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# Introduction

This email discussion #113 provides topics for discussion on UE capabilities for Rel-17 NR NTN considering the related proposals submitted in TDocs [1]-[4]. The detailed information for this email discussion is as below:

* [AT121bis-e][113][NR NTN] CP corrections 2 (Intel)

Initial scope: Discuss corrections in 6.6.3 on “capability”

Initial intended outcome: Summary of the offline discussion with list of agreeable corrections/CRs

Deadline for companies' feedback: Friday 2023-04-21 08:00 UTC

Deadline for rapporteur's summary (in R2-2304253): Friday 2023-04-21 10:00 UTC

Proposals marked "for agreement" in R2-2304253 not challenged until Monday 2023-04-24 10:00 UTC will be declared as agreed via email by the session chair (for the rest the discussion might continue online).

# Discussion

## Correction on NR NTN UE capabilities [1]

In [1], the following two changes are proposed for TS 38.306 as below:

***First change:***

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ***Reason for change*** | 1. *condEventA4* for CHOis introduced by R17 NR NTN WI, and *condEventA4* for CPAC is introduced by R17 DCCA WI. In RAN2#120, the R17 DCCA correction CR R2-2213372 was agreed, i.e., to clarify in the field description of *condExecutionCond* that “For CHO in terrestrial networks, the network does not indicate a MeasId associated with condEventA4. For CPA and for MN-initiated inter-SN CPC, the network only indicates MeasId(s) associated with condEventA4”. The reason of change is “Conditional event A4 was added in Rel-17 and seems applicable to Rel-16 features like CHO and intra-SN CPC, or for Rel-17 inter-SN CPC, while RAN2 agreed to only use conditional event A4 for CPA and MN-initated CPC” in DCCA WI.In current field description of UE capability *eventA4BasedCondHandover-r17*, it seems that supporting *condEventA4* in a TN band is not prohibited for an NTN-capable UE, so *condEventA4* for CHO may still be configured in TN cell for an NTN-capable UE supporting *condEventA4* in a TN band. But this is not the intention. To align with the latest restriction made in RRC spec, some clarification in field description of *eventA4BasedCondHandover-r17* is needed, i.e., this field is only for NR NTN, in this way supporting *condEventA4* in a TN band will be prohibited.  |
| ***Summary of change*** | 1. Clarify that *eventA4BasedCondHandover-r17* indicates whether the UE supports Event A4 based conditional handover in NTN bands.
 |
| ***Proposed change*** |  |

1. Whether the first proposed change in [1] can be agreed?

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company’s name** | **Y or N** | **Comments, if any** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

***Second change:***

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ***Reason for change*** | 2. Location-based and time-based measurement initiation in R17 are only applicable to NTN quasi-Earth fixed system. This behaviour is aligned to where its key parameters (i.e., referenceLocation and t-Service) are currently defined, i.e., they are only broadcast in NTN quasi-Earth fixed system information. The corresponding restrictions should also be added in UE capability descriptions.  |
| ***Summary of change*** | 1. Add “in NTN quasi-Earth fixed system” in the description of Location-based measurement initiation feature and Time-based measurement initiation feature, and make one editorial change accordingly.
 |
| ***Proposed change*** |  |

1. Whether the second proposed change in [1] can be agreed?

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company’s name** | **Y or N** | **Comments, if any** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Clarification on TN EUTRA capability reporting [3]

In [3], the following change is proposed for TS 38.331 as below:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ***Reason for change*** | For EUTRA, following is specified in TS 36.331.*If the UE is NTN capable, the UE reports its E-UTRAN radio access capabilities for the network type (TN or NTN) to which it is connected.*If UE is connected to NR 5GS NTN and network requests UE to send EUTRA capability, the reported UE capability for EUTRA should belong to NTN (i.e. NTN CE mode capabilities) if NTN specific parameters are included (i.e. the UE actually supports NTN operation in CE mode)If the UE is connected to NR 5GS TN, the reported UE capability for EUTRA belongs to TN.This is not clear in the TS 38.331 specification as UE may also support NR NTN and IoT NTN in CE mode. |
| ***Summary of change*** | Correction is added such that when UE is connected to NR NTN and gNB requests EUTRA capability, then the UE supporting EUTRA NTN will report EUTRA radio access capabilities for NTN to which it is connected. |
| ***Proposed change*** |  |

1. Whether the proposed change in [3] can be agreed?

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company’s name** | **Y or N** | **Comments, if any** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Handling of features with different UE capability support in TN and NTN

This section provides few discussion points considering documents [2][4]. The following was agreed during RAN2#121 on expected UE behaviour when a configured feature has different UE support between NTN and TN:

* *RAN2 confirms that* ***UE should only use/apply configurations of a given feature when UE supports the feature*** *in the corresponding cell in which UE is camping, connecting, or resuming to*

From previous discussion, there was slightly majority preference to only clarify operation of eDRX and not SDT. However this meeting, both documents [2][4] further explains why SDT is also a concern scenario to be updated in current specification when handling UE capability support of SDT is different between in TN and NTN.

