3GPP TSG-RAN WG2 Meeting #112e R2-20xxxxx

Online, 2-13 November 2020

**Agenda item: 6.5.3**

**Source: Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell**

**Title: [DRAFT] Summary of e-mail discussion: [AT112-e][043][IIOT] MAC II (Nokia)**

**WID/SID: NR\_IIOT - Release 16**

**Document for: Discussion and Decision**

# 1 Introduction

This document aims to collect views from companies for the following email discussion during RAN2 #112e:

* [AT112-e][043][IIOT] MAC II (Nokia)

 Scope: Treat R2-2009539, R2-2009540, R2-2009753, R2-2010053, R2-2010100, R2-2010522

 Intended outcome: Intermediate: Determine agreeable parts. Final: For agreeable parts, agreed CRs.

 Deadline: Intermediate deadline(s) by Rapporteur, Final: Thu Nov 12, 1200 UTC

The papers to be considered in this email discussion are listed below:

|  |
| --- |
| [R2-2009539](file:///D%3A%5CDocuments%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2%5CTSGR2_112-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2009539.zip) Correction on autonomous transmission for the deprioritized CG-Alt1 OPPO CR Rel-16 38.321 16.2.1 0932 - F NR\_IIOT-Core[R2-2009540](file:///D%3A%5CDocuments%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2%5CTSGR2_112-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2009540.zip) Correction on autonomous transmission for the deprioritized CG-Alt2 OPPO CR Rel-16 38.321 16.2.1 0933 - F NR\_IIOT-Core[R2-2009753](file:///D%3A%5CDocuments%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2%5CTSGR2_112-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2009753.zip) Configured grant timer termination upon PUSCH cancellation Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell CR Rel-16 38.321 16.2.1 0940 - F NR\_IIOT-Core[R2-2010053](file:///D%3A%5CDocuments%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2%5CTSGR2_112-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2010053.zip) Clarification for CG overlapping with PUSCH duration of MSGA Ericsson CR Rel-16 38.321 16.2.1 0958 - F NR\_IIOT-Core[R2-2010100](file:///D%3A%5CDocuments%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2%5CTSGR2_112-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2010100.zip) Correction on construction of Multiple Entry CG Confirmation MAC CE Huawei, HiSilicon CR Rel-16 38.321 16.2.1 0960 - F NR\_IIOT-Core[R2-2010522](file:///D%3A%5CDocuments%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2%5CTSGR2_112-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2010522.zip) Correction of Multiple Entry Configured Grant Confirmation Samsung CR Rel-16 38.321 16.2.1 0992 - F NR\_IIOT-Core |

In general the papers can be categorized into three areas that will be tackled by this email discussion

1. ConfiguredGrantTimer behaviour considering autonomous transmission (R2-2009539, R2-2009540, and R2-2009753)
2. Clarification of overlapping between CG-PUSCH and MSGA in different serving cells (R2-2010053)
3. Issues relating to Multiple Entry CG Confirmation MAC CE (R2-20010100 and R2-2010522)

Please provide your contact information when responding:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Contact Name / Email** |
|  |  |
| Nokia | Ping-Heng Wallace Kuo / Ping-Heng.Kuo@nokia.com |

# 2 Discussion

## 2.1 Configured Grant Timer Behaviour considering Autonomous Transmission

In R2-2009539, R2-2009540, and R2-2009753, a potential issue relating to configured grant timer and autonomous transmission has been identified. In particular, as it was agreed earlier in RAN2 that CG timer should start at the beginning of the CG PUSCH, there could be a case where the CG PUSCH is cancelled/deprioritized in the middle of its transmission due to intra/inter-UE prioritization, and autonomous transmission cannot be performed immediately because the CG timer continues to run which blocks subsequent CG resources with the same HARQ process for new transmission. Although these papers are considering the same problem, they have different proposals regarding how TS 38.321 should be updated to resolve the issue.

**Question 1: Do you think autonomous transmission blocking due to CG timer running is an issue that should be solved in RAN2 ?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **YES/NO** | **Comments** |
| Nokia | Yes | The main purpose of expiration timer is to avoid MAC PDU stored in the HARQ buffer being overwritten by a newly generated MAC PDU. With autonomous transmission, the same MAC PDU is fetched from the same HARQ buffer so there is no issue of overwriting. Hence, running the CG timer is not needed in this case. Moreover, the de-prioritized MAC PDU could convey some crucial data and delay-sensitive MAC CEs that should be delivered as soon as possible. Therefore, it is not desirable to unnecessarily wait until expiration of CG timer to conduct autonomous transmission of such MAC PDU. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

It is noted that R2-2009539 proposes to change the timing of where CG timer should be started to the end of PUSCH, while both R2-2009540 and R2-2009753 are suggesting to stop the CG timer directly upon cancellation of the PUSCH. The proposed text changes of these papers are copied below for convenience.

