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# 1 Introduction

Following e-mail discussion was agreed to progress on potential agreements related to UE capabilities for IoT-NTN.

* [AT116bis-e][047][IoT-NTN] UE capabilities (Nokia)

Scope: Take into account proposals of documents submitted under 9.2.5, find agreements if possible (can agree offline), identify open points. This discussion is offline only.

Intended outcome: Report

Deadline: EOM

In this discussion paper, company views submitted in the document for 9.2.5 related to different aspects of UE capabilities are analysed for proposals having consensus across documents and include questions on company views for different proposals.

# 2 Discussion

## 2.1 User Plane Capability

The company views presented in the submitted documents for UE capabilities related to user plane aspects can be divided into essential user plane capabilities and optional user plane capabilities. Summary of views on these aspects are given below.

**Essential user plane plane capabilities**

* **Features related to RACH procedure enhancements.**
  + The features needed for RACH procedure to work for IoT NTN connectivity can be considered as mandatory. TA-pre-compensation, RAR window adjustments and MAC contention resolution timer adjustments are essential for RACH access procedure for IoT-NTN [1] [5].
  + As per [3] TA Pre-compensation is essential UP functionality for IoT-NTN.
* **Connected mode Timer adjustments** 
  + For connected mode user plane operation the timer adjustments RLC t-Reordering timer and PDCP discardTimer (for eMTC) are basic features for NTN connectivity [1].
  + PDCP-Discard-Timer changes are mandatory [5]. Re-ordering timer and SR-Prohibit-Timer changes are optional.
  + All timer adjustments at RLC/MAC/PDCP layers are mandatory user plane capability [6] [7].

**Optional user plane plane capabilities**

* TA reporting in connected mode will improve the connected mode operation. This can be indicated as optional in capability via ***UECapabilityInformation*** and ***UECapabilityInformation-NB*** messages. TA reporting related features are indicated as separate capabilities [5] [6].
* Timer adjustment related to PUR functionality can be considered as optional UE capability [7] [3].

Based on the above views we suggest the following proposals for offline discussion and agreement.

**Proposal 1: TA Pre-compenstation , RAR Window adjustments and MAC contention resolution Timer adjustments are essential user plane capabilities for IoT-NTN for RACH procedure.**

**Q1. Do companies agree on P1 as mandatory user plane capability for RACH enhancements. (Yes/No/Additional comments)**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Views (Yes/No/Additional comments) |
| Ericsson | Yes |
| MediaTek | Yes |
| Spreadtrum | Yes |
| Intel | Yes |

**Proposal 2: Timer adjustments for PDCP/RLC/MAC for NTN operation are part of mandatory User plane capabilities. (Yes/No/ comments).**

**Q2. Do companies agree on P2 as mandatory user plane capability for timer adjustments for user plane operation**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Views (Yes/No/ if No specific timer to be excluded from mandatory list and reason) |
| Ericsson | Yes |
| MediaTek | Yes |
| Spreadtrum | Yes |
| Intel | Yes |

**Proposal 3 : TA Reporting and PUR Timer adjustments are optional UE capabilities.**

**Q3. Do companies agree on P3 related to optional UE capability for user plane.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Views (Yes/No/Additional comments) |
| Ericsson | Yes |
| MediaTek | Yes |
| Spreadtrum | Yes |
| Intel | Yes |

## 2.2 Control Plane Capability

Following are the analysis and proposals related to mandatory and optional control plane capabilities for IoT-NTN

**Essential control plane capabilities**

* Support for soft TAC update related functionality and acquisition of new SIB should be considered as basic functionality needed for IoT-NTN connectivity. [1] [5].

**Optional control plane capabilities**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Support of non-continuous coverage | [1] [5] [6] |
| Cell Reselection based on stop Timer | [1] [6] |
| CHO for NTN | [6] |

**GNSS Capability**

[1] and [7] proposes that GNSS capability is part of basic IoT-NTN capability.

Based on the above views following are the proposals for further offline discussion and agreements.

