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# 1 Introduction

This document is to kick off the following email discussion:

* [AT121bis-e][230][MUSIM] UE capability restrictions (vivo)

       Scope: Discuss and attempt to converge on the set of UE capabilities allowed to change for MUSIM.

       Intended outcome: Discussion report in [R2-2304397](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_121bis-e/Docs/R2-2304397.zip).

       Deadline: Deadline 2

# 2 Contact Information

Rapporteur encourages the participating delegates to provide their contact information in this table.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Contact: Name (E-mail) |
| Xiaomi | Yumin Wu (wuyumin@xiaomi.com) |
| Qualcomm | Ozcan Ozturk (oozturk@qti.qualcomm.com) |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# 3 Discussions

## The maximum MIMO layers

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Contributions** | **Related proposals** |
| OPPO  [R2-2302551] | Proposal1: MIMO layers capability and bandwidth capability should at least be reported per direction (i.e. DL/UL) per FR for R18 MUSIM.  FFS: whether MIMO layers capability and bandwidth capability should be further reported per band/per band combination. |
| Intel  [R2-2302782] | Proposal#4: To indicate number of MIMO layers, it can be provided per UE per UL/DL per FR like in overheating and UE power saving. |
| Samsung  [R2-2302966] | Proposal 2: RAN2 to consider reusing existing procedure for providing preference on the maximum number of MIMO layers for power saving also for MUSIM purpose. This can be trigerred when there is a change of UE-A’s maximum number of MIMO layers preference due to MUSIM dual-active operation. |
| vivo  [R2-2303268] | 1. The UE is allowed to report the following capabilities to the NW A for dual active MUSIM operation:   - the constrained Band/Band combinations;  - the maximum DL/UL MIMO layer (per FSPC);  - the SRS switching capability (per band per BC);  - the measurement gap requirement (per serving cells and per non-serving frequency band);  - the maximum DL/UL bandwidth (per band per BC). |
| Apple  [R2-2303410] | Proposal 1: MUSIM UE should have the preference to dynamically request (e.g via UAI) its preferred number of CC and/or MIMO layers. |
| Huawei  [R2-2303470] | Proposal 4: In addition to release/recovery of Scells/SCG, at least the UE’s capability on maximum UL/DL MIMO layers and measurement gap can be reported to the network due to the temporary UE capability restriction.  Proposal 6: Separate information are introduced in UAI message for updating different UE capabilities:   * Serving index for SCell is reported for indicating release of Scell * A single bit is introduced for indicating release of SCG * The maximum UL/DL MIMO layers is reported per serving cell * The current *needForGapsInfoNR* is reused for updating measurement gap capability |
| MediaTek  [R2-2303623] | Proposal 1: The UE can indicate the following capability restriction for MUSIM purpose (via RRC signalling)   * Maximum number of MCG CC and SCG CC * Maximum number of MIMO layer |
| CT  [R2-2303624] | Proposal1：The following capabilities restriction should be considered for for dual-active MUSIM:   * Uplink/ downlink MIMO layers per frequency carriers. * DC/CA band combination. * Temperory maximum uplink power. * SRS switching capability. |
| Ericsson  [R2-2303640] | 1. The UE restricts the maximum UL/DL MIMO layer capability and the maximum number of CC to have enough hardware resources and processing power to handle two simultaneous connections |
| ZTE  [R2-2303779] | Proposal 1: For the temporary capability restriction, keep the same granularity as in the UE capability message, e.g. the Transmission and reception capabilities (e.g. MIMO layers) and the Supported bandwidth can be reported (per cc) per BC, while the measurement capabilities can be reported per UE. |
| Qualcomm  [R2-2302721] | Proposal 3: The UE can request changes to at least the following UE capabilities:   * + MIMO layers (DL/UL, FR1/FR2)   + Measurement gaps   + Supported bandwidth   + Supported bands or band-combinations |

Based on the above proposals from companies, many companies think the maximum MIMO layer maybe changed due to Rel-18 MUSIM dual active operation, and the change can be indicated to the NW A. So, the companies are requested to answer the below question.

