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# 1. Introduction

This document is a summary of the following discussion.

* [AT121bis-e][003][NR1516] RRC 2 (Samsung)

Scope: Treat R2-2302595, R2-2302596, R2-2302597, R2-2302666, R2-2302667, R2-2303106, R2-2303107, R2-2304096, R2-2304091, R2-2304092, R2-2302771, R2-2304138, R2-2304140, R2-2303871, R2-2303872  
Ph1: Determine agreeable parts. Ph2: For agreeable parts, if any, reflect these in agreeable CRs.

Intended outcome: Report, If applicable: In-Principle-Agreed CRs

Deadline: Ph1: Thursday April 21th 1200 UTC; Ph2: Wednesday April 26th 1000 UTC (EOM)

# 2 Contact Information

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Contact: Name (E-mail) |
| Samsung | Anil Agiwal (anilag@samsung.com) |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# 3. Discussion

## 3.1 [R15] Recommended bit rate query

|  |
| --- |
| R2-2302595 38.331\_R15\_CR (Cat F)\_Corrections to recommended bit rate query Samsung  CR Rel-15 38.331 15.21.0 3950 - F NR\_newRAT-Core  R2-2302596 38.331\_R16\_CR (Cat A)\_Corrections to recommended bit rate query Samsung CR Rel-16 38.331 16.12.0 3951 - A NR\_newRAT-Core  R2-2302597 38.331\_R17\_CR (Cat A)\_Corrections to recommended bit rate query Samsung  CR Rel-17 38.331 17.4.0 3952 - A NR\_newRAT-Core |

**Reason for change**

|  |
| --- |
| UE can trigger Recommended bit rate query a logical channel and for a direction (i.e. for uplink or downlink). According to TS 38.321 (highlighted text below), Recommended bit rate query for a logical channel and direction can be transmitted only if *bitRateQueryProhibitTimer* is configured for the logical channel and the direction. “5.18.10 Recommended Bit Rate :  The MAC entity may request the gNB to indicate the recommended bit rate for a specific logical channel and a specific direction. If the MAC entity is requested by upper layers to query the gNB for the recommended bit rate for a logical channel and for a direction (i.e. for uplink or downlink), the MAC entity shall:  1> if a Recommended bit rate query for this logical channel and this direction has not been triggered:  2> trigger a Recommended bit rate query for this logical channel, direction, and desired bit rate.  If the MAC entity has UL resources allocated for new transmission the MAC entity shall:  1> for each Recommended bit rate query that the Recommended Bit Rate procedure determines has been triggered and not cancelled:  2> if *bitRateQueryProhibitTimer* for the logical channel and the direction of this Recommended bit rate query is configured, and it is not running; and  2> if the MAC entity has UL resources allocated for new transmission and the allocated UL resources can accommodate a Recommended bit rate MAC CE plus its subheader as a result of LCP as defined in clause 5.4.3.1:  3> instruct the Multiplexing and Assembly procedure to generate the Recommended bit rate MAC CE for the logical channel and the direction of this Recommended bit rate query;  “  **Issue:** According to TS 38.331, there is no separate configuration of *bitRateQueryProhibitTimer* for DL and UL. *bitRateQueryProhibitTimer* is optionally configured only for UL (LogicalChannelConfig -> ul-SpecificParameters). As a result, based on current MAC procedure, recommended bit rate query for a logical channel and DL direction can be triggered (as per grey highlighted text) but MAC entity can not transmit Recommended bit rate query MAC CE for the DL (as per green highlighted text). |

**Question 1: Do companies agree with the intention of the CR? If so, do companies support the changes in the CR?**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Agree with intention? (Y/N) | Support the change? (Y/N) | Comments |
| Lenovo | No | **No** | The recommended bit rate functionality has been adopted from LTE.  There is no need to configure bitRateQueryProhibitTimer for UL and DL separately. The UE can use the configured bitRateQueryProhibitTimer independently for each direction. The blue highlighted part in MAC spec refers to direction as configured per RLC-Config (RLC-AM is bidirectional, but RLC-UM can be bidirectional or unidirectional).  2> if *bitRateQueryProhibitTimer* for the logical channel and the direction of this Recommended bit rate query is configured, … |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

**Rapporteur summary on Q1**

…

## 3.2 [R16 NR-U] CG parameters in NR-U

|  |
| --- |
| R2-2302666 Clarifications on CG Parameters in NR-U vivo CR Rel-16 38.331 16.12.0 3958 - F NR\_unlic-Core  R2-2302667 Clarifications on CG Parameters in NR-U vivo CR Rel-17 38.331 17.4.0 3959 - A NR\_unlic-Core |