**Proposal 4: Soft TA Procedure support .new SIB acquisition and GNSS capability are mandatory UE capabilities for control plane.**

**Q4. Do companies agree on P4 on mandatory control plane capabilities**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Views (Yes/No/Additional comments) |
| Ericsson | Yes, these should all be mandatory. GNSS capability is already in the WID, new SIB acquisition is crucial to access cell and without mandatory support of soft TA it would be very challenging for network to control idle mode mobility. |
| MediaTek | Soft TA procedure: Could be mandatory only for LEO (NGSO), but not for GEO. |
| Spreadtrum | Yes, agree with Ericsson. |
| Intel | Yes |

**Proposal 5: Support for discontinuous coverage, cell reselection based on Time and CHO for eMTC are optional control plane capabilities.**

**Q5. Do companies agree on P4 on optional control plane capabilities**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Views (Yes/No/if no indicate specific capability to be excluded from the mandatory list) |
| Ericsson | Yes to cell reselection based on time.  For discontinuous coverage we will have to discuss what this actually means. A start would be to at least support reading the discontinuous coverage-related information elements.  For CHO we assume that it is not needed as there are already CHO capability bits and we have not discussed any NTN-specific changes. If we are to add this then we need to have a serious discussion on which one of the CHO capability bits (there are 4 currently) that should be applicable for eMTC and we think it is too late in this release to start this discussion. So our opinion is not to have capability for CHO for eMTC. |
| MediaTek | Agree with Ericsson. For discontinuous coverage we need to complete discussion first. |
| Spreadtrum | The cell reselection based on time can be treated as optional capability.  But for CHO, since it has no enhancement for CHO in Rel-17. Therefore, it is not necessary to have this capability. |
| Intel | “discontinuous coverage, cell reselection based on Time” are related to idle UE operations, it seems no UE capability bits need to be defined.  Ok to consider CHO for eMTC as optional UE capability |

[7] proposes to include location reporting as mandatory capability for IoT-NTN. In [3] specific features of location reporting should be considered as mandatory UE capability. As there are no specific views from other companies on this proposal, we propose to get further views on this capability through following question.

**Q6: Should location reporting mandatory capability for IoT-NTN ?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Views (Yes/No/Additional comments) |
| Ericsson | Yes. The details of the solution is currently being discussed. But we believe whatever solution is being introduced should be made mandatory. |
| MediaTek | No. It is not even discussed yet. Discussions and agreements need to be completed before introducing capabilities. |
| Spreadtrum | No. Agree with MediaTek. |
| Intel | This can be postponed after we have concrete consensus. |

## 2.3 Signalling message changes for IoT-NTN capabilities

**Single Capability for IoT-NTN mandatory Features**

General capability for IoT-NTN is introduced. If this capability is indicated the essential features of IoT-NTN are considered as supported. [6] [3] [1].

**Proposal 6: General capability for IoT-NTN is introduced comprising of all mandatory IoT-NTN UE capabilities discussed in P1,P2 and P4.**

**Q7: Do companies agree (P6) for single IoT-NTN capability for all mandatory IoT-NTN capabilities ?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Views (Yes/No/Additional comments) |
| Ericsson | Yes. We assume that this can be merged with the capability that RAN1 has introduced for IoT NTN support. |
| MediaTek | Yes. |
| Spreadtrum | Yes. |
| Intel | Yes |

**New Parameter to indicate IoT Feature applicability for NTN**

In [2], it is proposed to introduce new EUTRA-IoT-NTN capability to indicate support of existing TN feature applicability for NTN. This new information element is beneficial for UE to indicate support level of basic IoT functionality for NTN connectivity seperately.

Analysis in [4] indicate that the UE knows whether it camp onto TN or NTN cell. Hence indicating capability seperately for IoT-NTN is not needed. The discussion on separate capability can be postponed until RAN4 definition for IoT-NTN operation.

We propose to get views of other companies on this issue in Q8.

**Q8: Do company see need for separate parameter to indicate applicability of existing IoT features for NTN connectivity?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Views (Yes/No/Additional comments) |
| Ericsson | No. We would assume that if there are specific adaptations to features that are special to NTN, these would need a separate capability bit, for instance extension of pur-ResponseWindow as discussed above. We do not support creating separate NTN capabilities for all applicable IoT features. |
| MediaTek | No |
| Spreadtrum | No |
| Intel | No |

## **2.4 Others**

Following are the proposals which are related to additional aspects which are not directly related to the decision on the RAN2 feature set for IoT UE capabilities.

* Segment duration configuration for large repetition was agreed as RAN1 functionality for IoT-NTN. This should be considered as mandatory UE capability [4].
* Discuss whether CHO feature introduced for eMTC-NTN is applicable for TN also [6].

We propose to further discuss these proposals in online discussion as these proposals require further discussion.

# 3 Summary

Will be updated based on answers to the above questions.
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