**Q1: For Rel-18 MUSIM dual active operation, do you agree that the maximum MIMO layer maybe changed and the change can be indicated to the NW A?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
| Xiaomi | Yes |  |
| Qualcomm | Yes |  |
|  |  |  |

There are different opinions on the granularity among companies, i.e., per direction (DL/UL), per FR, per UE, per FSPC, per serving cell, etc.

Per direction (DL/UL) and per FR:

The reason for adopting per direction (DL/UL) and per FR provided by the companies is to follow the current UE assistant information reporting for power saving and overheating cases. The rapporteur would like to clarify that in the current UAI, the UE can only indicate the same preferred value of the number of maximum MIMO layers for each serving cell.

|  |
| --- |
| 5.7.4.3 Actions related to transmission of *UEAssistanceInformation* message <Omit>  1> if transmission of the *UEAssistanceInformation* message is initiated to provide *maxMIMO-LayerPreference* of a cell group for power saving according to 5.7.4.2 or 5.3.5.3:  2> include *maxMIMO-LayerPreference* in the *UEAssistanceInformation* message;  2> if the UE has a preference on the maximum number of MIMO layers for the cell group:  3> if the UE prefers to reduce the number of maximum MIMO layers of each serving cell operating on FR1:  4> include *reducedMaxMIMO-LayersFR1* in the *MaxMIMO-LayerPreference* IE;  4> set *reducedMIMO-LayersFR1-DL* to the preferred maximum number of downlink MIMO layers of each BWP of each FR1 serving cell that the UE operates on in the cell group;  4> set *reducedMIMO-LayersFR1-UL* to the preferred maximum number of uplink MIMO layers of each FR1 serving cell that the UE operates on in the cell group;  3> if the UE prefers to reduce the number of maximum MIMO layers of each serving cell operating on FR2-1:  4> include *reducedMaxMIMO-LayersFR2* in the *MaxMIMO-LayerPreference* IE;  4> set *reducedMIMO-LayersFR2-DL* to the preferred maximum number of downlink MIMO layers of each BWP of each FR2-1 serving cell that the UE operates on in the cell group;  4> set *reducedMIMO-LayersFR2-UL* to the preferred maximum number of uplink MIMO layers of each FR2-1 serving cell that the UE operates on in the cell group;  2> else (if the UE has no preference on the maximum number of MIMO layers for the cell group):  3> do not include *reducedMaxMIMO-LayersFR1* and *reducedMaxMIMO-LayersFR2* in the *MaxMIMO-LayerPreference* IE;  <Omit> |

Per FSPC:

Some of the others suggest to use the same granularity as in the UE capability message, i.e., per FSPC (per cc per band per BC). This can provide full flexibility for the UE.

| ***maxNumberMIMO-LayersPDSCH***  Defines the maximum number of spatial multiplexing layer(s) supported by the UE for DL reception. For single CC standalone NR, it is mandatory with capability signaling to support at least 4 MIMO layers in the bands where 4Rx is specified as mandatory for the given UE and at least 2 MIMO layers in FR2. If absent, the UE does not support MIMO on this carrier. | FSPC | CY | N/A | N/A |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ***maxNumberMIMO-LayersCB-PUSCH***  Defines supported maximum number of MIMO layers at the UE for PUSCH transmission with codebook precoding. UE indicating support of this feature shall also indicate support of PUSCH codebook coherency subset. | FSPC | No | N/A | N/A |
| ***maxNumberMIMO-LayersNonCB-PUSCH***  Defines supported maximum number of MIMO layers at the UE for PUSCH transmission using non-codebook precoding.  UE supporting non-codebook based PUSCH transmission shall indicate support of maxNumberMIMO-LayersNonCB-PUSCH, maxNumberSRS-ResourcePerSet and maxNumberSimultaneousSRS-ResourceTx together. | FSPC | No | N/A | N/A |

Per serving cell:

Some companies suggest the UE only indicates the maximum MIMO layers for each/specific serving cells. This has less signalling overhead and can provide some flexibility to the UE as the UE can indicate different MIMO layer capability for different serving cells.

Based on the above information, the companies are asked to provide your answer for the below question.

