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# Introduction

This document will report the outcome of the following offline discussion:

* [[AT121bis-e][019][eMob] L1 Measurements (Qualcomm)

 Scope: Based on measurements input to current meeting, identify agreements (easy / tentative) and open issues (to be addressed at next meeting),

 Intended outcome: Report

 Deadline: CB W2 Wednesday

Please provide your contact information in the table below.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Contact Name, Email** |
| Qualcomm | Ozcan Ozturk, oozturk@qti.qualcomm.com |
|  |  |

# Discussion

## Location of measurement config

We can divide the measurement configuration into three parts, similar to L3 measurements:

* 1. What to measure (measurement object in L3)
	2. How to measure (quantity configuration in L3)
	3. When to report (report configuration in L3)

In L3 measurements, all of these are part of *MeasConfig* which is an IE in *RRCReconfiguration* independent from cell group configuration.

RAN1 has discussed how to configure L1 measurements for LTM and made several agreements. RAN1#111 agreed that SSB-based L1 measurements of intra/inter-frequency LTM cells is supported.

We can start with “measurement object” alike. RAN1#112 has agreed that the SSB based L1-RSRP measurement RS configuration includes:

* PCI or logical ID for both intra- and inter- frequency
* SMTC or periodicity and SSB position in burst for both intra- and inter- frequency
* Frequency location + SCS info for inter-frequency

RAN1#112 has also discussed three configuration options for the SSB-based L1 measurement RS configurations:

* + **Option 1**: as part of the ServingCellConfig(s) of the serving cell(s).
	+ **Option 2**: external to the ServingCellConfig(s) of the serving cell(s) and external to the configuration of the candidate cells
	+ **Option 3**: as part of the configuration of the candidate cell(s)

In Option 1, the RS configuration for the target candidate cells will be provided in the serving cell configuration (as part of the container *CellGroupConfig*). Option 2 is similar to the legacy RRM configuration as mentioned above where a separate list/IE is configured independently from the configuration of serving cells*.* In Option 3, the target candidate cell will provide the RS configuration. Note that the definition of Option 3 from RAN1 as above may not be clear but their intention with this option was that the RS configuration will be placed in the target cell *RRCReconfiguration* container.

We can assume that the “L1 measurement object” includes the above list from RAN1 for now, i.e. PCI or logical ID, SMTC configuration, frequency location etc.. Other elements can be added if/when RAN1 makes further agreements. Note that the term “L1 measurement object” is not meant literally here and how it is implemented in ASN.1 can be discussed later.

**Question A1: For the location of the “L1 measurement object” for SSB-based measurements of candidate cells, which of the above Options 1,2,3 do you support? If another option, please explain.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Response** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |

**Summary:**.

**Proposal.**

From RAN1 LS:

*It is noted that RAN2 has a full flexibility to design the whole RRC structure design, and RAN1 foresees the necessity of similar discussions on TCI state pool for candidate cells and L1 measurement report configurations*

Since RS and TCI configurations are inherently linked, it is reasonable that they are placed in the same location. RAN2 can make a decision as RAN1 will likely ask this question per their LS as quoted above.

**Question A2: Should the location of “TCI state pools” for the candidate cells be same as the location of “L1 measurement object”, i.e. the same Option 1/2/3 is used for both of them?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Response** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |

**Summary:**.

**Proposal.**

A similar discussion may be needed for CSI-RS measurements. Since RAN1 has not made any agreements on this yet, it will skipped here. However, it is quite likely that our decision for SSB above will also be applicable to CSI-RS.

## Measurement triggering and reporting

In L3 measurements, the reporting configuration is generated by the source gNB. We need to decide if the similar conclusion is applicable to L1 measurements. The other alternative is for the candidate cells to generate this and provide to the UE via the source cell. The first option seems more natural given that the UE will report the results to the source cell which should have the control of when/how reporting happens.

**Question B1: For L1 measurements of LTM candidate cells, do you agree that the reporting configuration is not included in the target cell *RRCReconfiguration*?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Response** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |

**Summary:**.

**Proposal.**

In L3 measurements, the triggers for the report are configured in *“ReportConfigNR”.* The reporting configuration can be linked to one or more measurement object where each linkage is assigned a measurement ID.

The legacy L1 measurements for a serving cell is configured in the serving cell configuration.

For L1 measurements of LTM candidate cells, assuming the response to Question B1 is “Yes”, the choice will be to put this inside or outside serving cell configuration.

**Question B2: Should “L1 reporting configuration” for SSB-based measurements of candidate cells be included in inside or outside source serving cell configuration? If another option, please explain.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Response** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |

**Summary:**.

**Proposal.**

In L3 measurements, the configuration is always done by RRC and can only be disabled by RRC signaling. There were several papers which proposed to use L1/L2 signaling to “control” L1 measurement configuration, e.g. (de)-activation of a configuration. One motivation for this could be for the source to dynamically activate L1 meaurements for only certain candidate cells in order to prevent excessive measurement and reporting.

We can first check if there is any interest in using L1/L2 signaling for this purpose and can then decide later on what parts of the configuration can be changed. It is also possible that this decision is made by RAN1.

**Question B3: Do you support using L1/L2 signaling to control or change L1 measurement/reporting configuration? If yes, should this be left to RAN1 to decide?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Response** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |

**Summary:**.

**Proposal.**

## Other

In [9], it is proposed that filtering, Hysteresis and time-to-trigger are configured for L1 measurements. This is similar to what is configured by legacy *QuantityConfig* which controls L3 filtering but where the L1 filtering is left to the UE implementation. RAN2/RAN1 need to make a decision whether to introduce new L1 filtering or keep it as in legacy.

**Question C1: Should a new configuration be introduced for filtering, hysteresis, and time-to-trigger for L1 measurements? If not, it is assumed that this will be left to the UE implementation as in legacy NR.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Response** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |

**Summary:**.

**Proposal.**

In [1], handling of deactivated SCells is discussed. In current NR, the UE performs measurements on deactivated SCells differently than activated SCells. However, if a deactivated SCell is an LTM candidate cell, it is expected that the UE should perform measurements to assess its potential as a mobility target, similar to other candidate cells. RAN2 should discuss which option should apply in this case.

**Question C2: If a deactivated SCell is an LTM candidate cell, should the UE perform L1 measurements like other LTM candidate cells?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Response** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |

**Summary:**.

**Proposal.**

# Conclusion

Based on the discussion and the feedback from companies above, the following are proposed for L1 measurements for LTM:
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