**R2-2009539:**

|  |
| --- |
| 5.4.2.1 HARQ Entity**……**When determining if NDI has been toggled compared to the value in the previous transmission the MAC entity shall ignore NDI received in all uplink grants on PDCCH for its Temporary C-RNTI.When *cg-RetransmissionTimer* is started or restarted by a PUSCH transmission, it shall be started at the beginning of the first symbol of the PUSCH transmission. When *configuredGrantTimer* is started or restarted by a PUSCH transmission, it shall be started at the end of the last symbol of the PUSCH transmission. |

**R2-2009540:**

|  |
| --- |
| 5.4.2.1 HARQ Entity**……**When determining if NDI has been toggled compared to the value in the previous transmission the MAC entity shall ignore NDI received in all uplink grants on PDCCH for its Temporary C-RNTI.When *configuredGrantTimer* or *cg-RetransmissionTimer* is started or restarted by a PUSCH transmission, it shall be started at the beginning of the first symbol of the PUSCH transmission. If the PUSCH is for a deprioritized uplink configured grant and *cg-RetransmissionTimer* is not configured, the MAC entity shall stop the *configuredGrantTimer*,if running, for the corresponding HARQ process, and consider the transmission of the deprioritized MAC PDU is not performed.  |

**R2-2009753:**

|  |
| --- |
| 5.4.1 UL Grant reception**……**If the corresponding PUSCH transmission of a configured uplink grant is cancelled by CI-RNTI as specified in clause 11.2A of TS 38.213 [6] or cancelled by a high PHY-priority PUCCH transmission as specified in clause 9 of TS 38.213 [6], this configured uplink grant is considered as a de-prioritized uplink grant, and *configuredGrantTimer* for the correponding HARQ process of this de-prioritized uplink grant should be stopped if it is running.When the MAC entity is configured with *lch-basedPrioritization*, for each uplink grant whose associated PUSCH can be transmitted by lower layers, the MAC entity shall:1> if this uplink grant is addressed to CS-RNTI with NDI = 1 or C-RNTI:2> if there is no overlapping PUSCH duration of a configured uplink grant which was not already de-prioritized, in the same BWP whose priority is higher than the priority of the uplink grant; and2> if there is no overlapping PUCCH resource with an SR transmission which was not already de-prioritized and the priority of the logical channel that triggered the SR is higher than the priority of the uplink grant:3> consider this uplink grant as a prioritized uplink grant;3> consider the other overlapping uplink grant(s), if any, as a de-prioritized uplink grant(s);3> consider the other overlapping SR transmission(s), if any, as a de-prioritized SR transmission(s).1> else if this uplink grant is a configured uplink grant:2> if there is no overlapping PUSCH duration of another configured uplink grant which was not already de-prioritized, in the same BWP, whose priority is higher than the priority of the uplink grant; and2> if there is no overlapping PUSCH duration of an uplink grant addressed to CS-RNTI with NDI = 1 or C-RNTI which was not already de-prioritized, in the same BWP, whose priority is higher than or equal to the priority of the uplink grant; and2> if there is no overlapping PUCCH resource with an SR transmission which was not already de-prioritized and the priority of the logical channel that triggered the SR is higher than the priority of the uplink grant:3> consider this uplink grant as a prioritized uplink grant;3> consider the other overlapping uplink grant(s), if any, as a de-prioritized uplink grant(s); 4> stop the *configuredGrantTimer* for the correponding HARQ process of this de-prioritized uplink grant, if this de-prioritized uplink grant is a configured uplink grant whose PUSCH has already started;3> consider the other overlapping SR transmission(s), if any, as a de-prioritized SR transmission(s).**……** |

**Question 2: If your answer to Question 1 is YES, what is your preferred approach to solve the issue?**

* **Option 1 – Change MAC specification such that CG timer starts at the end of the last OFDM symbol of the PUSCH (R2-2009539)**
* **Option 2 – Stop the CG timer upon deprioritization/cancellation of the CG-PUSCH (R2-2009540 and R2-2009753)**

**If you prefer Option 2, please also indicate whether you prefer text proposal in R2-2009540 or R2-2009753.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Option** | **Comments** |
| Nokia | Option 2 | Option 1 requires RAN2 to revert the agreement that has just been made in RAN2#111e, and this is absolutely not desirable to change agreements back and forth. Therefore Option 2 is much simpler.Also, we prefer R2-2009753 as it directly address the case of PUSCH cancellation due to inter/intra-UE prioritization.  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 2.2 Overlapping between CG-PUSCH and MSGA

R2-2010053 proposes some clarification such that CG PUSCH should not be skipped if the overlapping PUSCH of MSGA is in another serving cell. More precisely, MAC should continue to process an active CG occasion if it does not overlap with any RAR grant and MSG PUSCH in the same serving cell. The proposed text change in TS 38.321 is copied below for convenience.

