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# 1 Introduction

Based on the LS in [R2-200844](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_111-e/LSin/R2-2008444.zip) RAN4 agreed:

1. Power class is not applicable to the IAB-MT
2. IAB-MT can ignore the advertised NS values

This contribution is to provide a summary of TDocs submitted for IAB UE capabilities under 6.2.5 which address the agreement and include [1-5].

# 2 Summary

## 2.1 CATT proposal to feedback RAN4 and remove multipleNS-And-Pmax-IAB-r16‎ in both 38.331 and 38.306

The discussion paper in [1] proposes the following:

Proposal 1: Suggest RAN2 to feedback RAN4 that from RAN2 perspective, there is no any impact to the RAN2 design/signalling if Feature 2-8 (Power ‎class) is not applicable.‎

Proposal 2: Suggest RAN2 to feedback RAN4 that from RAN2 perspective, it’s feasible that IAB-MT doesn’t support the NS signalling and P-max.‎

Proposal 3: Suggest RAN2 to delete the parameter multipleNS-And-Pmax-IAB-r16‎ in both 38.331 and 38.306 and ‎wait for RAN4 decision on how to define the Feature 2-12 (Multiple NS/P-max).‎

Companies are invited to provide their views whether they agree with the proposals.

**Q1: Do you agree with the proposals in [1]? If not, please provide comments.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Preference (Y/N)** | **Detailed Comments** |
| Ericsson | Yes to P1, P2No to P3 | We are fine to inform RAN4 that there is no RAN2 impact.Intention of P3 is OK, but we cannot simply delete the parameter from the ASN.1.  |
| Intel | Y  | For proposal 3, agree with [2] to refer to TS 37.174 for IAB-MT radio transmission and reception requirement. |
| CATT(Component) | Yes to P1, P2Clarify on P3 | We think a reply LS is needed to feedback RAN4 questions.For P3, our intention is to delete the parameter multipleNS-And-Pmax-IAB-r16 in 38.306, but dummify the parameter in 38.331. |
| Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell | Y | We agree with the intention to inform RAN4, and to dummify unused parameter in ASN.1 (P3) |

## 2.2 Nokia CRs to remove multipleNS-And-Pmax-IAB-r16‎ in both 38.331 and 38.306

The CR in [2] and [3] proposes changes to TS 38.306 and TS 38.331 as follows:

Changes of the CR to TS38.331 contain:

1. Adding reference to TS37.174 on IAB radio transmission and reception requirements
2. In SIB1,SIB2, SIB4 acquisition procedure, clarification to apply maximum output power to IAB-MT, according to specified IAB requirements in TS37.174
3. In *p-max* field description, clarification the field is ignored for IAB-MT
4. In *nr-NS-PmaxList* description, clarification the field is ignored for IAB-MT
5. In *MeasandMobParameters* UE capability, *multipleNS-And-Pmax-IAB* capability is dummified

Changes of the CR to TS38.306 contain:

1. *multipleNS-And-Pmax-IAB* capability removal, as no requirements exist to support NS values by IAB

The changes in TS38.331 CR intend to clarify IAB radio transmission and reception requirements are defined in a separate RAN4 TS, and regular UE procedures do not apply for IAB-MT, in context of p-max and multiple nr-NS use.

Companies are invited to provide their views whether they agree with the proposed changes.

**Q2: Do you agree with the changes proposed in [2] CR to 38.306? If not, please provide comments.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Preference (Y/N)** | **Detailed Comments** |
| Ericsson | Y |  |
| Intel | Y |  |
| CATT | Y |  |
| Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell | Y |  |

Since, the multiple NS are not defined for IAB-MT in RAN4 specifications, the changes in TS38.306 CR are to remove the capability that was previously agreed as a placeholder for potentially defined requirements.

Companies are invited to provide their views whether they agree with the proposed changes.

**Q3: Do you agree with the changes proposed in [3]? If not, please provide comments.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Preference (Y/N)** | **Detailed Comments** |
| Ericsson | Y to 4th, 5th,6th change N to 1st, 2nd, 3rd change  | We are ok with the changes to the field descriptions.But we do not see the need for this change:2> else if UE is IAB-MT: 3> apply output power and emissions requirements, as specified in TS 38.174[xx];The IAB node will be compliant with whatever is specified for it in RAN4 specifications, i.e. TS 38.174 and TS 38.101. We do not need to capture this in the RRC procedural text, since no RRC parameter is involved here.  |
| Intel | Y with comment | We don’t have a strong view to change 1/2/3, however, we think it would be good to capture behaviour to IAB-MT when ignoring the advertised NS values and P-max in TS38.331. |
| CATT | Y to 4th, 5th,6th changeN to 1st, 2nd, 3rd change | Agree with Ericsson. |
| Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell | Y  | We agree that IAB needs to compliant with RAN4 specifications, but specifically for IAB (in contrary to 38.101 requirements). Thus, we are fine to further limit the changes in SIB acquisition procedures, but still make the reference to TS 37.174 for IAB-MT radio transmission and reception requirement (e.g. field description). |

## 2.3 Huawei CRs to remove multipleNS applicability during SIB1 acquisition in 38.331 and add clarification on power class inapplicability for IAB-MT

The CR in [4] and [5] proposes changes to TS 38.306 and TS 38.331 as follows:

Changes of the CR to TS38.331 contain:

1. In section 5.2.2.4.2, for SIB1 acquisition, the condition bullet related to the NR-NS-PmaxList, is updated to allow the case that IAB-MT can ignore the advertised NS values.

Changes of the CR to TS38.306 contain:

1. In section 4.2.7, the field description of ue-PowerClass and powerClass is updated to add clarification “This capability is not applicable to IAB-MT.”

**Q4: Do you agree with the changes proposed in [4]? If not, please provide comments.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Preference (Y/N)** | **Detailed Comments** |
| Ericsson | N | We do not get the intention of this CR. It does not seem to match the RAN4 request. The changes discussed above in [R2-2009418](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_112-e/Docs/R2-2009418.zip%22%20%5Co%20%22D%3ADocuments3GPPtsg_ranWG2TSGR2_112-eDocsR2-2009418.zip) would be enough. |
| Intel | Y with comment | We don’t have a strong view to change in SIB1, however, we think it would be good to capture behaviour to IAB-MT when ignoring the advertised NS values and P-max in TS38.331. |
| CATT | Y with comment | It would be better to add some description in SIB1 acquisition procedure to allow the case that IAB-MT can ignore the advertised NS values. Detail wording can be further discussed. |
| Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell | N | SIB1 is one of the SIBs where applying multiple NS values would require clarification. Therefore, CR is not fully covering the needed changes. |

**Q5: Do you agree with the changes proposed in [5]? If not, please provide comments.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Preference (Y/N)** | **Detailed Comments** |
| Ericsson | Y |  |
| Intel | Y |  |
| CATT | Y |  |
| Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell | No strong view | It would become clear from CR to TS38.331, but can be added for clarity |

# 3 Conclusion

TBA
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