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# 1 Introduction

This document is a summary of:

* [AT121bis-e][016][eMob] Reply LS on L1 measurement RS configuration and PDCCH ordered RACH for LTM (Fujitsu)

 Scope: Based on Meeting Agreements, provide agreeable draft LS

 Intended outcome: Agreeable Draft LS

 Deadline: CB online W2 Wednesday

The rapporteur sets two phases of discussions.

* The first phase (Deadline W1 Friday 21st April, 6:00UTC): discuss the feasibility and potential RAN2 specs impact and additional information to be included in the reply LS.
* The second phase (Deadline W2 Tuesday 25th April, 6:00UTC): discuss texts of the reply LS.

Company contact persons for this discussion are invited to fill one entry in the table below:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Name | Email Address |
| Fujitsu (rapporteur) | Takako Sanda | Sanda.takako @ fujitsu.com |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# 2 Background

RAN2 received an LS from RAN1 on L1 measurement RS configuration and PDCCH ordered RACH for LTM [1]. In the LS, RAN1 provides their agreements and asks RAN2 and RAN3 to check the feasibility and their potential specs impact especially for the agreements of PDCCH ordered RACH for LTM.

|  |
| --- |
| To RAN2 and RAN3 group.ACTION: RAN 1 respectfully asks RAN2 and RAN3 to check the feasibility and potential impact on specs of RAN2 and RAN 3 of all options, i.e. with RAR (from serving or candidate cell) and without RAR, in the agreement described in section B. Also, RAN1 respectfully asks RAN2 and RAN3 to take the RAN1 agreements into consideration for their work. |

The agreements in section B (PDCCH ordered RACH) are as follows.

|  |
| --- |
| B. PDCCH ordered RACHRegarding the configuration/indication of RAR reception for PDCCH ordered-RACH, RAN1 achieved the following agreementFor PDCCH ordered-RACH for candidate cell(s), RAR reception can be configured/indicated* If reception of RAR is not configured/indicated (without RAR)
	+ TA value of candidate cell is indicated in cell switch command
	+ FFS: whether UE should re-transmit PRACH when reception of RAR is not configured/indicated
	+ FFS: how UE determine the transmit power of subsequent PRACH triggered by PDCCH order
* If reception of RAR is configured/indicated (with RAR), FFS
	+ whether RAR is received from serving cell or candidate cell
		- if RAR is received from candidate cell, whether Type1-PDCCH CSS of the candidate cell is configured to the UE
	+ content of RAR
* FFS: signaling for configuration/indication of whether RAR needs to be received
* UE can report the support combination of with RAR only and without RAR only, where support of one default scheme is the baseline UE approach for LTM
* Send LS to RAN2 and RAN3 to check the feasibility about this agreement
* Note: Definition of candidate cells is up to RAN2

 As the feasibility of schemes included in the agreement above is related to the designs of RAN2 and RAN3, RAN 1 respectfully asks RAN2 and RAN3 to check the feasibility and potential impact on specs of RAN2 and RAN 3 of all options, i.e. with RAR (from serving or candidate cell) and without RAR, in this agreement. |

Also, the following related agreements for the PDCCH ordered RACH should also be taken into consideration.

|  |
| --- |
| AgreementFor Rel-18 LTM, Random Access Preamble indices and indication of RACH occasions with the associated SSB indices are configured for each candidate cell. Note: the detailed signalling is left to RAN2Agreement* For PDCCH-order based RACH for TA measurement for candidate cells, legacy CBRA is not supported

Agreementon whether UE should initiate re-transmit PRACH when reception of RAR is not configured/indicated, down select one from the following alternatives.* Alt 1: UE autonomous re-transmission of PRACH is not allowed (e.g., by setting the number of allowed PRACH transmission to the minimum value of PreambleTransMax=1)
* Alt 2: UE autonomous Re-transmission of PRACH is allowed,
	+ The number of PRACH transmission will be defined e.g. set the times of RACH transmission to the minimum value of PreambleTransMax

AgreementWhether RAR needs to be received is configured by RRC.Agreementstudy at least the following issues on PDCCH-order based PRACH for candidate cell that is not UL serving cell, i.e. without PUCCH/PUSCH configured* Whether gap between the DCI and PRACH longer than timeline defined in spec is needed
* Any impact/interruption on UL Tx of serving CCs due to the PRACH Tx

Working AssumptionUE-based TA measurement (UE derives TA based on Rx timing difference between current serving cell and candidate cell as well as TA value for the current serving cell) is supported.* Corresponding UE capability is to be introduced to support UE-based TA measurement
* For a UE reports support of this capability, configuration of UE-based TA measurement is supported
* FFS: other impacts on RAN1 spec
 |

# 3 Discussion

## 3.1 Feasibility and potential RAN2 specs impact

RAN2 needs to check the feasibility and potential RAN2 specs impact for the following cases, and include the result in the reply LS.

