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# Introduction

This document summarizes the following offline discussion.

* [AT118-e][021][NR1516] UE capabilities II (Huawei)

Scope: Treat R2-2206002, R2-2204485, R2-2205558, R2-2205559, R2-2205560, R2-2205561, R2-2205453, R2-2205556, R2-2205557, R2-2205984, R2-2205985,

Ph1 Determine agreeable parts, Ph2 for agreeable parts agree CRs (offline agreement, CB online only if necessary).

Intended outcome: Report, Agreed CRs

Deadline: Schedule 1

# Contact from companies

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Email |
| Nokia | amaanat.ali@nokia.com |
| Ericsson | lian.araujo@ericsson.com |
| Intel Corporation | seau.s.lim@intel.com |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# Discussion

## Part 1: Intended to determine agreeable parts

### **Configured UL grant**

[R2-2206002](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs/R2-2206002.zip) Clarification on configuredUL-GrantType1-v1650 Qualcomm Incorporated CR Rel-16 38.306 16.8.0 0736 - F NR\_newRAT-Core

The above CR[1] adds the configuredUL-GrantType1-v1650 and configuredUL-GrantType2-v1650 to be the possible prerequisite capability in the field description for all related features, which is missing in current specification.

**Q1 Do companies agree with the intention of the CR?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes or No** | **Comments** |
| Nokia | Yes | Okay with the proposed change. Why is there not a corresponding Rel-17 CR? |
| Ericsson | Yes | A mirror for Rel 17 will be needed. |
| Intel | Yes |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

### **Measurement**

[R2-2204485](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs/R2-2204485.zip) LS on UE capability for inter-frequency measurement without MG (R4-2207090; contact: Huawei) RAN4 LS in Rel-16 NR\_RRM\_enh-Core To:RAN2

[R2-2205558](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs/R2-2205558.zip) Correction on UE capability for inter-frequency measurement without MG Huawei, HiSilicon CR Rel-16 38.306 16.8.0 0720 - F NR\_RRM\_enh-Core

[R2-2205559](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs/R2-2205559.zip) Correction on UE capability for inter-frequency measurement without MG Huawei, HiSilicon CR Rel-17 38.306 17.0.0 0721 - A NR\_RRM\_enh-Core

In the LS[2], RAN4 informed that non-CA capable UE is not expected to indicate support of *interFrequencyMeas-Nogap-r16*. The CRs[3][4] add the restriction above for the capability in TS 38.306. Otherwise, if a non-CA capable UE signals the capability, the network may configure inter-frequency measurement without gap, and the UE behaviour is unclear.

**Q2 Do companies agree with the intention of the CRs?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes or No** | **Comments** |
| Nokia | No | We do not see this as essential correction |
| Ericsson | No | The signaling specifications should not account for the case where the UE includes a capability but does not really support the feature, this is rather and error case and this same motivation can be done for basically all UE capabilities.  If really needed, we can capture it in meeting notes. |
| Intel | No | Agree with others. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

[R2-2205453](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs/R2-2205453.zip) Clarification on the rmtc-Config-r16 Xiaomi Communications, Apple, OPPO CR Rel-16 38.331 16.8.0 3087

The CR[5] is to clarify that *rmtc-Config-r16* is only applicable for shared spectrum, and a condition tag *SharedSpectrum2* is added for *rmtc-Config-r16*.

**Q3 Do companies agree with the intention of the CR?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes or No** | **Comments** |
| Nokia | See comment | We would prefer a field description than the ASN.1 condition which is a bit difficult to read and not prefer ASN.1 change even though that would work as what is proposed? |
| Ericsson | No | The CR is not needed/not correct.  In principle, RMTC-Config should only be included for RSSI measurements on unlicensed frequencies. So that part is correct.   1. Not correct because: MeasObjectNR may be configured for licensed spectrum while the RMTC-Config may refer to a different frequency provided by rmtc-Frequency-r16 (note that e.g. measObjectCLI which is quite similar, is configured separately). So their text proposal is not correct. 2. Not needed because: The UE provides RSSI measurement capability to the network. So the network would anyway not configure RMTC for a UE that does not support this feature.  |  |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | | ***rssi-ChannelOccupancyReporting-r16***  Indicates whether the UE supports RSSI measurements and channel occupancy reporting. | Band | No | N/A | N/A |   As a consequence, there are no issues with the current implementation. |
| Intel | See comment | I think this CR is placed in the wrong agenda as it is not UE capability related. If restriction to the configuration is needed, we would prefer including it in the field description for rmtc-Config. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

