3GPP TSG-RAN WG2 Meeting #116bis Electronic R2-220xxxx

Online, 17 – 25 Jan 2022

**Agenda item: 8.24.1**

**Source: Huawei, HiSilicon**

**Title: Summary of [AT116bis-e][033][NR17] (Huawei)**

**Document for: Discussion and Decision**

# 1 Introduction

This document is the summary report of the following offline discussion:

* [AT116bis-e][033][NR17] (Huawei)

Scope: Treat R2-2200086, R2-2201341, R2-2201502, R2-2201503, R2-2201504. Determine agreeable parts, identify parts for online CB.

Intended outcome: 1 Report, 2 Reply LS, Draft CRs if applicable.

Deadline: 1 On-Line CB Thu W1, 2 EOM

# 2 Contact Points

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Name | Email Address |
| Ericsson | Antonino Orsino | antonino.orsino@gmail.com |
| ZTE | Mengjie Zhang | zhang.mengjie@zte.com.cn |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Rui Wang | wangrui46@huawei.com |
| Nokia | Chunli Wu | Chunli.wu@nokia-sbell.com |
| OPPO | ZhongdaDu | duzhongda@oppo.com |
| Apple | Naveen Palle | naveen.palle@apple.com |
| MediaTek | Felix Tsai | Chun-fan.tsai@mediatek.com |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# 3 Phase I Discussion

As requested by RAN4, RAN2 discussed the beam information reporting for unknown PUCCH SCell activation in RAN2 #116 meeting, and made the following agreements.

* RAN2 understand the existing RAN2 signalling can allow configuration of CSI reporting of PUCCH SCell over the PCell, and whether UE can report CSI of PUCCH SCell on PCell mainly depends on RAN1.
* RAN2 specifications do not differentiate known/unknown SCell, but RAN2 understand that if the CSI reporting of PUCCH SCell over the PCell is concluded as supported in RAN1, the cases asked by RAN4 can be supported.
* Chair: RAN2 hasn’t looked at other solutions yet. Wait for RAN1 to determine if this is needed. We don’t send Reply LS (now). We wait for RAN1.

In this meeting, several contributions discuss the potential RAN2 spec impact based on RAN1 LS in R2-2200086 from the following aspects:

* Cross-PUCCH group CSI reporting
* Other RAN2 solutions to support unknown PUCCH SCell activation

## 3.1 Cross-PUCCH group CSI reporting

As indicated in RAN1 LS R2-2200086, there is no restriction in the current RAN1 specification that would not allow UE to report CSI of a SCell belonging to secondary/primary PUCCH group by PUSCH or PUCCH of active serving cells belonging to primary/secondary PUCCH group. But there is no RAN1 consensus on whether all UEs supporting NR-CA with dual PUCCH-groups for the BC support such CSI report in Rel-15 and Rel-16. Support of such CSI report is indicated in Rel-17 with a new UE capability.

Regarding the detailed UE capability reporting, R2-2201341 propose to introduce this capability from Rel-16 and the UE supporting PUCCH SCell should be mandated to report such capability; R2-2201502 propose this capability should be a per-UE level capability. Companies are welcome to give comments on the above proposals.