**R2-2010053:**

|  |
| --- |
| 5.4.1 UL Grant reception……For each Serving Cell and each configured uplink grant, if configured and activated, the MAC entity shall:1> if the MAC entity is configured with *lch-basedPrioritization*, and the PUSCH duration of the configured uplink grant does not overlap with the PUSCH duration of an uplink grant received in a Random Access Response for this Serving Cell or with the PUSCH duration of a MSGA payload for this Serving Cell; or1> if the MAC entity is not configured with *lch-basedPrioritization*, and the PUSCH duration of the configured uplink grant does not overlap with the PUSCH duration of an uplink grant received on the PDCCH or in a Random Access Response for this Serving Cell or with the PUSCH duration of a MSGA payload for this Serving Cell:…… |

**Question 3: Do you agree the CR proposed in R2-2010053 ?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **YES/NO** | **Comments** |
| Nokia | Yes but … | We think the text could be simplified a bit to something like:***…*** *does not overlap with the PUSCH duration of an uplink grant received in a Random Access Response or ~~for this Serving Cell or with the~~ PUSCH duration of a MSGA payload for this serving cell;* |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 2.3 Multiple Entry CG Confirmation MAC CE

R2-2010100 mentions that MAC should first check if the uplink resource can accommodate Multiple Entry CG Confirmation MAC CE (along with its LCID) before generating it, to make sure the MAC CE can be conveyed by the uplink resource. The proposed text change in TS 38.321 is copied below for convenience.

**R2-2010100:**

|  |
| --- |
| 5.8.2 Uplink……The MAC entity shall:1> if at least one configured uplink grant confirmation has been triggered and not cancelled; and1> if the MAC entity has UL resources allocated for new transmission:2> if, in this MAC entity, at least one configured uplink grant is configured by *configuredGrantConfigToAddModList*:3> if the UL resources can accommodate the Multiple Entry Configured Grant Confirmation MAC CE plus its subheader as a result of logical channel prioritization:4> instruct the Multiplexing and Assembly procedure to generate a Multiple Entry Configured Grant Confirmation MAC CE as defined in clause 6.1.3.31.4> cancel the triggered configured uplink grant confirmation.2> else:3> instruct the Multiplexing and Assembly procedure to generate a Configured Grant Confirmation MAC CE as defined in clause 6.1.3.7.3> cancel the triggered configured uplink grant confirmation.…… |

**Question 4: Do you agree the CR proposed in R2-2010100 ?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **YES/NO** | **Comments** |
| Nokia | No | The LCP priority of this MAC CE is very high and hence typically it will be mapped into the UL resource before most other MAC CE and data, so it would be extremely rare to have a case where the UL resource size cannot even accommodate this MAC CE. Furthermore, the proposed behaviour would require the UE to carry out additional checking before generating such MAC CE, which imposes additional UE implementation complexity for a corner case that may never happen in practice. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

On the other hand, R2-2010522 proposes clarifying that Multiple Entry Configured Grant Confirmation MAC CE is applicable to cases with multiple CGs, as the current text could be interpreted as single CG. The proposed text change in TS 38.321 is copied below for convenience.

**R2-2010522:**

|  |
| --- |
| 5.8.2 Uplink……The MAC entity shall:1> if at least one configured uplink grant confirmation has been triggered and not cancelled; and1> if the MAC entity has UL resources allocated for new transmission:2> if, in this MAC entity, at least one configured uplink grant is configured by *configuredGrantConfigToAddModList*:3> instruct the Multiplexing and Assembly procedure to generate a Multiple Entry Configured Grant Confirmation MAC CE indicating all triggered configured uplink grant confirmation(s) as defined in clause 6.1.3.31.2> else:3> instruct the Multiplexing and Assembly procedure to generate a Configured Grant Confirmation MAC CE as defined in clause 6.1.3.7.2> cancel all triggered configured uplink grant confirmation(s).…… |

**Question 5: Do you agree the CR proposed in R2-2010522 ?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **YES/NO** | **Comments** |
| Nokia | No | We think the current specification is sufficiently clear. It cannot be misinterpreted as long as the description of Multiple Entry Configured Grant Confirmation MAC CE is clearly written.  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# 3 Conclusion

Based on the email discussion, we conclude with the following proposals:

TBD