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# 1 Introduction

This document is a report of the following offline discussion:

* [AT117-e][042][MBS] Invited tdocs open Issues UP (Samsung)

 Scope: Take into account submitted tdocs. Address the FFS on CSI and SRS reporting due to MBS DRX, and from the updated OIlist: Small correction on RX\_DELIV formula to avoid HFN<0. Determine agreeable part, pave the way for on-line agreement.

 Intended outcome: Report

 Deadline: W1 Thursday (for online CB W1 Friday).

According to the Chairman’s guideline, this discussion covers the following issues:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| FFS to CSI and SRS reporting due to MBS DRX. | 5.7b | Company tdocs invited. |
| Small correction on RX\_DELIV formula to avoid HFN<0 | R2-2202301 | Others. Can be discussed based on proposals |

# 2 Contact Information

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Name** | **Email** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# 3 Discussion

## 3.1 DRX CSI and SRS reporting due to MBS DRX

The legacy DRX supports restrictions that UE skips some CSIs and SRS transmissions as follows (for detail, you can refer to clause 5.7 of TS 38.321):

* If a DRX group would not be in Active Time, the MAC entity shall not transmit periodic SRS and semi-persistent SRS defined in TS 38.214 in this DRX group and not report CSI on PUCCH and semi-persistent CSI configured on PUSCH in this DRX group.
* If CSI masking (*csi-Mask*) is setup and *drx-onDurationTimer* of a DRX group would not be running, the MAC entity shall not report CSI on PUCCH in this DRX group.
* The MAC entity transmits aperiodic CSI on PUSCH and aperiodic SRS, regardless of the PDCCH monitoring.

A main issue here is whether UE skip the CSIs and SRS transmissions, when the UE would 1) not be in unicast DRX’s Active Time 2) but be in Multicast DRX’s Active Time.

Looking at the submitted contributions, company views are split.

* **Option 1) Allow UE’s CSI reporting/SRS transmission during the Active Time of multicast DRX and/or during the running of *drx-onDurationTimerPTM*.**
	+ Better system performance of multicast transmission
	+ Only marginal specs effort is required
	+ NW will do the right decision of the scheduling based on CSI.
	+ meet the basic quality of service requirement

Contributions supporting Option 1:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Tdoc number** | **Source** | **Proposal** |
| R2-2202301 | Huawei, Qualcomm, HiSilicon | Proposal 1: Allow UE’s CSI reporting during the Active Time of multicast DRX and/or during the running of *drx-onDurationTimerPTM*. |
| R2-2202242 | OPPO | Proposal 1: the following text is proposed in section 5.7. |
| R2-2202333 | MediaTek | Proposal 1: The conditions for not reporting CSI/SRS will not be added to multicast DRX in MAC running CR (i.e. section 5.7b) |
| R2-2202799 | Futurewei | Proposal 1: In MBS only scenario, the UE can simply follow the rule of no transmission of CSI-report/SRS at MBS DRX.Proposal 2: As long as there is a service is not in DRX regardless it is unicast service or MBS, the UE sends CSI-report/SRS. The UE only stops CSI-report/SRS transmission when both unicast and MBS are in DRX. |
| R2-2203311 | ZTE, Sanechips | Proposal 1. CSI/SRS report in MBS DRX Active Time might be enabled to assist network in the scheduling of the MBS transmission.Proposal 2. The principle of CSI/SRS reporting transmission in MBS DRX follows with legacy DRX. |

* **Option 2) UE’s CSI reporting/SRS transmission is not affected by Multicast DRX.**
	+ Considering multiple DRX patterns, Option 1 will complicate UE behavior unnecessarily.
	+ For multicast scenario, network scheduling decision is not just based on single UE. The impact of lacking some UE’s CSI reporting is not so serious.
	+ Scheduling updating in PTM mode is not so dynamic
	+ Option 1 increases UE power consumption
	+ LTE SC-PTM DRX does not impact the reporting of CSI and SRS.
	+ For dynamic PTM to PTP switch, PTP leg is configured and CSI can be reported based on unicast/PTP DRX.