**Reason for change**

|  |
| --- |
| 1. For the field *cg-StartingFullBW-InsideCOT* in *CG-StartingOffsets*, it is used to configure a set of configured grant PUSCH transmission starting offset indices, instead of a set of absolute offset variables for cyclic prefix extension. Thus, a correction is needed. 2. For either c*g-StartingPartialBW-InsideCOT* or *cg-StartingPartialBW-OutsideCOT*, only one configured grant PUSCH transmission starting offset index can be configured via them, rather than a set of offset indices. To make it clear, corrections are needed. |

**Question 2: Do companies agree with the intention of the CR? If so, do companies support the changes in the CR?**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Agree with intention? (Y/N) | Support the change? (Y/N) | Comments |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

**Rapporteur summary on Q2**

…

## [R16 NR-U] RSSI measurement frequency

|  |
| --- |
| R2-2303106 Clarification on RSSI measurement frequency Samsung R&D Institute India CR Rel-16 38.331 16.12.0 3983 - F NR\_unlic-Core  R2-2303107 Clarification on RSSI measurement frequency Samsung R&D Institute India CR Rel-17 38.331 17.4.0 3984 - A NR\_unlic-Core |

**Reason for change**

|  |
| --- |
| Present spec in sec 5.5.3.1 is ambiguous about which frequency indicated in the associated measObject (MO) to be used to perform RSSI and channel occupancy measurement. NR procedure is largely inherited from LTE. LTE MO has only *carrier-Frequency* and same is used for RSSI measurement. However NR MO may have *ssbFrequency*, *refFreqCSI-RS* and *rmtc-Frequency* configured and it is not clearly and explicitly stated that *rmtc-Frequency* be used for RSSI measurement for NR-U. Hence, there is a need to make it unambiguous for implementators. |

**Question 3: Do companies agree with the intention of the CR? If so, do companies support the changes in the CR?**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Agree with intention? (Y/N) | Support the change? (Y/N) | Comments |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

**Rapporteur summary on Q3**

…

## 3.4 [R15] Security

|  |
| --- |
| R2-2304096 Clarification on the update of security algorithms Ericsson discussion Rel-15 NR\_newRAT-Core |

**Discussion**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| According to the current specification, in current TS 38.331 clause 5.3.1.2 the following it is stated:  The integrity protection algorithm is common for SRB1, SRB2, SRB3 (if configured), SRB4 (if configured) and DRBs configured with integrity protection, with the same *keyToUse* value. The ciphering algorithm is common for SRB1, SRB2, SRB3 (if configured), SRB4 (if configured) and DRBs configured with the same *keyToUse* value. Neither integrity protection nor ciphering applies for SRB0.  :  RRC integrity protection and ciphering are always activated together, i.e. in one message/procedure. RRC integrity protection and ciphering for SRBs are never de-activated. However, it is possible to switch to a '*NULL*' ciphering algorithm (*nea0*).  :  The integrity protection and ciphering algorithms can only be changed with reconfiguration with sync. The AS keys (KgNB, KRRCint, KRRCenc, KUPint and KUPenc) change upon reconfiguration with sync (if *masterKeyUpdate* is included), and upon connection re-establishment and connection resume.  :  For a UE provided with an *sk-counter*, *keyToUse* indicates whether the UE uses the master key (KgNB) or the secondary key (S-KeNB or S-KgNB) for a particular DRB. The secondary key is derived from the master key and *sk-Counter*, as defined in TS 33.501[11]. Whenever there is a need to refresh the secondary key, e.g. upon change of MN with KgNB change or to avoid COUNT reuse, the security key update is used (see 5.3.5.7). When the UE is in NR-DC, the network may provide a UE configured with an SCG with an *sk-Counter* even when no DRB is setup using the secondary key (S-KgNB) in order to allow the configuration of SRB3. The network can also provide the UE with an *sk-Counter*, even if no SCG is configured, when using SN terminated MCG bearers.  According to the yellow statement, it is clear that the integrity protection and ciphering algorithm are the same for SRBs and DRBs that are terminated at the same anchor point.  Further, the green statement clarify that the integrity protection and ciphering algorithms can only be changed with reconfiguration with sync.   1. According to TS 38.331 clause 5.3.1.2, the reconfiguration with sync procedure is the only method to change the security algorithms at the UE.   However, according to the field condition of the field *securityAlgorithmConfig* within *RadioBearerConfig* IE, the understanding is that the security algorithms can also be provided to the UE even if reconfiguration with sync is not used.   |  |  | | --- | --- | | *RBTermChange1* | The field is mandatory present in case of:  - set up of signalling and data radio bearer,  - change of termination point for the radio bearer between MN and SN,  - handover from E-UTRA/EPC or E-UTRA/5GC to NR,  - handover from NR or E-UTRA/EPC to E-UTRA/5GC if the UE supports NGEN-DC.  It is optionally present otherwise, Need S. |  1. According to field condition of *securityAlgorithmConfig* within *RadioBearerConfig* IE, the security algorithms can also be provided to the UE even if reconfiguration with sync is not used.   This seems to be in contradiction with what is stated in TS 38.331 clause 5.3.1.2. According to this, it would be good for RAN2 to clarify what is the expected behaviour on how to change the security algorithms at the UE for both MN-terminated and SN-terminated bearers. In principle, three options can be considered, which are not mutually exclusive:   1. The security algorithms at the UE can only be changed with reconfiguration with sync (for both SRBs and DRBs). 2. The security algorithms at the UE can be changed by release and add of a radio bearer (at least for DRBs). 3. The security algorithms at the UE can be changed by just including *securityAlgorithmConfig* within *RadioBearerConfig* without the need of reconfiguration with sync or release and add of a radio bearer (at least for DRBs). |