**Q2: For Rel-18 MUSIM dual active operation, what granularity do you think should be used by the UE to report its maximum MIMO layers to the NW A?**

* **Option 1: per direction (DL/UL) and per FR, with the same maximum MIMO layer for each serving cell**
* **Option 2: per FSPC**
* **Option 3: per serving cell**
* **Option 4: others, please provide.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Option(s)** | **Comments** |
| Xiaomi | Option 2 or Option 3 | Since the current UE capability signaling of MIMO layer is already FSPC, the MIMO layer of a CC not shared by another SIM-B can still be unchanged. |
| Qualcomm | Option 2 | The same granularity as the actual UE capability is the best, given that this was chosen as the granularity when the feature was introduced and the UE can have different capabilities across different PDSCH and PUSCH as listed in the above quoted 38.306 text. Also note that using the same granularity is better for forward compatibility when more MIMO enhancements are introduced. |
|  |  |  |

## Measurement gap capabilities

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Contributions** | **Related proposals** |
| OPPO  [R2-2302551] | Proposal2: Gap requirements are reported per band/per serving cell for R18 MUSIM. |
| Intel  [R2-2302782] | Proposal#3: RAN2 to study extending the existing gap capability request to Rel-18 MUSIM using the UAI. |
| Samsung  [R2-2302966] | Proposal 3: RAN2 to consider measurement capabilities including gaps requirements for the supported NR bands as per configured *requestedTargetBandFilterNR*, when a change in gap requirements is determined due to MUSIM dual-active operation, as candidate MUSIM temporary capability restrictions in UAI. |
| vivo  [R2-2303268] | 1. The UE is allowed to report the following capabilities to the NW A for dual active MUSIM operation:   - the constrained Band/Band combinations;  - the maximum DL/UL MIMO layer (per FSPC);  - the SRS switching capability (per band per BC);  - the measurement gap requirement (per serving cells and per non-serving frequency band);  - the maximum DL/UL bandwidth (per band per BC). |
| Huawei  [R2-2303470] | Proposal 4: In addition to release/recovery of SCells/SCG, at least the UE’s capability on maximum UL/DL MIMO layers and measurement gap can be reported to the network due to the temporary UE capability restriction.  Proposal 6: Separate information are introduced in UAI message for updating different UE capabilities:   * Serving index for SCell is reported for indicating release of Scell * A single bit is introduced for indicating release of SCG * The maximum UL/DL MIMO layers is reported per serving cell * The current *needForGapsInfoNR* is reused for updating measurement gap capability |
| Ericsson  [R2-2303640] | [Proposal 3 The UE indicates that support of *independentGapConfig* is restricted in UAI message.](#_Toc131700416)  [Proposal 4 Rel-18 MUSIM UE uses existing NeedForGap feature to indicate changes in need for gap caused by MUSIM operation.](#_Toc131700417)  [Proposal 5 An indication in UAI message (e.g. same as proposed in Proposal 3 above) indicates a change in UE’s needForGaps, and Nw can trigger a reconfiguration procedure to allow the UE to indicate its new needForGaps.](#_Toc131700418) |
| Qualcomm  [R2-2302721] | Proposal 3: The UE can request changes to at least the following UE capabilities:   * + MIMO layers (DL/UL, FR1/FR2)   + Measurement gaps   + Supported bandwidth   + Supported bands or band-combinations |

Based on the above proposals from the contributions, several companies think that the measurement gap requirement maybe changed due to Rel-18 MUSIM dual active operation, and this change shoud be indicated to the NW A to enable the NW A updates measurement gap configuration properly. The reason is, after capability switching, the UE may perform some intra/inter frequency measurement from non-gap-assisted to gap-assisted. So, the companies are requested to provide your answer for the below question.