Contributions supporting Option 2:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Tdoc number** | **Source** | **Proposal** |
| R2-2202268 | CATT, CBN | Proposal 1: SRS/CSI reporting is not considered in MBS DRX pattern. |
| R2-2202278 | NEC | Proposal 1: CSI/SRS reporting during MBS DRX can follow unicast DRX mechanism, which means no additional spec is expected (i.e. the similar text about CSI/SRS in unicast DRX should not be captured in MAC running CR for MBS DRX). |
| R2-2202425 | Spreadtrum | Proposal 1: CSI and SRS reporting due to MBS DRX is not considered. |
| R2-2202554 | Apple | Proposal 5: The CSI and SRS transmission during the DRX active time is same as legacy.  |
| R2-2202624 | CMCC | Proposal 1: RAN2 confirms that CSI reporting and SRS transmission only occur in legacy unicast DRX group, rather than both in the unicast DRX group in the MBS DRX group. |
| R2-2202642 | Intel | Proposal 1: CSI / SRS operation is not affected by MBS DRX. There is no specification impact. |
| R2-2202683 | Samsung | Proposal 1. CSI reporting and SRS transmission are independent of Multicast DRX. (No specification change) |
| R2-2203121 | Xiaomi | Proposal: MBS DRX does not impact the reporting of CSI or SRS, same as LTE SC-PTM. No specification change is needed. |
| R2-2203156 | LGE | Proposal 1. MBS DRX does not impact on CSI and SRS reporting (i.e. no spec. impact). |

To provide a way-forward, the rapporteur would like to ask more companies’ view.

**Q1) Please provide your view.**

* **Option 1) Allow UE’s CSI reporting/SRS transmission during the Active Time of multicast DRX and/or during the running of *drx-onDurationTimerPTM*.**
* **Option 2) UE’s CSI reporting/SRS transmission is not affected by Multicast DRX.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Option** | **Comment** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

If RAN2 agrees Option 1, text change in clause 5.7 is expected. The following TPs were provided:

|  |
| --- |
| R2-2202301 (Huawei, Qualcomm, HiSilicon)2> in current symbol n, if a DRX group would not be in Active Time considering grants/assignments scheduled on Serving Cell(s) in this DRX group and DRX Command MAC CE/Long DRX Command MAC CE received and Scheduling Request sent until 4 ms prior to symbol n when evaluating all DRX Active Time conditions as specified in this clause; and2> in current symbol n, if multicast DRX would not be in Active Time considering multicast grants/assignments when evaluating all DRX Active Time conditions as specified in Clause 5.7b:3> not transmit periodic SRS and semi-persistent SRS defined in TS 38.214 [7] in this DRX group;3> not report CSI on PUCCH and semi-persistent CSI configured on PUSCH in this DRX group.2> if CSI masking (*csi-Mask*) is setup by upper layers:3> in current symbol n, if *drx-onDurationTimer* of a DRX group would not be running considering grants/assignments scheduled on Serving Cell(s) in this DRX group and DRX Command MAC CE/Long DRX Command MAC CE received until 4 ms prior to symbol n when evaluating all DRX Active Time conditions as specified in this clause; and3> in current symbol n, if *drx-onDurationTimerPTM* would not be running considering grants/assignments when evaluating all DRX Active Time conditions as specified in Clause 5.7b:4> not report CSI on PUCCH in this DRX group.NOTE 4: If a UE multiplexes a CSI configured on PUCCH with other overlapping UCI(s) according to the procedure specified in TS 38.213 [6] clause 9.2.5 and this CSI multiplexed with other UCI(s) would be reported on a PUCCH resource either outside DRX Active Time of the DRX group in which this PUCCH is configured and multicast DRX or outside the on-duration period of the DRX group in which this PUCCH is configured and multicast DRX if CSI masking is setup by upper layers, it is up to UE implementation whether to report this CSI multiplexed with other UCI(s). |
| R2-2202242 (OPPO)1> if DCP monitoring is configured for the active DL BWP as specified in TS 38.213 [6], clause 10.3; and1> if the current symbol n occurs within *drx-onDurationTimer* duration; and1> if *drx-onDurationTimer* associated with the current DRX cycle is not started as specified in this clause and clause 5.7b:2> if the MAC entity would not be in Active Time considering grants/assignments/DRX Command MAC CE/Long DRX Command MAC CE received and Scheduling Request sent until 4 ms prior to symbol n when evaluating all DRX Active Time conditions as specified in this clause and clause 5.7b:3> not transmit periodic SRS and semi-persistent SRS defined in TS 38.214 [7];3> not report semi-persistent CSI configured on PUSCH;3> if *ps-TransmitPeriodicL1-RSRP* is not configured with value *true*:4> not report periodic CSI that is L1-RSRP on PUCCH.3> if *ps-TransmitOtherPeriodicCSI* is not configured with value *true*:4> not report periodic CSI that is not L1-RSRP on PUCCH.1> else:2> in current symbol n, if a DRX group would not be in Active Time considering grants/assignments scheduled on Serving Cell(s) in this DRX group and DRX Command MAC CE/Long DRX Command MAC CE received and Scheduling Request sent until 4 ms prior to symbol n when evaluating all DRX Active Time conditions as specified in this clause and clause 5.7b:3> not transmit periodic SRS and semi-persistent SRS defined in TS 38.214 [7] in this DRX group;3> not report CSI on PUCCH and semi-persistent CSI configured on PUSCH in this DRX group.2> if CSI masking (*csi-Mask*) is setup by upper layers:3> in current symbol n, if *drx-onDurationTimer* of a DRX group would not be running considering grants/assignments scheduled on Serving Cell(s) in this DRX group and DRX Command MAC CE/Long DRX Command MAC CE received until 4 ms prior to symbol n when evaluating all DRX Active Time conditions as specified in this clause and clause 5.7b; and4> not report CSI on PUCCH in this DRX group. |
| R2-2202683 (Samsung)1> if DCP monitoring is configured for the active DL BWP as specified in TS 38.213 [6], clause 10.3; and1> if the current symbol n occurs within *drx-onDurationTimer* duration; and1> if *drx-onDurationTimer* associated with the current DRX cycle is not started as specified in this clause:2> if the MAC entity would not be in Active Time considering grants/assignments/DRX Command MAC CE/Long DRX Command MAC CE received and Scheduling Request sent until 4 ms prior to symbol n when evaluating all DRX Active Time conditions as specified in this clause, and the MAC entity would not be in Multicast DRX’s Active Time defined in clause 5.7b:3> not transmit periodic SRS and semi-persistent SRS defined in TS 38.214 [7];3> not report semi-persistent CSI configured on PUSCH;3> if *ps-TransmitPeriodicL1-RSRP* is not configured with value *true*:4> not report periodic CSI that is L1-RSRP on PUCCH.3> if *ps-TransmitOtherPeriodicCSI* is not configured with value *true*:4> not report periodic CSI that is not L1-RSRP on PUCCH.1> else:2> in current symbol n, if a DRX group would not be in Active Time considering grants/assignments scheduled on Serving Cell(s) in this DRX group and DRX Command MAC CE/Long DRX Command MAC CE received and Scheduling Request sent until 4 ms prior to symbol n when evaluating all DRX Active Time conditions as specified in this clause, and the MAC entity would not be in Multicast DRX’s Active Time defined in clause 5.7b:3> not transmit periodic SRS and semi-persistent SRS defined in TS 38.214 [7] in this DRX group;3> not report CSI on PUCCH and semi-persistent CSI configured on PUSCH in this DRX group. |

