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# 1 Introduction

This is the summary of the following email discussion. R2-2205692 will be handled in offline #059 as a follow-up discussion thus is removed from here.

* [AT118-e][061][MGE] Network Configured Small Gaps (Apple)

Scope: Progress remaining issues and attempt to converge. Treat R2-2204545, R2-2205727, ~~R2-2205692~~, R2-2206070, R2-2206071.

Intended outcome: Report with agreements, TP if needed.

Deadline: CB W2 TUE

# 2 Discussion

## 2.1 On deriveSSB-IndexFromCellInter [R2-2204545][R2-2205727]

The relationship between deriveSSB-IndexFromCellInter and legacy deriveSSB-IndexFromCell was touched in last RAN2 meeting without achieving a conclusion. Two options were mentioned in last RAN2 meeting. It was also raised up in RIL Z142.

* Option 1: When *deriveSSB-IndexFromCellInter* is included, the network must set *legacy deriveSSB-IndexFromCell* IE to true;
* Option 2: UE ignores legacy *deriveSSB-IndexFromCell* IE once *deriveSSB-IndexFromInter* is received.

There are two different kinds of change in the reference contributions.

**Option 1: Change in R2-2205727**

**Proposal 1: For an MO, when *deriveSSB-IndexFromCellInter* is included, the network should set legacy *deriveSSB-IndexFromCell* IE to true.**

**Proposal 2: RAN2 to update the field description of *deriveSSB-IndexFromCellInter*.**

|  |
| --- |
| If this field is present, UE assumes SFN and frame boundary alignment between the reference serving cell indicated by *ServCellIndex* and all neighbour cells in this *MeasObjectNR* as specified in TS 38.133 [14]. This field also indicates that the UE can utilize the timing of the reference serving cell indicated by *ServCellIndex* to derive the index of SS block transmitted by all neighbour cells with same frequency as this *MeasObjectNR*. The neighbour cell(s) is on a frequency different than serving cell frequency from the reference serving cell. When this field is included, the network should set *deriveSSB-IndexFromCell* to true. |

**Option 2: Change in R2-2204545**

|  |
| --- |
| ***deriveSSB-IndexFromCellInter***  If this field is present, UE assumes SFN and frame boundary alignment between the reference serving cell indicated by *ServCellIndex* and all neighbour cells in this *MeasObjectNR* as specified in TS 38.133 [14]. This field indicates whether the UE can utilize the timing of the reference serving cell indicated by *ServCellIndex* to derive the index of SS block transmitted by all neighbour cells with same frequency as this *MeasObjectNR*. In addition, the field also indicates whether the UE may use the timing of any detected cell on that target frequency to derive the SSB index of all neighbour cells on that frequency. When this field is configured, the UE ignores the *deriveSSB-IndexFromCell.* |

**Question 1: Which option is preferred by companies?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Option preferred** | **Comments** |
| MediaTek | Option 1 with comment | We think adding the last sentence “ When this field is included, the network should set *deriveSSB-IndexFromCell* to true.” is enough.  Not sure why we need “ The neighbour cell(s) is on a frequency different than serving cell frequency from the reference serving cell.” |
| Huawei, HiSilicon |  | We don’t have a strong preference between the two options, one is from NW perspective and the other is from UE perspective.  If Option 1 really wants to emphasize it is for inter-frequency, maybe it can be reworded as follows:  If this field is present, UE assumes SFN and frame boundary alignment between the reference serving cell indicated by *ServCellIndex* and all neighbour cells in this *MeasObjectNR* as specified in TS 38.133 [14]. This field also indicates that the UE can utilize the timing of the reference serving cell indicated by *ServCellIndex* to derive the index of SS block transmitted by all inter-frequency neighbour cells on the frequency indicated by the *MeasObjectNR*. When this field is included, the network should set *deriveSSB-IndexFromCell* to true. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 2.2 Corrections on MGTA and MGL [R2-2206070]

The CR in R2-2206070 have several changes to MGTA/MGL and are addressing RIL(s) [H804][H805][H806].