**Q3: For Rel-18 MUSIM dual active operation, do you agree that the measurement gap requirement maybe changed and the change can be indicated to the NW A?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
| Xiaomi | Yes |  |
| Qualcomm | Yes |  |
|  |  |  |

Many companies propose to reuse or consider the current needForGapsInfoNR for updating the measurement gap requirement. The rapporteur would like to clarify that in needForGapsInfoNR feature, the UE reports measurement gap requirement for each serving cells and target NR bands or all its supported NR bands, which means the measurement gap requirment reporting is based on UE’s current configuration.

|  |
| --- |
| 5.3.5.3 Reception of an *RRCReconfiguration* by the UE The UE shall perform the following actions upon reception of the *RRCReconfiguration,* or upon execution of the conditional reconfiguration (CHO, CPA or CPC):  <Omit>  2> if the *RRCReconfiguration* message was received via SRB1, but not within *mrdc-SecondaryCellGroup* or E-UTRA *RRCConnectionReconfiguration* or E-UTRA *RRCConnectionResume*:  3> if the UE is configured to provide the measurement gap requirement information of NR target bands:  4> if the *RRCReconfiguration* message includes the *needForGapsConfigNR*; or  4> if the *NeedForGapsInfoNR* information is changed compared to last time the UE reported this information:  5> include the *NeedForGapsInfoNR* and set the contents as follows:  6> include *intraFreq-needForGap* and set the gap requirement information of intra-frequency measurement for each NR serving cell;  6> if *requestedTargetBandFilterNR* is configured:  7> for each supported NR band that is also included in *requestedTargetBandFilterNR*, include an entry in *interFreq-needForGap* and set the gap requirement information for that band;  6> else:  7> include an entry in *interFreq-needForGap* and set the corresponding gap requirement information for each supported NR band;  <Omit> |

Based on the above information, the companies are requested to provide your view on the below question.

**Q4: If the ANS to Q3 is YES, do you agree the measurement gap requirement is reported for each serving cells, and for target bands or all supported NR bands depending on whether target bands are configured by the NW A?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
| Xiaomi |  | No strong preference, but fine to reuse the current needForGapsInfoNR. |
| Qualcomm | Yes but | We can re-use the same granularity as *NeedForGapsInfoNR.* However, we should stick to our agreement to use UAI and introduce a similar IE in UAI. |
|  |  |  |

## SRS Switching capability

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Contributions** | **Related proposals** |
| Xiaomi  [R2-2303350] | Proposal: The UE should be able to indicate its temporary *srs-TxSwitch* capability. |
| vivo  [R2-2303268] | 1. The UE is allowed to report the following capabilities to the NW A for dual active MUSIM operation:   - the constrained Band/Band combinations;  - the maximum DL/UL MIMO layer (per FSPC);  - the SRS switching capability (per band per BC);  - the measurement gap requirement (per serving cells and per non-serving frequency band);  - the maximum DL/UL bandwidth (per band per BC). |
| CT  [R2-2303624] | Proposal1：The following capabilities restriction should be considered for dual-active MUSIM:   * Uplink/ downlink MIMO layers per frequency carriers. * DC/CA band combination. * Temperory maximum uplink power. * SRS switching capability. |

There are several companies mentioned that SRS switching capability maybe changed due to Rel-18 MUSIM dual active operation. SRS antenna switching is used to acquire DL CSI. Due to MUSIM dual active transmission, the UE may not be able to send the SRS in network A as configured if the related RFs are switched from the network A to network B, then the DL performance will decrease. To solve this issue, the UE needs to report its SRS switching capability change due to Rel-18 MUSIM operation. So, the companies are invited to provide your answer to the below question.

**Q5: For Rel-18 MUSIM dual active operation, do you agree that SRS switching capability maybe changed and the change can be indicated to the NW A?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
| Xiaomi | Yes | Proponent |
| Qualcomm | Yes |  |
|  |  |  |