When UE receives a configuration to be used in RRC\_INACTIVE and that UE complies with it in current cell, current specification captures that this UE in RRC\_INACTIVE continue to use it in other cells. Focusing on eDRX and SDT configurations:

* For SDT, when a UE is configured with SDT and all other conditions are met (i.e., cell supports SDT, upper layers trigger resume, UL data is available in a RB configured for SDT and lower layer conditions are fulfilled), TS 38.331 defines that the UE shall initiate resume proc. for SDT (as shown below). There is no mention of UE support for SDT:

**5.3.13.1b Conditions for initiating SDT**

A UE in RRC\_INACTIVE initiates the resume procedure for SDT when all of the following conditions are fulfilled:

1> the upper layers request resumption of RRC connection; and

1> *SIB1* includes *sdt-ConfigCommon*; and

1> *sdt-Config* is configured; and

1> all the pending data in UL is mapped to the radio bearers configured for SDT; and

1> lower layers indicate that conditions for initiating SDT as specified in TS 38.321 [3] are fulfilled.

NOTE: How the UE determines that all pending data in UL is mapped to radio bearers configured for SDT is left to UE implementation.

* For INACTIVE eDRX, when UE is configured with INACTIVE eDRX and moves to a cell in which the UE does not support this eDRX, according to the spec, the UE currently continues operating with this eDRX (i.e. UE continues monitoring paging based on INACTIVE eDRX, assuming that the cell allows the usage of it).

In summary, when UE is configured with SDT/eDRX and the conditions for SDT/eDRX are met, UE is expected to initiate SDT or operate in eDRX. There is no check in the current specification on whether UE supports SDT/eDRX in current cell. When the UE that does not support a feature in both TN and NTN moves between TN and NTN cells it cannot comply with the current configuration. The following sections discuss the key points to agree considering the proposed solutions in [2][4].

### UE behaviour

1. When a UE in RRC\_INACTIVE reselect to a cell in which cannot comply with one of its configured features (i.e. eDRX or SDT), what is the preferred UE behaviour?
	1. UE autonomously release the configuration that does not comply with its supported capability in the new reselected cell [4].
	2. UE ignores the corresponding configuration (i.e., is considered as not configured) in current cell [2] but does not release the configuration. The configuration is released by the network when the UE initiates an SDT/Connection with the network.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company’s name** | **Option** | **Comments, if any** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

### Cell reselection scenario

A UE could have different capability support of eDRX and RRC\_INACTIVE in TN and NTN. For example, UE can support SDT in NTN but not in TN or vice versa. Rapporteur’s understanding is that the concern scenario is equally applicable regardless of whether cell reselection is performed from NTN to TN or vice versa. This seems to be a point to confirm/check considering the proposed solutions [2][4].

1. Which cell reselection scenario should be addressed when clarifying the expected UE in RRC\_INACTIVE reselect to a cell in which cannot comply with one of its configured features (i.e. eDRX or SDT)??
	1. Re-selection from NTN to TN only [4].
	2. Any cell reselection between NTN and TN [2].

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company’s name** | **Option** | **Comments, if any** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

### Preferred approach of TP

1. Which approach of TP is preferable to address current issue (note the actual TP itself will be based on the agreements to the previous questions)?
2. Actions upon reception of SIB19 are updated [4].

**5.2.2.4.21 Actions upon reception of *SIB19***

Upon receiving *SIB19*, the UE ~~in RRC\_CONNECTED~~ shall:

1> if in RRC\_CONNECTED

2> start or restart T430 for serving cell with the timer value set to *ntn-UlSyncValidityDuration* for the serving cell from the subframe indicated by *epochTime* for the serving cell;

1> if in RRC\_INACTIVE

2> if *ra-SDT-NTN* or *srb-SDT-NTN* is not supported

3> release the SDT configuration, if configured

1. Adding a new section that explains UE behaviour when UE cannot comply with a configuration available in RRC\_INACTIVE [2]. The following new section is proposed to be defined as part of §5.3.8 RRC release in TS 38.331.

**x.y.z Inability to comply with a configuration available in RRC\_INACTIVE**

The UE shall:

1> if the UE in RRC\_INACTIVE is unable to comply with a configuration available or stored due to any un-supported feature in current cell upon cell reselection between TN and NTN;

2> the corresponding configuration is ignored (i.e., is considered as not configured) in current cell.

1. Other approaches.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company’s name** | **Approach** | **Comments, if any** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# Report: summary and proposals

<Section to be updated by Rapporteur>

This report summarizes the views of xx companies ().

1. xxx
2. xxx
3. xxxx.

# Conclusion

The observations captured are the following:

**Observation 1.** xxxx.

The proposals captured are the following:

**Proposal 1.** xxx

The following list shows the proposals above organized based on the suggested priority aiming to help during its meeting discussion:

**Proposals for easy agreement**

xxx

**Proposals for discussion**

xxx

# Annex: companies’ point of contact

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Point of contact** | **Email address** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
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