAN2\TSGR2_121bis\Docs\R2-2303990.zip)  Samsung | Proposal 1. L2 U2U Relay UE can take the role of E2E QoS parameter splitting into two parts: one part is the QoS parameters between Source Remote UE and Relay UE, the other part is the QoS parameters between Relay UE and Target Remote UE. |

**Summary:**

**……**

**Proposal 22a: RAN2 to discuss which layer (AS layer or upper layer e.g PC5-S) is responsible for QoS split.**

The above proposals are discussing how to perform QoS split in L2 U2U relay operation. In L2 U2N relaying, it is up to gNB implementation how to perform PDB split between two hops. In L3 U2U relaying, the split QoS is handled during the PC5-S L2 link establishment procedure according to TS23.304.

**Q5-1: Which layer (AS layer or upper layer e.g PC5-S) is responsible for QoS split?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | AS layer or Upper layer | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary:**

**…..**

**Proposal 22b: RAN2 to discuss which node is responsible for QoS split.**

* **Option 1: source remote UE**
* **Option 2: relay UE**

The second question is which node can perform QoS split. Based on the contributions, there is no consensus for the second question. The majority propose one of source remote UE and relay UE to perform QoS split.

**Q5-2: If AS layer is selected, which option is preferred from your side?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Option1/2/… | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary:**

**…..**

## 2.5 End-to-end security

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Tdoc Number | Proposals |
| [**R2-2302643**](file:///D:\OneDrive%20-%20Lenovo\3GPP\RAN2\TSGR2_121bis\Docs\R2-2302643.zip)  OPPO | Proposal 21 RAN2 to discuss using the bearer ID as input for the L2 U2U relay ciphering and deciphering at PDCP, and check with SA3 on the feasibility using LS. |
| [**R2-2303486**](file:///D:\OneDrive%20-%20Lenovo\3GPP\RAN2\TSGR2_121bis\Docs\R2-2303486.zip)  Huawei | Proposal 14: For L2 U2U relay, the E2E security between the two end UEs is supported via E2E PDCP in the same manner of Rel-16 V2X, further discuss how to ensure the aligned LCIDs are used by the two end UEs. |
| [**R2-2303935**](file:///D:\OneDrive%20-%20Lenovo\3GPP\RAN2\TSGR2_121bis\Docs\R2-2303935.zip)  ASUSTeK | Proposal 1 RB ID of each E2E sidelink radio bearer (DRB/SRB) is used as an input parameter to the ciphering/deciphering function for the E2E security between Source UE and Target UE in L2 UE-to-UE Relay. |

**Proposal 23:** **RAN2 to discuss using the end-to-end bearer ID as input for the L2 U2U relay ciphering and deciphering at PDCP, and LS is sent to SA3 for checking feasibility.**

How to perform end-to-end security is discussed in this part. In R16 NR sidelink communication, ciphering is performed based on LSB 5 bits of LCID. Namely, LCID is used as ‘input’ for ciphering and deciphering at PDCP. However, in L2 U2U relaying operation, PDCP entities are located at source remote UE and destination remote UE correspondingly. However, the LCID may be different between first hop and second hop. One company thinks if E2E LCID is used as an input parameter to SL ciphering/deciphering function in UE-to-UE relaying as legacy sidelink communication, there is a need to restrict 1:1 mapping between Remote UE SL Radio Bearers and PC5 RLC channels for relaying. Therefore, end-to-end bearer ID is proposed to be used as input.

**Q6: Do companies agree that end-to-end bearer ID is used as input for the L2 U2U relay ciphering and deciphering at PDCP? Whether LS is sent to SA3 for checking feasibility?**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | LS needed? | Comments |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

**Summary:**

**…..**
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