Currently, SRS switching capability srs-TxSwitch is reported per band per BC. And for power saving purpose, a downgraded SRS switching capabilities supported srs-TxSwitch-v1610 was introduced in the UE capability message [R2-2002260], and the granularity is also per band per band combination.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ***srs-TxSwitch, srs-TxSwitch-v1610***  Defines whether UE supports SRS for DL CSI acquisition as defined in clause 6.2.1.2 of TS 38.214 [12]. The capability signalling comprises of the following parameters:  - *supportedSRS-TxPortSwitch* indicates SRS Tx port switching pattern supported by the UE, which is mandatory with capability signalling. The indicated UE antenna switching capability of ′xTyR′ corresponds to a UE, capable of SRS transmission on ′x′ antenna ports over total of ′y′ antennas, where ′y′ corresponds to all or subset of UE receive antennas, where 2T4R is two pairs of antennas. *supportedSRS-TxPortSwitch-v1610*, which is optional to report, indicates downgrading configuration of SRS Tx port switching pattern. If the UE indicates the support of downgrading configuration of SRS Tx port switching pattern using *supportedSRS-TxPortSwitch-v1610*, the UE shall report the values for this as below, based on what is reported in *supportedSRS-TxPortSwitch*.   |  |  | | --- | --- | | *supportedSRS-TxPortSwitch* | *supportedSRS-TxPortSwitch-v1610* | | *t1r2* | *t1r1-t1r2* | | *t1r4* | *t1r1-t1r2-t1r4* | | *t2r4* | *t1r1-t1r2-t2r2-t2r4* | | *t2r2* | *t1r1-t2r2* | | *t4r4* | *t1r1-t2r2-t4r4* | | *t1r4-t2r4* | *t1r1-t1r2-t2r2-t1r4-t2r4* |   - *txSwitchImpactToRx* indicates the entry number of the first-listed band with UL (see NOTE) in the band combination that affects this DL, which is mandatory with capability signalling;  - *txSwitchWithAnotherBand* indicates the entry number of the first-listed band with UL (see NOTE) in the band combination that switches together with this UL, which is mandatory with capability signalling.  For *txSwitchImpactToRx* and *txSwitchWithAnotherBand*, value 1 means first entry, value 2 means second entry and so on. All DL and UL that switch together indicate the same entry number.  The entry number is the band entry number in a band combination. The UE is restricted not to include fallback band combinations for the purpose of indicating different SRS antenna switching capabilities.  NOTE: The first-listed band with UL includes a band associated with *FeatureSetUplinkId* set to 0 corresponding to the support of SRS-SwitchingTimeNR. | BC | FD | N/A | N/A |

*BandCombinationList* information element

-- ASN1START

-- TAG-BANDCOMBINATIONLIST-START

<Omit>

BandCombination-v1540::= SEQUENCE {

bandList-v1540 SEQUENCE (SIZE (1..maxSimultaneousBands)) OF BandParameters-v1540,

ca-ParametersNR-v1540 CA-ParametersNR-v1540 OPTIONAL

}

<Omit>

BandCombination-v1610 ::= SEQUENCE {

bandList-v1610 SEQUENCE (SIZE (1..maxSimultaneousBands)) OF BandParameters-v1610 OPTIONAL,

ca-ParametersNR-v1610 CA-ParametersNR-v1610 OPTIONAL,

ca-ParametersNRDC-v1610 CA-ParametersNRDC-v1610 OPTIONAL,

powerClass-v1610 ENUMERATED {pc1dot5} OPTIONAL,

powerClassNRPart-r16 ENUMERATED {pc1, pc2, pc3, pc5} OPTIONAL,

featureSetCombinationDAPS-r16 FeatureSetCombinationId OPTIONAL,

mrdc-Parameters-v1620 MRDC-Parameters-v1620 OPTIONAL

}

<Omit>

BandParameters-v1540 ::= SEQUENCE {

srs-CarrierSwitch CHOICE {

nr SEQUENCE {

srs-SwitchingTimesListNR SEQUENCE (SIZE (1..maxSimultaneousBands)) OF SRS-SwitchingTimeNR

},

eutra SEQUENCE {

srs-SwitchingTimesListEUTRA SEQUENCE (SIZE (1..maxSimultaneousBands)) OF SRS-SwitchingTimeEUTRA

}

} OPTIONAL,

srs-TxSwitch SEQUENCE {

supportedSRS-TxPortSwitch ENUMERATED {t1r2, t1r4, t2r4, t1r4-t2r4, t1r1, t2r2, t4r4, notSupported},

txSwitchImpactToRx INTEGER (1..32) OPTIONAL,

txSwitchWithAnotherBand INTEGER (1..32) OPTIONAL

} OPTIONAL

}

BandParameters-v1610 ::= SEQUENCE {

srs-TxSwitch-v1610 SEQUENCE {

supportedSRS-TxPortSwitch-v1610 ENUMERATED {t1r1-t1r2, t1r1-t1r2-t1r4, t1r1-t1r2-t2r2-t2r4, t1r1-t1r2-t2r2-t1r4-t2r4,

t1r1-t2r2, t1r1-t2r2-t4r4}

} OPTIONAL

}

<Omit>

-- TAG-BANDCOMBINATIONLIST-STOP

-- ASN1STOP

For MUSIM case, we think the same granularity can be applied. So, the companies are invited to answer the below question.

