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# 1 Introduction

This document is the report about first round of the following email discussion

* [AT110e][104][PRN] RRC CR (Nokia)

Initial scope: Continue the discussion on RRC open issues, based on [R2-2004481](file:///C%3A%5CData%5C3GPP%5CRAN2%5CDocs%5CR2-2004481.zip), considering the new LSs from SA1 and the proposals marked "to be discussed in offline [104]". Also discuss RILs: Z112, B200 and H422.

Initial intended outcome: summary of the offline discussion with e.g.:

* Set of proposals with full consensus agreeable over email (based on the list in Section 3.1 of [R2-2004481](file:///C%3A%5CData%5C3GPP%5CRAN2%5CDocs%5CR2-2004481.zip), possibly extended with new easy agreements)
	+ - Set of proposals to discuss in the follow up conference call

Initial deadline (for companies' feedback): Wednesday 2020-06-03 10:00 UTC

Initial deadline (for rapporteur's summary in R2-2005795): Wednesday 2020-06-03 22:00 UTC

Proposed agreements in R2-2005795 indicated for email agreement and not challenged until Thursday 2020-06-04 10:00 UTC will be declared as agreed by the session chair. For the other ones, the discussion will continue online.

# 2 Discussion of the open issues of R2-2004481

## 2.1 Issue 1: Role of manually selected CAG ID

**Open issue description:** What is the role of the manually selected CAG ID; only used during initial cell selection or it is used later during cell reselection and connected mode mobility.

* FFS if the UE shall prioritize it during cell reselection
* FFS if it has a role in Connected mode mobility
* FFS if the UE should send it during Resume procedure

Based on the majority's view on Q1a and Q1b of R2-2004481 the followings are proposed:

**Proposal 1a: RAN2 assumes that the manually selected CAG ID has no impact to cell reselection. (This requires no change in the existing draft CRs.) The final decision will happen after reply LS from SA2 on this issue is received.**

**Proposal 1b: RAN2 assumes that the UE shall select a cell supporting the manually selected CAG ID provided by NAS for initial cell selection. The relevant changes should be added to the running 38.304 CR. The final decision will happen after reply LS from SA2 on this issue is received.**

## 2.2 Issue 2: Selected PLMN-Identity in *RRCResumeComplete*

**Open issue description:** Whether the selected PLMN-Identity can refer to a NPN in the description of *RRCResumeComplete* messages and the relevant procedures

According to clause 5.3.13.4 the selected PLMN-Identity may be added into *RRCResumeComplete*

1> set the content of the of *RRCResumeComplete* message as follows:

2> if the upper layer provides NAS PDU, set the *dedicatedNAS-Message* to include the information received from upper layers;

2> if the upper layer provides a PLMN, set the *selectedPLMN-Identity* to PLMN selected by upper layers (TS 24.501 [23]) from the PLMN(s) included in the *plmn-IdentityList* in *SIB1;*

Based on the views on Q2a of R2-2004481 the following is proposed:

**Proposal 2a: The SNPN ID is never added to the *RRCResumeComplete.***

Based on the majority's view on Q2b of R2-2004481 the following is proposed:

**Proposal 2b: RAN2 assumes that the CAG ID is never added to the *RRCResumeComplete*. (This assumption is to be captured in the running RRC CR.) The final decision will happen after reply LS from SA2 on this issue is received.**

## 2.3 Issue 3: Granularity of advertised UAC parameters

**Open issue description:** Whether it is sufficient to broadcast the Unified Access Control (UAC) parameters per PLMN (assuming that using the operator-defined access categories with access category criteria type set to the S-NSSAI used for PNI-NPN is sufficient to provide CAG specific UAC) or there is need to enable the broadcast of CAG ID specific configuration of UAC parameters?

An LS in [R2-2002417](http://3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109_e/Docs/R2-2002417.zip) was sent with the following questions:

Question 2.1; TO: SA1:
Is there a requirement to enable PNI-NPN (CAG ID) specific access control in cells that are shared among PNI-NPNs belonging to the same PLMN?

* Reply in [R2-2005991](http://3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_110-e/Docs/R2-2005991.zip) (S1-202265): SA1 currently does not have a specific requirement to enable PNI-NPN (CAG ID) specific access control in cells that are shared among PNI-NPNs belonging to the same PLMN.

Question 2.2; TO: CT1, SA1:
If there is a requirement to enable PNI-NPN (CAG ID) specific access control in cells that are shared among PNI-NPNs belonging to the same PLMN, then is it sufficient to broadcast the Unified Access Control (UAC) parameters per PLMN (assuming that using the operator-defined access categories with access category criteria type set to the S-NSSAI used for PNI-NPN is sufficient to provide CAG specific UAC) or there is need to enable the broadcast of CAG ID specific configuration of UAC parameters?