[R2-2205556](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs/R2-2205556.zip) Correction on measurementEnhancement capability for high speed scenario Huawei, HiSilicon CR Rel-16 38.306 16.8.0 0718 - F NR\_HST-Core

[R2-2205557](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs/R2-2205557.zip) Correction on measurementEnhancement capability for high speed scenario Huawei, HiSilicon CR Rel-17 38.306 17.0.0 0719 - A NR\_HST-Core

The CRs[6][7] are to clarify that intra-NR enhanced RRM requirements are applicable to SN configured measurement when (NG)EN-DC is configured, but inter-RAT E-UTRAN RRM requirements are not.

**Q4 Do companies agree with the intention of the CRs?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes or No** | **Comments** |
| Nokia | Yes | Okay to clarify |
| Ericsson | Not essential | The SN in EN-DC should anyway not configure E-UTRAN measurements so there seems to be no real issue. But can be considered into rapporteur CR if companies would prefer to clarify it. |
| Intel | Yes |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

### **eMIMO**

[R2-2205560](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs/R2-2205560.zip) Clarification on capabilities reported in different granularity with prerequisite Huawei, HiSilicon CR Rel-16 38.306 16.8.0 0722 - F NR\_eMIMO-Core

[R2-2205561](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs/R2-2205561.zip) Clarification on capabilities reported in different granularity with prerequisite Huawei, HiSilicon CR Rel-17 38.306 17.0.0 0723 - A NR\_eMIMO-Core

The CRs[8][9] are to clarify that for the eMIMO capabilities with prerequisite defined in a finer granularity, UE shall indicate support of the prerequisite for at least one band/component carrier in at least on band combination.

For example, UE supports *supportNewDMRS-Port-r16* (which is defined in perband level) shall indicate support of *singleDCI-SDM-scheme-r16* (which is defined in perFS level) for the band in at least one band combination reported in BandCombinationList. UE supports *maxNumberActivatedTCI-States-r16* (which is defined in perband level) shall support *multiDCI-MultiTRP-r16* (which is defined in FSperCC level) for at least one component carrier for the band.

**Q5 Do companies agree with the intention of the CRs?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes or No** | **Comments** |
| Ericsson | Yes, but | If the network is interested on a certain feature, it should check the support of that feature and not its prerequisite. Hence, it may not actually matter in some cases how we clarify it. In any case, this approach seems safe. |
| Intel | Maybe | We are ok with clarifying this. However, we think it would be good to check the understanding with RAN1 via a LS. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

### **CHO and CPC**

[R2-2205984](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs/R2-2205984.zip) Clarifications on CHO and CPC UE capabilities Huawei, HiSilicon CR Rel-16 38.306 16.8.0 0732 - F NR\_Mob\_enh-Core

[R2-2205985](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs/R2-2205985.zip) Clarifications on CHO and CPC UE capabilities Huawei, HiSilicon CR Rel-17 38.306 17.0.0 0733 - A NR\_Mob\_enh-Core

In above CRs[10][11], it is pointed out that for the CHO and CPC capabilities which are defined in perband level, UE should report consistently among all the supported TDD/FDD/FR1/FR2 bands respectively. To avoid confusion, the description on “at least one band” should be removed.

**Q6 Do companies agree with the intention of the CRs?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes or No** | **Comments** |
| Nokia | Yes | This could be merged to rapporteur CR. Also why this is not CY? |
| Ericsson | Not essential | We agree that the UE should report consistently CHO and CPC capabilities which are defined in perband level, but since this is clarified already in those capabilities, we do not think this wording would raise confusion. If companies see a need to clarify it, we may align with the similar Rel-15 wording i.e. simply saying “is set for both FDD and TDD”. |
| Intel | No, but is ok to go with majority | We do not see it as an essential change. It’s already clear from the field description in condHandover-r16 that “UE shall set the capability value consistently for all FDD-FR1 bands, all TDD-FR1 bands and all TDD-FR2 bands respectively”.    So current field description “The parameter can only be set if condHandover-r16 is set for at least one FDD band and one TDD band.” actually doesn’t affect UE implementation. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# Conclusions

*To be added…*
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