Those TPs proposed similar changes but a difference is whether the procedure upon DCP monitoring is applicable for Multicast DRX. OPPO/Samsung TP assumes DCP monitoring, whereas Huawei/Qualcomm TP does not.

**Q2) Please provide your view, assuming that Option 1 in Q1 is agreed.**

* **Option A) DCP monitoring/WUS is not configured when Multicast DRX is configured. (similar to R2-2202301)**
* **Option B) DCP monitoring/WUS can be configured when Multicast DRX is configured. *drx-onDurationTimerPTM* may not be started by DCP monitoring/WUS. (similar to R2-2202242)**
* **Option C) DCP monitoring/WUS can be configured when Multicast DRX is configured. *drx-onDurationTimerPTM* is always started regardless of DCP monitoring/WUS. (similar to R2-2202683)**
* **Option D) Other (please add)**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Option** | **Comment**  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
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|  |  |  |
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|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 3.2 Small correction on RX\_DELIV formula to avoid HFN<0

A negative HFN value occurs once the SN of first received packet is smaller than 0.5 × 2[PDCP-SN-Size–1] and the configured HFN is 0. One way to avoid this problem is to always configure the initial HFN>0 by the network, but for lossless handover with PDCN SN synchronization, RAN3 already agreed to introduce a 32 bit “MBS QFI SN” to guide the gNB on the HFN and SN allocation as below:

|  |
| --- |
| **RAN3 agreements:****1) introduce a new 32bits “MBS QFI SN” in 38.415.** **1-1) CN shall include the MBS QFI SN for all the Qos flows for MBS services.****2) Sync in terms of QoS flow to MRB mapping among NG-RAN nodes is achieved by network implementation.** |

Thus, it may be difficult for the network to avoid a negative HFN value during initialization by configuring a large initial HFN. [R2-2202301] proposed to set RX\_DELIV = 0 when the negative HFN is expected, i.e.

RX\_DELIV = MAX (0, COUNT(x) - 0.5 × 2[*PDCP-SN-Size*–1]), where x is the SN of the first received PDCP Data PDU

**Q3) Do companies support the following proposal for the negative HFN issue?**

**Proposal: Change the RX\_DELIV formula as: RX\_DELIV = MAX (0, COUNT(x) - 0.5 × 2[*PDCP-SN-Size*–1]), where x is the SN of the first received PDCP Data PDU.**

* **Option 1) Yes**
* **Option 2) No (NW implementation can avoid HFN<0 by configuration of initial HFN.)**
* **Option 3) No (prefer other solution, please add.)**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Option** | **Comment**  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# 4 Conclusion
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