**Question 4: Do companies agree with the issue raised in R2-2304096? If so, which of these options (which are not mutually exclusive) are feasible in order to change the security algorithms at the UE ?**

* 1. The security algorithms at the UE can only be changed with reconfiguration with sync (for both SRBs and DRBs).
  2. The security algorithms at the UE can be changed by release and add of a radio bearer (at least for DRBs).
  3. The security algorithms at the UE can be changed by just including *securityAlgorithmConfig* within *RadioBearerConfig* without the need of reconfiguration with sync or release and add of a radio bearer (at least for DRBs).

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Agree with issue? (Y/N) | Feasible options (a/b/c) | Comments |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

**Rapporteur summary on Q4**

…

## nas-SecurityParamFromNR

|  |
| --- |
| R2-2304091 Clarification on nas-SecurityParamFromNR field description Ericsson CR Rel-16 38.331 16.12.0 4052 - A NR\_newRAT-Core  R2-2304092 Clarification on nas-SecurityParamFromNR field description Ericsson CR Rel-17 38.331 17.4.0 4053 - A NR\_newRAT-Core |

**Reason for change**

|  |
| --- |
| In the last RAN2 meeting, it was discussed on whether the field description of nas-SecurityParamFromNR should be updated to mention that this field includes the *NASSecurityParametersFromNGRAN*, as defined in TS 38.413. However, the discussion was posponed.  This CR is to align the field description in NR with what we have in LTE. |

**Question 5: Do companies agree with the intention of the CR? If so, do companies support the changes in the CR?**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Agree with intention? (Y/N) | Support the change? (Y/N) | Comments |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

**Rapporteur summary on Q5**

…

## [R16] CSI-RS resource coordination in NR-DC

|  |
| --- |
| R2-2302771 CSI-RS resource coordination in NR-DC Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell discussion Rel-15 NR\_newRAT-Core  R2-2304138 CSI-RS resource coordination in NR-DC Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell CR  Rel-16 38.331 16.12.0 3990 2 F NR\_newRAT-Core, TEI16 R2-2304133  R2-2304140 CSI-RS resource coordination in NR-DC Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell CR  Rel-17 38.331 17.4.0 3991 2 A NR\_newRAT-Core, TEI16 R2-2304135 |

**Reason for change**

|  |
| --- |
| RRC inter-node messages are used for MN-SN coordination of resources for MR-DC, with certain aspects (e.g. measIDs, frequencies) that are per-UE or per-carrier being part of the signalling. CSI-RS/SRS resource coordination is not currently possible, but is still required according to UE capabilities, making it impossible for network in some cases to utlize the UE capabilities. |

**Question 6: Do companies agree with the intention of the CR? If so, do companies support the changes in the CR?**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Agree with intention? (Y/N) | Support the change? (Y/N) | Comments |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

**Rapporteur summary on Q6**

…

## reconfiguration including T316

|  |
| --- |
| R2-2303871 Correction on reconfiguration including T316 Lenovo CR Rel-16 38.331 16.12.0 4029 - F LTE\_NR\_DC\_CA\_enh-Core  R2-2303872 Correction on reconfiguration including T316 Lenovo CR Rel-17 38.331 17.4.0 4030 - F LTE\_NR\_DC\_CA\_enh-Core |

**Reason for change**

|  |
| --- |
| To support the Rel-16 feature of fast MCG link recovery, T316 is configured in RRCReconfiguration message using ‘setuprelease’. If the RRCReconfiguration message includes the t316 and sets to setup, UE will consider itself to be configured to support fast MCG link recovery. Otherwise, UE should release the configuration of t316 if UE is maintaining the configuration of T316. The text procedure related to the reception of t316 should be described in ‘5.3.5.3 Reception of an RRCReconfiguration by the UE’. However, it is missing in Rel-16 and Rel-17 RRC specification. |

**Question 7: Do companies agree with the intention of the CR? If so, do companies support the changes in the CR?**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Agree with intention? (Y/N) | Support the change? (Y/N) | Comments |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

**Rapporteur summary on Q7**

…

# 3. Conclusions

**To be filled later**