**Q6: For Rel-18 MUSIM dual active operation, do you agree that SRS switching capability can be reported per band per BC?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
| Xiaomi | Yes | Reusing the current capability signaling structure would be simpler for UE implementation. |
| Qualcomm | Yes | Same granularity is the most flexible and future compatible option. |
|  |  |  |

## DC/CA capabilities

According to the companies’ contributions, there are several options:

- **Option 1:** the UE indicates the maximum CC number to the network A, and it’s up to the network A to decide whether to release or deactivate some SCell/SCG. This could be per direction (DL/UL), or per FR, or per CG. This option cannot solve band conflict, so if we support option 1, we also need to have extra options.

- **Option 2:** the UE indicates its constrained/affected bands to let the network A to deduce the UE temporarily supported Band/BCs. To reduce the signalling overhead, the network A may configure a band filter list or constraint info to restrict the content to be reported by the UE. The bands included in the band filter list can be serving bands or non-serving frequency bands.

- **Option 3:** the UE requests the specific CCs to be released for MUSIM purpose.

- **Option 4:** the UE requests the specific CCs to be deactivated for MUSIM purpose. This can reduce the signalling overhead caused by SCell/SCG release.

The rapporteur requests the companies to provide your answer on the below question.

**Q7: For Rel-18 MUSIM dual active operation, which of the above solution(s) do you prefer to implicitly or explicitly indicate DC/CA capabilities?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Options** | **Comments** |
| Xiaomi | Option 3 | We think Option 3 is more aligned with the RAN2 agreement made in RAN2#121 meeting, as quoted below:   * A6: For dual-active MUSIM, UE signaling will support the request for release (and reversal) of SCells and SCG. |
| Qualcomm | Option 3 | The conflict with NW B can happen only for certain SCells. Therefore, releasing (or deactivating if agreed) only these SCells is more efficient for both the UE and the NW. |
|  |  |  |

## Bandwidth

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Contributions** | **Related proposals** |
| Intel  [R2-2302782] | Proposal#2: To indicate the band combination and bandwidth restriction due to Rel-18 MUSIM, the UE capability restriction information explicitly indicates the frequency band indicator and its corresponding centre frequency and frequency range that are no longer possible/available in NW A due to connectivity in NW B. FFS whether to reuse the Rel-18 IDC request. |
| OPPO  [R2-2302551] | Proposal1: MIMO layers capability and bandwidth capability should at least be reported per direction (i.e. DL/UL) per FR for R18 MUSIM.  FFS: whether MIMO layers capability and bandwidth capability should be further reported per band/per band combination. |
| vivo  [R2-2303268] | 1. The UE is allowed to report the following capabilities to the NW A for dual active MUSIM operation:   - the constrained Band/Band combinations;  - the maximum DL/UL MIMO layer (per FSPC);  - the SRS switching capability (per band per BC);  - the measurement gap requirement (per serving cells and per non-serving frequency band);  - the maximum DL/UL bandwidth (per band per BC). |
| ZTE  [R2-2303779] | Proposal 1: For the temporary capability restriction, keep the same granularity as in the UE capability message, e.g. the Transmission and reception capabilities (e.g. MIMO layers) and the Supported bandwidth can be reported (per cc) per BC, while the measurement capabilities can be reported per UE. |
| Qualcomm  [R2-2302721] | Proposal 3: The UE can request changes to at least the following UE capabilities:   * + MIMO layers (DL/UL, FR1/FR2)   + Measurement gaps   + Supported bandwidth   + Supported bands or band-combinations |

There are several companies mentioned that the bandwidth can be indicated to the NW A. Thus, the rapporteur requests the companies to provide your view on the below question.

