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# 1 Introduction

This document is the report of the following email discussion:

* [AT117-e][201][IncLang] Inclusive language CR review (Nokia)

Scope: Review CRs for inclusive language provided to this meeting.

Intended outcome: Agreed CRs for inclusive language.

**Comment deadline:** WednesdayW2, 0900 UTC (for collecting views)

**Rapporteur proposals:** Wednesday W2, 1300 UTC (proposed final document versions)

**Document deadline:** EOM (LS and/or agreed CRs)

If not agreeable, may continue to short post-meeting email (based on chair decision).

# 2 Contact Points

Respondents to the email discussion are kindly asked to fill in the following table.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Name | Email Address |
| Nokia (Rapporteur) | Benoist Sébire | benoist.sebire@nokia.com |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# 3 Status

As a reminder, the RAN2 agreements from last year at RAN2#113 were [[R2-2102005](http://3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_113-e/Docs/R2-2102005.zip)]:

* Technically endorse the CRs at this meeting and provide them to RAN for information in March. CRs to be presented for approval in the very first version of each Rel-17 specification. In the meantime, running CRs for Rel-17 WI should make use of the new terminology.
* CRs on inclusive language are Category D CRs, issued under TEI17 and using “*Inclusive Language Review for TS xx.xxx*” as title. Do not list “other specs affected” on the cover sheet. Reason for change can be coordinated amongst rapporteurs.
* Adopt the term *allow-list* to replace *white-list* and *Permitted CSG list* to replace *Allowed CSG list*.
* Adopt the term *exclude-list* to replace *black-list*.
* Adopt the terms *allow-listed* and *exclude-listed* to replace *white-listed* and *black-listed* respectively.

Since then a few LS have circulated:

- <R4-2115067> LS on Inclusive Language Review Status and Consistency Check

- [R3-214289](http://3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG3_Iu/TSGR3_113-e/Docs/R3-214289.zip) Reply LS on Inclusive Language for ANR

- [S5-216197](http://3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG5_TM/TSGS5_140e/Docs/S5-216197.zip) Reply LS on Inclusive language for ANR

- [S2-2107800](http://3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG2_Arch/TSGS2_147E_Electronic_2021-10/Docs/S2-2107800.zip) LS on use of inclusive terminology for Time Synchronization

It is the rapporteur’s understanding that they do not impact the earlier RAN2 agreements though.

# 4 Review

## 4.1 Inclusive Language in TS 36.300

Rapporteur CR was provided in :

R2-2203270 Inclusive Language Review for TS 36.300 Nokia (rapporteur) CR Rel-17 36.300 16.7.0 1333 2 D TEI17 R2-2101989

**Question 1**: Do companies agree with the rapporteur CR?

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Answers to Question 1 | | |
| Company | Yes/No | Technical Arguments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary 1**: TBD.

**Proposal 1**: TBD.

## 4.2 Inclusive Language in TS 36.304

Rapporteur CR was provided in :

R2-2203228 Inclusive language in 36.304 Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell CR Rel-17 36.304 16.6.0 0822 2 D TEI17 R2-2101990

**Question 2**: Do companies agree with the rapporteur CR?

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Answers to Question 2 | | |
| Company | Yes/No | Technical Arguments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary 2**: TBD.

**Proposal 2**: TBD.

## 4.3 Inclusive Language in TS 36.306

Rapporteur CR was provided in :

R2-2202227 Inclusive Language Review for TS 36.306 Motorola Mobility (Rapporteur) CR Rel-17 36.306 16.7.0 1835 - D TEI17

**Question 3**: Do companies agree with the rapporteur CR?

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Answers to Question 3 | | |
| Company | Yes/No | Technical Arguments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary 3**: TBD.

**Proposal 3**: TBD.

## 4.4 Inclusive Language in TS 36.331

Rapporteur CR was provided in :

R2-2202934 Inclusive language in TS36.331 Samsung (Rapporteur) CR Rel-17 36.331 16.7.0 4600 1 D TEI17 R2-2101988

**Question 4**: Do companies agree with the rapporteur CR?

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Answers to Question 4 | | |
| Company | Yes/No | Technical Arguments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary 4**: TBD.

**Proposal 4**: TBD.

## 4.5 Inclusive Language in TS 37.320

Rapporteur CR was provided in :

R2-2203399 Inclusive language in 37.320 Nokia (Rapporteur) CR Rel-17 37.320 16.7.0 0104 1 D TEI17 R2-2101991

**Question 5**: Do companies agree with the rapporteur CR?

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Answers to Question 5 | | |
| Company | Yes/No | Technical Arguments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary 5**: TBD.

**Proposal 5**: TBD.

## 4.6 Inclusive Language in TS 38.300

Rapporteur CR was provided in :

R2-2202217 Inclusive Language Review for TS 38.300 Nokia (Rapporteur) CR Rel-17 38.300 16.8.0 0401 - D TEI17

**Question 6**: Do companies agree with the rapporteur CR?

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Answers to Question 6 | | |
| Company | Yes/No | Technical Arguments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary 6**: TBD.

**Proposal 6**: TBD.

## 4.7 Inclusive Language in TS 38.304

Rapporteur CR was provided in :

R2-2202687 Inclusive language in TS38.304 Qualcomm Incorporated (Rapporteur) CR Rel-16 38.304 16.7.0 0204 1 D TEI17 R2-2102295

**Question 7**: Do companies agree with the rapporteur CR?

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Answers to Question 7 | | |
| Company | Yes/No | Technical Arguments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary 7**: TBD.

**Proposal 7**: TBD.

## 4.8 Inclusive Language in TS 38.306

Rapporteur CR was provided in :

R2-2202666 Inclusive Language Review for TS 38.306 Intel Corporation CR Rel-17 38.306 16.7.0 0686 - D TEI17

**Question 8**: Do companies agree with the rapporteur CR?

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Answers to Question 8 | | |
| Company | Yes/No | Technical Arguments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary 8**: TBD.

**Proposal 8**: TBD.

## 4.9 Inclusive Language in TS 38.331

Rapporteur CR was provided in :

R2-2203406 Inclusive language in TS 38.331 Ericsson CR Rel-17 38.331 16.7.0 2459 1 D TEI17 R2-2101987

**Question 9**: Do companies agree with the rapporteur CR?

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Answers to Question 9 | | |
| Company | Yes/No | Technical Arguments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary 9**: TBD.

**Proposal 9**: TBD.

# 4 Conclusion

TBD.