**Question 1: Do companies agree to introduce the capability of cross PUCCH group CSI reporting from Rel-16 as proposed in R2-2201341?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comments |
| Ericsson | No strong view | We agree to introduce a capability as indicated by the reply LS sent by RAN1. However, whether to have it directly from Rel-16 or from Rel-17 we do not have actually a strong view. Nevertheless, if we decide to reuse the current RRC signalling for this feature, probably having it from Rel-16 makes sense. |
| ZTE | Yes | We think it’s also fine to introduce the capability from Rel-16 if we decide to reuse the current RRC signalling for cross PUCCH group CSI reporting. |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | See comments | In general, we are ok to introduce this capability from Rel-16, although it is not the same release indicated in RAN1 LS.  However, considering Rel-16 has been frozen for a long time, it seems not a good idea to mandate a Rel-16 UE capability. In this sense, if RAN2 is about to introduce this capability from Rel-16, at least in Rel-16 this capability is optional, not conditional mandatory. |
| Nokia | Yes |  |
| OPPO | No | Even there is no restriction from RAN1 point of view, we still think such configuration in RRC will cause more confusion in RAN2 spec. In 38.300 section 10.6, it says “…SCells of secondary PUCCH group (a group of SCells whose PUCCH  signalling is associated with the PUCCH on the PUCCH SCell)…”  so if the CSI of one SCell belonging to primary PUCCH group can be reported via PUCCH on 2nd PUCCH SCell, it means this serving cell is associated with PUCCH SCell i.e. it belongs to 2nd PUCCH group too. If CSI of PUCCH SCell can be reported via PUCCH of SPCell, it also mean PUCCH SCell belongs to primary PUCCH group which is very weird since it belongs to 2nd PUCCH group for sure.  So we prefer not to introduce such capability. Instead we think a solution based on MAC CE BFR is sufficient. |
| Apple | No | We do not think this needs to be introduced from Rel-16 itself. Rel-17 can carry this. |
| MediaTek | No | We prefer to introduce this capability from Rel-17. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Question 2: Do companies agree that the capability of cross PUCCH group CSI reporting should be conditional mandatory for** **the UEs supporting PUCCH SCell as proposed in R2-2201341?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comments |
| Ericsson | Yes |  |
| ZTE | Yes | We think supporting cross PUCCH group CSI reporting for PUCCH SCell activation is enough. So having a conditional mandatory UE capability can avoid introducing another solutions, which have significant spec impact. |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | See comments | For Rel-17 and later releases, conditional mandatory/optional are both fine to us.  For earlier release, it should be optional if introduced. |
| Nokia | Yes |  |
| OPPO | No | Please refer to answer to Q1 |
| Apple | No | PUCCH SCell feature has been with us without this, so we do not understand why this has to be conditionally mandatory for Rel-17. As we commented to Q1, NWs would have to deal with Rel-16 UEs which have not implemented this and so NWs should be able to deal with such UEs without depending on the UEs to implement this. |
| MediaTek | No strong view | We don’t really think this is necessary as commented by Apple. But it also fine to make it conditional mandatory if majority prefers. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Question 3: Do companies agree that the capability of cross PUCCH group CSI reporting should be per-UE level as proposed in R2-2201502?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comments |
| Ericsson | Yes |  |
| ZTE | Yes |  |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Yes |  |
| Nokia | Yes |  |
| OPPO | No | Please refer to answer to Q1 |
| Apple | No | RAN2 cannot simply decide this, need input from RAN1/4 |
| MediaTek | Yes, but | We prefer to check with R4 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

As discussed in RAN2 #116 meeting, it has been already confirmed that the existing RAN2 signalling can allow configuration of CSI reporting of PUCCH SCell over the PCell. In this sense, no extra RAN2 work required to configure the cross-PUCCH group CSI reporting.

**Question 3.1: Do companies agree that the existing RRC signalling is enough to configure UE to report CSI of a SCell belonging to secondary/primary PUCCH group by PUSCH or PUCCH of active serving cells belonging to primary/secondary PUCCH group?**

If the answer is no, please indicate what the explicit RAN2 signalling would be.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comments |
| ZTE | Yes |  |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Yes |  |
| Nokia | Yes | UE capability needs to be added though. |
| Ericsson | Yes |  |
| OPPO |  | Technically yes, but we think it is not the right way to go |
| Apple | Yes | Technically yes. We assume NW acts after it knows the UE capability. |
| MediaTek | Yes |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 3.2 Other RAN2 solutions

The following options were briefly discussed in the previous RAN2 meeting in case the cross PUCCH group CSI reporting cannot be supported:

* Option 1: to report beam information via BFR-like MAC CE which would indicate the candidate beam information
* Option 2: CBRA on SCell discussed in RAN4 without requiring beam information for the PUCCH SCell

In R2-2201341/R2-2201502, the potential RAN2 impact to support the above two solutions are given. For option1, the main spec impact would be defining the beam reporting in MAC which is very similar with BFR; while for option2, the spec impact would be significant since the RAR for RA on SCell is sent on PCell and the UE is currently not required to monitor CSS of the SCell. Then in case cross-PUCCH group CSI reporting is not conditional mandatory for the UEs supporting PUCCH SCell, the simpler RAN2 solution between option 1 and option 2 can be considered if spec impact is clear and manageable.