**Q8: For Rel-18 MUSIM band conflict issue, do you agree that the maximum bandwidth can be changed and the change can be indicated to the NW A?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
| Xiaomi | Yes |  |
| Qualcomm | Yes | For band-conflict, the whole band may not be usable. Thus, we should indicate either zero maximum BW or use a different signaling, e.g. change the supported BC. |
|  |  |  |

| ***ca-BandwidthClassDL-NR***  Defines for DL, the class defined by the aggregated transmission bandwidth configuration and maximum number of component carriers supported by the UE, as specified in TS 38.101-1 [2] and TS 38.101-2 [3]. When all FeatureSetDownlinkId:s in the corresponding FeatureSetsPerBand are zero, this field is absent. For FR1, the value 'F' shall not be used as it is invalidated in TS 38.101-1 [2]. | Band | No | N/A | N/A |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ***ca-BandwidthClassUL-NR***  Defines for UL, the class defined by the aggregated transmission bandwidth configuration and maximum number of component carriers supported by the UE, as specified in TS 38.101-1 [2] and TS 38.101-2 [3]. When all FeatureSetUplinkId:s in the corresponding FeatureSetsPerBand are zero, this field is absent. For FR1, the value 'F' shall not be used as it is invalidated in TS 38.101-1 [2]. | Band | No | N/A | N/A |

For the granularity, currently, bandwidth class is reported per band for DL/UL, as shown above. And bandwidth class can indicate the maximum aggregated CC and the maximum bandwidth for each CC in this band, as specified in TS 38.101. So, from the rapporteur’s understanding, the UE can indicate its bandwidth class per band to the NW A. Thus, the companies are requested to provide your view on the below question.

**Q9: If the ANS to Q9 is YES, which granularity do you think should be used to indicate the maximum bandwidth change to the NW A for Rel-18 MUSIM dual active operation?**

**- Option 1: per band**

**- Option 2: others, please provide**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Options** | **Comments** |
| Xiaomi | Option 1 |  |
| Qualcomm | Option 1 |  |
|  |  |  |

## UL power

For uplink maximum power, some companies think the UE needs to indicate its dynamic power class or power change to the network A. And some of the others think UE’s maximum power backoff can be done via UE implementation or PHR. Currently, only FR2 P-MPR can be included in PHR. For FR2 case, the dynamic power backoff can be reported via PHR. In FR1 case, the maximum power backoff due to MUSIM dual active transmissions is 3dB, and this can be covered by the current RAN4 requirement. There could large power backoff in FR1 due to interference, from our understanding, the UE should trigger the solution for solving band conflict, instead of performing power backoff. Thus, the rapporteur requests the companies to provide your view on the below question.

**Q10: Do you agree that the maximum UL power may be changed due to Rel-18 MUSIM dual active operation, but there is no need to report UE maximum power to the NW A?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
| Xiaomi |  | No strong view. We think that the UE maximum Tx power available for NW A can be changed, and the UE is able to use power backoff and report it via PHR. However, it is unclear to us whether the gNB can use the PHR properly, since the values reported in PHR is still calculated based on the Pcmax. |
| Qualcomm | Yes | For uplink scheduling, PHR is sufficient information for the gNB. |
|  |  |  |

## Other

There are some proposals that are only proposed by one company is not included in this email discussion. If the companies have strong concerns on these proposals and if there is any other impacted capabilities are not covered by the content of this email discussion, please provide the comments in the below tables.

**Q11: Do you think there are other capabilities that maybe impacted due to Rl-18 MUSIM dual active purpose and not covered by the above questions?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Xiaomi | We slightly prefer to have a more generic solution to allow the UE to change any of it’s reported capability without specifying each potential affected capability bit in the *UEAssistanceInformation* message, as we may introduce more capability bits in Rel-18 and the future release which could also be impacted by MUSIM operation. However, we can also follow the majority view, if companies considers that reusing the framework as the overheating indication is preferable. |
| Qualcomm | Agree with Xiaomi that a generic solution would have been. For the band-conflict case, we should be able to indicate that a specific band is not usable. |
|  |  |
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