Case A: Without RAR

Case B: With RAR, and

- the RAR is received from the serving cell

- the RAR is received from the candidate cell

Although RAN2 assumed “with RAR and RAR is received from candidate cell” is not needed in Rel-18, it would be better to include the feasibility and potential RAN2 specs impact for this case. (If this is not agreeable, please provide your comment under Q7 or Q8)

For the feasibility and potential RAN2 specs impact, the contact companies of the LS provided a discussion paper [2]

### 3.1.1 RAN2 specs impact

#### 3.1.1.1 Without RAR

RAN1 agreements on the case reception of RAR is not configured/indicated (without RAR) are:

* + TA value of candidate cell is indicated in cell switch command
	+ FFS: whether UE should re-transmit PRACH when reception of RAR is not configured/indicated
	+ FFS: how UE determine the transmit power of subsequent PRACH triggered by PDCCH order

In the discussion paper [2], it is observed at least the following aspects will be potential RAN2 specs impact.

RAN2 needs to discuss how to maintain the TA of candidate cell at UE side, i.e.,

- The needs of TA timer.

- Whether the TA of candidate is maintained after LTM

**Q1: Does company agree the above observation? Any comments?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Q2: What will be other potential RAN2 spec impact of without RAR?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comments |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

#### 3.1.1.2 With RAR

RAN1 agreements on the case reception of RAR is configured/indicated (with RAR) are:

FFS

* + whether RAR is received from serving cell or candidate cell
		- if RAR is received from candidate cell, whether Type1-PDCCH CSS of the candidate cell is configured to the UE
	+ content of RAR

In the discussion paper [2], it is observed irrespective of whether the RAR is received from the serving cell or the candidate cell, at least the following aspects will be potential RAN2 specs impact.

RAN2 needs to discuss how to maintain the TA of candidate cell at UE side, i.e.,

- The needs of TA timer.

- Whether the TA of candidate is maintained after LTM

**Q3: Does company agree the above observation? Any comments?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Q4: What will be other potential RAN2 spec impact of with RAR which is common for “the RAR is received from the serving cell” and “the RAR is received from the candidate cell”?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comments |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

In the discussion paper [2], it is observed if RAR is received from the serving cell, at least the following aspects needs to be discussed in RAN2 and will be potential RAN2 specs impact.

1. RAN2 needs to discuss how to decide the start of the RAR window at UE side, and the UE behaviour if no RAR is received within the RAR window, and specify the result of the discussion.
2. For inter-DU case, RAN2 needs to wait RAN1 decision whether additional information for LTM RAR is introduced or not, e.g., whether a specific information to distinguish LTM RAR from normal RAR is introduced.
- If the additional information is introduced, legacy RAR cannot be used, RAN2 needs to discuss the format of the RAR and the UE behaviour to receive the RAR, and specify the result of the discussion
- If the additional information is not introduced, the legacy RAR can be used. Although RAN2 needs to discuss how to handle unnecessary information (e.g., UL grant, Temporary C-RNTI) in RAR and specify the result of the discussion. The spec impact may be smaller than the former case.
3. For inter-DU case, RAN2 (and/or RAN3) needs to discuss how to avoid potential preamble confliction and specify the result of the discussion.
- The confliction may occur when PDCCH ordered RACH for LTM is performed by a UE, another UE in the same serving cell performs CBRA using the same preamble.

**Q5: Does company agree the above observations? Any comments?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Q6: What will be other potential RAN2 spec impact of with RAR and the RAR is received from the serving cell?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comments |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

In the discussion paper [2], it is observed if RAR is received from the candidate cell, at least the following aspects needs to be discussed in RAN2 and will be potential RAN2 specs impact.

RAN2 needs to discuss whether the caused data transmission interruption at the source is acceptable, or any specific handling is necessary to avoid it, and specify the result of the discussion.

**Q7: Does company agree the above observation? Any comments?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Q8: What will be other potential RAN2 spec impact of with RAR and the RAR is received from the candidate cell?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comments |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

#### 3.1.1.3 Other specs impact

There may be other RAN2 specs impact that needs to report to RAN1 and/or RAN3.

**Q9: Are there any other RAN2 specs impact that needs to report to RAN1 and/or RAN3?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comments |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### 3.1.2 Feasibility

In the discussion paper [2], it is observed all cases, i.e., without RAR, with RAR and the RAR is received from the serving cell and with RAR and the RAR is received from the candidate cell, are feasible

**Q10: Does company agree the above observation? Any comment?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 3.2 Contents of reply LS

In addition to the feasibility and potential RAN2 specs impact, RAN2 may include the information that is useful for RAN1 in the reply LS, such as RAN2 agreements related to early TA acquisition.

**Q11: What information should additionally be included in the reply LS?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comments |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# 4 Conclusion

TBA
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