**Question 4: Do companies agree to support option1, i.e. beam information reporting via MAC CE, if cross-PUCCH group CSI reporting is not conditional mandatory for the UEs supporting PUCCH SCell?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comments |
| Ericsson | No | As already clarified by RAN1, there is no restriction in the current RAN1 specification that would not allow UE to report CSI of a SCell belonging to secondary/primary PUCCH group by PUSCH or PUCCH of active serving cells belonging to primary/secondary PUCCH group. We think that current signalling is enough and we do not need any additional solution for it.  This is also in line with the agreements taken by RAN2 in the last meeting:   * RAN2 understand the existing RAN2 signalling can allow configuration of CSI reporting of PUCCH SCell over the PCell, and whether UE can report CSI of PUCCH SCell on PCell mainly depends on RAN1. * RAN2 specifications do not differentiate known/unknown SCell, but RAN2 understand that if the CSI reporting of PUCCH SCell over the PCell is concluded as supported in RAN1, the cases asked by RAN4 can be supported. |
| ZTE | No | We think supporting cross PUCCH group CSI reporting for PUCCH SCell activation is enough. No additional solution is needed. |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | (Proponent)  Yes | The intention is to provide a simpler RAN2 solution in case cross-PUCCH group CSI reporting cannot be supported by some UEs.  As shown in the MAC CR and RRC CR, the changes are quite straightforward and no RAN1 impact. Thus we think it could be specified in Rel-17 as a candidate solution to report beam information in case the cross PUCCH group CSI reporting is not mandatory supported. |
| Nokia | - | Depends on the outcome of Q2 whether all the UEs supporting PUCCH SCell supports cross cell group CSI reporting. |
| OPPO | Yes | We also support solution based on MAC CE, but we disagree with the detail solution from Huawei. We think it is sufficient to reuse current MAC CE BFR. The only necessary change is to add the way to trigger and cancel BFR due to activation of PUCCH SCell. Please find detail CR in R2-2109659. |
| Apple | No | We can just go with capability/configuration methods as discussed above. |
| MediaTek | No |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Question 5: If yes to Q4, any comments on the draft CRs to MAC and RRC spec as in R2-2201504 and R2-2201505?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comments |
| Nokia | Enough to reuse BFR MAC CE and trigger BFR for the PUCCH SCell upon activation of the PUCCH SCell. No need to introduce new procedure and new MAC CE. |
| OPPO | As comments before these two CRs are not necessary. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Question 6: Do companies agree to support option2, i.e. CBRA is enabled on unknown PUCCH SCell without requiring beam information, if cross-PUCCH group CSI reporting is not conditional mandatory for the UEs supporting PUCCH SCell?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comments |
| Ericsson | No | As already clarified by RAN1, there is no restriction in the current RAN1 specification that would not allow UE to report CSI of a SCell belonging to secondary/primary PUCCH group by PUSCH or PUCCH of active serving cells belonging to primary/secondary PUCCH group. We think that current signalling is enough and we do not need any additional solution for it.  This is also in line with the agreements taken by RAN2 in the last meeting:   * RAN2 understand the existing RAN2 signalling can allow configuration of CSI reporting of PUCCH SCell over the PCell, and whether UE can report CSI of PUCCH SCell on PCell mainly depends on RAN1. * RAN2 specifications do not differentiate known/unknown SCell, but RAN2 understand that if the CSI reporting of PUCCH SCell over the PCell is concluded as supported in RAN1, the cases asked by RAN4 can be supported. |
| ZTE | No | We think supporting cross PUCCH group CSI reporting for PUCCH SCell activation is enough. No additional solution is needed. |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | No | We feel the solution has much open issues which cannot be easily concluded in Rel-17. |
| Nokia | - | No big standardization impact as long as the RA resources for PCell and PUCCH SCell results in different RA-RNTI. |
| OPPO | No |  |
| Apple | No | We can just go with capability/configuration methods as discussed above. |
| MediaTek | No |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

RAN4 and RAN1 should be informed with the above RAN2 agreements concerning the UE capability design of cross-PUCCH group CSI reporting and whether to support other RAN2 solutions in Rel-17. The content would be discussed in phase II.

# 4 Conclusion

TBD
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