Reason for changes:

|  |
| --- |
| 1. [H804] As metioned in LS from RAN4 (R4-2206890), the mgta = 0.25ms should not be used for NCSG. 2. [H805] There are some typos in the *MeasGapConfig* IE, i.e. “nscg” should be “ncsg”. 3. [H806] The *mgta-r17* and *mgl-r17* fields are adding new values for an existing filed, so “-v17xy” should be used instead of “-r17”.   The following was agreed in the RAN2 ASN.1 AdHoc meeting:  H020 Suffix v1700 or r17  *ConfiguredGrantConfig: noOfHARQ-ProcessesExt-r17*  *[Description]: This extends an existing field, so the suffix should be v1700*  *[Proposed Change]: Change the suffix to v1700.*  DISCUSSION   * Ericsson think we havent been completely consistent, have a weak preference for removing the “Ext” and using the -v1700. Intel support to remove the Ext. * Remove the “Ext”, and use -v1700 (NCE with only new values) and apply this consistently.   4) The values in *mgta-r17* IE and *mgl-r17* IE can be only used for NCSG, which should be embodied in the spec. |

Summary of changes:

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Add clarfication that mgta=0.25ms cannot be configured to NCSG in field descriptions of *mgta* IE. 2. Change “nscg” to “ncsg” in the *MeasGapConfig* IE. 3. Change *mgta-r17* and *mgl-r17* to *mgta-v1700* and *mgl-v1700* respectively. 4. Add conditions to *mgta-r17* IE and *mgl-r17* IE. |

**Question 2: Do companies agree the changes in the CR?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes / No on changes 1) – 4)** | **Comments** |
| MediaTek | 1) - Yes  2) – Already agreed  3)– Already rejected  4) – Yes with comment | For 2), it is already agreed in P1 of R2-2205220.  For 3), it is already rejected by P2 of R2-2205220. Also, it is irreverent if we adopt E033/E034 now.  For 4), Instead of conditional code, we suggest to describe this in field description based on the new IE structure proposed in R2-2205229. |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Yes (Proponent) | For 3), we accept the rapporteur’s decision to reject (because the two fields can also be considered as critical changes). Since the rapporteur conclusion came a bit late and the CR was submitted already, we didn't manage to remove [H806] from the CR… |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 2.3 Correction on ncsgind [R2-2206071]

The CR in R2-2206071 is to capture the statement in RAN4 LS [R4-2206890] that “NCSG for CSI-RS based inter-frequency measurement with gap is not supported in R17”.

The change is copied below for reference:

|  |
| --- |
| ***nscgInd***  Indicates that the measurement gap is a NCSG as specified in 38.133 [14]. This field is not present if the measurement gap is used for CSI-RS based inter-frequency measurements. |

**Question 3: Do companies agree with the change above?**

It is also fine to add the comments into the CR.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes / No** | **Comments** |
| MediaTek | See comment | We understand the intention but does not really think this change is needed. Isn’t already from capability part that the NCSG is used for SSB measurement only ? |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Yes (Proponent) | In 38.306, there is no restriction that NCSG only applies to SSB-based measurements.  ***ncsg-MeasGap-r17***  Indicates whether the UE supports the NCSG measurement gap as specified in TS 38.133 [5].  Maybe MTK’s comment refers to the *NeedForNCSG-InfoNR* in 38.331 which mentions “whether measurement gap or NCSG is required for the UE to perform SSB based measurements …”  However, in our understanding, the above sentence does not equal to “NCSG only applies to SSB based measurements”. We have a similar description in the R16 *NeedForGapsInfoNR* IE, but the R16 gaps can also be configured for CSI-RS (even though the UE does not request the gap like it does for SSB-based measurements). |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# 3 Conclusions

**[TBA]**
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