**3GPP TSG RAN WG2 Meeting #121-bis-e**    **R2-230xxxx**

Electronic, 17th– 26th Apr, 2023

Agenda Item: 7.12.2.1

Source: Intel Corporation

Title: Summary of [AT121bis-e][015][eIAB] Beam handling RACH-less HO (Intel)

Document for: Discussion and Decision

# Introduction

This is the summary of post email discussion:

* [AT121bis-e][015][eIAB] Beam handling RACH-less HO (Intel)

      Scope: Continue the discussion based on R2-2304098 (and R2-2302784). Address the potential issue of beam handling in target cell at RACH less handover, determine to what extent a solution could be feasible it in the scope of eIAB Rel-18 WI. Can also document the resolutions to the other issues listed in R2-2304098 if they were found working. Pave the way for online Come-Back.

      Intended outcome: Report

      Deadline: Online CB Monday April 24

Following two phases are considered for this email discussion:

Phase 1: W1 Friday April 21st 1000 UTC

Phase 2: W2 Monday April 24th 1h before online CB

Companies providing input to this email discussion are invited to leave contact information below.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Name** | **Email Address** |
| Intel | Ziyi Li | Ziyi.li@intel.com |
| Huawei | Yulong | Shiyulong5@huawei.com |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# Discussion

## General assumption

During online discussion, following agreement was reached:

* RACH-less for mIAB scenario, if agreed in the end, will cover only the case of same-TA.

During online discussion, some companies pointed out that the two logical DUs can share the same beam configuration between two logical DUs. Recalling RAN2 previously agreed the two logical DU cells are seen by UE as different physical cells. There seems no limitation for these two cells using the same configuration (e.g. cell common and UE specific beam related configuration).

To help the discussion in the following sections, rapporteur thinks it might be helpful to first align on the assumption whether the two logical DUs can use the same beam related configurations or not.

Working assumption: RAN2 assumes the source and target logical DU use the same configuration (e.g. cell common and UE specific beam related configuration) during full migration.

**Q1: Do you agree with the above working assumption?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Yes, but | We should say “can use” if network considers it is possible and useful e.g. in RACH-less. Maybe it is not valid to mandate that they have to use the same config.Then, if agreed, we should inform RAN3. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Beam handling

In [4098], it was questioned which beam will be used by the UE to perform first UL transmission or DL reception with the target cell, and how beam alignment between the UE and target cell works. In this section, we first focus on the beam open issue.

In [3503], it was observed that the beam configuration can be copied/shared from source DU to target DU, and the same beam can be continuously used by the UE. In [3112], a beam adjustment indication is proposed to indicate whether the beam information can be maintained.

In legacy handover, upon handover, the UE and the gNB performs initial beam detection procedure via RACH operation. Initial data transmission/reception can be handled with initial beam detection until the gNB and the UE perform further beam management process to use finer granularity of beams by using CSI-RS reporting.

It is observed by rapporteur that, since the two logical DUs are co-located at the mobile IAB-node, **the relative position between UE and the mobile IAB-node can be considered as unchanged** during its handover (**same assumption as we made for same TA**). In addition, it is unlikely that there will be **a change in channel condition** between the UE and the mobile IAB-node, the current beam can be still maintained. With the working assumption discussed in Q1, the same beam used by UE in the source logical DU can still possibly be continuously used for initial data transmission/reception in the target logical DU after its handover.

**Q2: Do you agree whether the same beam (the one UE used in source logical DU) can be used by the UE for its first UL transmission and/or DL reception with the target cell?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Reasons for your response** |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Yes, maybe | This may not be perfect as the UE measurement based beam selection. But, anyway, it is possible. If NW considers this as reliable, NW can indicate/use that in RACH-less (the worst case is BFR). |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Other RACH-less

The other issues raised in [4098] is how UE will receive the UL grant for the first UL transmission to the new target cell.

It was discussed in [2784], based on the assumption of same cell configuration between two logical DUs, the UL grant can be provided by the target logical DU. Even UL grant is not configured, similar as LTE RACH-less handover, it is also possible for the served UEs to monitor the PDCCH of the 2nd logical DU based on the knowledge of the 1st logical DU PHY configuration. Both configured grant and dynamic grant can work.

Observation: Same as LTE RACH-less HO, the 2nd logical DU can provide UL grant to the served UE either via pre-allocation in RRC message or allow UE to monitor 2nd logical DU’s PDCCH.

Furthermore, except TA, beam and UL grant issues discussed online and in this email discussion, it was further discussed in [2784] and other contributions that following steps could also consider LTE RACH-less HO as baseline:

1. **Security key change**: mIAB served UEs can start to use new security key and trigger reconfiguration/ L2 reset, etc, upon applying the *RRCReconfiguration* message
2. **HO completion**: successful HO can be confirmed upon the completion of contention resolution

With that, rapporteur would like to propose:

During full migration, RACH-less handover with a security key change of the served UEs is supported. LTE RACH-less handover procedure (e.g. same TA, UL grant, security key change, HO completion) is considered as baseline for mobile IAB.

**Q3: Do you agree with above proposal? (note that beam related discussion will be merged/updated after phase 1)**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | No/Not needed | 1. “RACH-less handover with a security key change of the served UEs is supported.”=>This is more like observation rather than proposal. Since any L3 HO can support security update. This is nothing new.2. “HO completion” in LTE introduced the DL UE Contention Resolution Identity MAC CE, which is not needed in NR.3. UL grant in NR is different from LTE. Maybe the question is about to support both CG and DG in RACH-less. For those, we are not sure. More analyses is needed. It is pre-mature to say they can be directly reused/baseline. We may need to consider the common design with LTM and NTN. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## LS to RAN1

**Q4: Companies are invited to comment on whether we should send a LS to RAN1, informing RAN1 our assumption during RACH-less handover (e.g. same TA and beam are used) and check if there’s any issue.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
| Huawei, HiSilion | No, but | RAN1 has no TU to discuss this. RAN2 can decide whether to support the whole RACH-less or not.I guess the concern is not the feasibility from RAN1. The concern is whether RAN2 should spend huge TU on this RACH-less feature. Therefore, the next step is the RAN2 final decision on this feature, by considering the agreeable solutions on TA, beam, UL grant, etc, if any.* RACH-less for mIAB scenario, if agreed in the end, will cover only the case of same-TA.
 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
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