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# 1 Introduction

This document is the summary report of the following offline discussion:

* [AT116bis-e][033][NR17] (Huawei)

 Scope: Treat R2-2200086, R2-2201341, R2-2201502, R2-2201503, R2-2201504. Determine agreeable parts, identify parts for online CB.

 Intended outcome: 1 Report, 2 Reply LS, Draft CRs if applicable.

 Deadline: 1 On-Line CB Thu W1, 2 EOM

# 2 Contact Points

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Name | Email Address |
| Ericsson | Antonino Orsino | antonino.orsino@gmail.com |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# 3 Phase I Discussion

As requested by RAN4, RAN2 discussed the beam information reporting for unknown PUCCH SCell activation in RAN2 #116 meeting, and made the following agreements.

* RAN2 understand the existing RAN2 signalling can allow configuration of CSI reporting of PUCCH SCell over the PCell, and whether UE can report CSI of PUCCH SCell on PCell mainly depends on RAN1.
* RAN2 specifications do not differentiate known/unknown SCell, but RAN2 understand that if the CSI reporting of PUCCH SCell over the PCell is concluded as supported in RAN1, the cases asked by RAN4 can be supported.
* Chair: RAN2 hasn’t looked at other solutions yet. Wait for RAN1 to determine if this is needed. We don’t send Reply LS (now). We wait for RAN1.

In this meeting, several contributions discuss the potential RAN2 spec impact based on RAN1 LS in R2-2200086 from the following aspects:

* Cross-PUCCH group CSI reporting
* Other RAN2 solutions to support unknown PUCCH SCell activation

## 3.1 Cross-PUCCH group CSI reporting

As indicated in RAN1 LS R2-2200086, there is no restriction in the current RAN1 specification that would not allow UE to report CSI of a SCell belonging to secondary/primary PUCCH group by PUSCH or PUCCH of active serving cells belonging to primary/secondary PUCCH group. But there is no RAN1 consensus on whether all UEs supporting NR-CA with dual PUCCH-groups for the BC support such CSI report in Rel-15 and Rel-16. Support of such CSI report is indicated in Rel-17 with a new UE capability.

Regarding the detailed UE capability reporting, R2-2201341 propose to introduce this capability from Rel-16 and the UE supporting PUCCH SCell should be mandated to report such capability; R2-2201502 propose this capability should be a per-UE level capability. Companies are welcome to give comments on the above proposals.

**Question 1: Do companies agree to introduce the capability of cross PUCCH group CSI reporting from Rel-16 as proposed in R2-2201341?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comments |
| Ericsson | No strong view | We agree to introduce a capability as indicated by the reply LS sent by RAN1. However, whether to have it directly from Rel-16 or from Rel-17 we do not have actually a strong view. Nevertheless, if we decide to reuse the current RRC signalling for this feature, probably having it from Rel-16 makes sense. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Question 2: Do companies agree that the capability of cross PUCCH group CSI reporting should be conditional mandatory for** **the UEs supporting PUCCH SCell as proposed in R2-2201341?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comments |
| Ericsson | Yes |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Question 3: Do companies agree that the capability of cross PUCCH group CSI reporting should be per-UE level as proposed in R2-2201502?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comments |
| Ericsson | Yes |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 3.2 Other RAN2 solutions

The following options were briefly discussed in the previous RAN2 meeting:

* Option 1: to report beam information via BFR-like MAC CE which would indicate the candidate beam information
* Option 2: CBRA on SCell discussed in RAN4 without requiring beam information for the PUCCH SCell

In R2-2201341/R2-2201502, the potential RAN2 impact to support the above two solutions are given. For option1, the main spec impact would be defining the beam reporting in MAC which is very similar with BFR; while for option2, the spec impact would be significant since the RAR for RA on SCell is sent on PCell and the UE is currently not required to monitor CSS of the SCell. Then in case cross-PUCCH group CSI reporting is not conditional mandatory for the UEs supporting PUCCH SCell, the simpler RAN2 solution between option 1 and option 2 can be considered if spec impact is clear and manageable.

**Question 4: Do companies agree to support option1, i.e. beam information reporting via MAC CE, if cross-PUCCH group CSI reporting is not conditional mandatory for the UEs supporting PUCCH SCell?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comments |
| Ericsson | No | As already clarified by RAN1, there is no restriction in the current RAN1 specification that would not allow UE to report CSI of a SCell belonging to secondary/primary PUCCH group by PUSCH or PUCCH of active serving cells belonging to primary/secondary PUCCH group. We think that current signalling is enough and we do not need any additional solution for it.This is also in line with the agreements taken by RAN2 in the last meeting:* RAN2 understand the existing RAN2 signalling can allow configuration of CSI reporting of PUCCH SCell over the PCell, and whether UE can report CSI of PUCCH SCell on PCell mainly depends on RAN1.
* RAN2 specifications do not differentiate known/unknown SCell, but RAN2 understand that if the CSI reporting of PUCCH SCell over the PCell is concluded as supported in RAN1, the cases asked by RAN4 can be supported.
 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Question 5: If yes to Q4, any comments on the draft CRs to MAC and RRC spec as in R2-2201504 and R2-2201504?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comments |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Question 6: Do companies agree to support option2, i.e. CBRA is enabled on unknown PUCCH SCell without requiring beam information, if cross-PUCCH group CSI reporting is not conditional mandatory for the UEs supporting PUCCH SCell?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comments |
| Ericsson | No | As already clarified by RAN1, there is no restriction in the current RAN1 specification that would not allow UE to report CSI of a SCell belonging to secondary/primary PUCCH group by PUSCH or PUCCH of active serving cells belonging to primary/secondary PUCCH group. We think that current signalling is enough and we do not need any additional solution for it.This is also in line with the agreements taken by RAN2 in the last meeting:* RAN2 understand the existing RAN2 signalling can allow configuration of CSI reporting of PUCCH SCell over the PCell, and whether UE can report CSI of PUCCH SCell on PCell mainly depends on RAN1.
* RAN2 specifications do not differentiate known/unknown SCell, but RAN2 understand that if the CSI reporting of PUCCH SCell over the PCell is concluded as supported in RAN1, the cases asked by RAN4 can be supported.
 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

RAN4 and RAN1 should be informed with the above RAN2 agreements concerning the UE capability design of cross-PUCCH group CSI reporting and whether to support other RAN2 solutions in Rel-17. The content would be discussed in phase II.

# 4 Conclusion

TBD
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