* CT1 answer in [C1-202846](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ct/WG1_mm-cc-sm_ex-CN1/TSGC1_123e/Docs/C1-202846.zip)/[R2-2004177](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2004177.zip): As this question is dependent on service requirements which do not exist yet, this question can be answered only if and when the service requirements are specified by SA1.
* Reply in [R2-2005991](http://3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_110-e/Docs/R2-2005991.zip) (S1-202265): Since the answer to Q2.1 is no, answer to Q2.2 is not needed

Based on SA1 answers the following is proposed:

**Proposal 3: UAC parameter set for a PNI-NPN is selected based on the PLMN ID of PNI-NPNs. There is no need to broadcast CAG ID specific UAC parameter sets.**

## 2.4 Issue 4: Network indexing for NPNs

**Open issue description:** A definition of network indexing for NPNs is FFS

Based on SA1 answers in [R2-2005991](http://3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_110-e/Docs/R2-2005991.zip) (S1-202265) (see issue 3) and the majority's view on Q2b of R2-2004481 the following is proposed:

**Proposal 4a: The PNI-NPNs belonging to the same PLMN have a common (shared) index value.**

[R2-2005592](http://3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_110-e/Docs/R2-2005592.zip) contains the following proposal

Proposal: RAN2 to discuss the three options of PNI-NPN indexing:

* Option 1: Each PNI-NPN has its own index. When including *selectedPLMN-Identity* in MSG5, UE only considers the PLMN part (i.e. UE can include whichever index among the PNI-NPNs with the same PLMN ID).
* Option 2: PLMN and PNI-NPNs with the same PLMN ID share an index. An indication is added to indicate whether the UE is accessing through PLMN or PNI-NPN.
* Option 3: PNI-NPNs with same PLMN ID share an index. The sharing does not involve PLMNs.

Based on proposal 4a it is assumed that all PNI-NPNs belonging to the same PLMN have a common (shared) index value.

Section 2.1 of R2-2004483 contains text proposals when all PNI-NPNs belonging to the same PLMN share an index value (for option 2 above).

**Question 4a: Which option do you prefer?**

* **Option A:** PLMN and PNI-NPNs with the same PLMN ID share an index.
* **Option B:** PNI-NPNs with same PLMN ID share an index. The sharing does not involve PLMNs.

**Question 4b: If option A is selected then do you agree that an indication is added to indicate whether the UE is accessing through PLMN or PNI-NPN?**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Answer to Q14a** | **Answer to Q14b** | **Comment** |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## 2.5 Issue 5: Manual CAG selection indication

**Open issue description:** RAN2 received a LS from CT1 in [R2-2004178](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2004178.zip)/C1-202927 asking if a RAN can specify the broadcast of a new indication that the PLMN allows a user to manually select a CAG-ID supported by the CAG cell.

SA1 further clarified the requirement in the reply LS ([R2-2005993](http://3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_110-e/Docs/R2-2005993.zip)/S1-202277)

SA1 has discussed about the following existing SA1 Rel-16 requirement (from TS 22.261):

*The 5G system shall support a mechanism for a PLMN to control whether a user of a UE can manually select a non-public network hosted by this PLMN that the UE is not authorized to select automatically.*

and agreed that the indication allowing to manually select a CAG-ID supported by the CAG cell but outside the UE’s allowed CAG list, is per CAG ID.

Per CAG ID indication is needed the following extension can be used:

**SOLUTION B**

NPN-Identity-r16 ::= CHOICE {

 pni-npn-r16 SEQUENCE {

 plmn-Identity-r16 PLMN-Identity,

 cag-IdentityList-r16 SEQUENCE (SIZE (1..maxNPN-r16)) OF CAG-IdentityInfo-r16

 },

 snpn-r16 SEQUENCE {

 plmn-Identity PLMN-Identity,

 nid-List-r16 SEQUENCE (SIZE (1..maxNPN-r16)) OF NID-r16

 }

}

CAG-IdentityInfo-r16 ::= SEQUENCE {

 CAG-Identity-r16 BIT STRING (SIZE (32)),

 manualCAGselectionAllowed-r16 BOOLEAN

}

Based on reply from SA1 and on the majority's view on Q5b of R2-2004481 the following is proposed:

**Proposal 5: Solution B will be used as baseline for indicating if it is allowed to manually select a CAG-ID supported by the CAG cell but outside the UE’s allowed CAG list.**

## 2.6 Issue 6 (RIL Q006): NEED code for SIB10

**Open issue description (SIB10 in 6.3.1):**

SIB10-r16 ::= SEQUENCE {

 hrnn-List-r16 HRNN-List-r16 OPTIONAL, -- Need R

 lateNonCriticalExtension OCTET STRING OPTIONAL,

 ...

}

Based on the majority's view on Q6 of R2-2004481 the following is proposed:

**Proposal 6a: No changes are needed in 38.331 due to comment in RIL Q006.**

[**R2-2005658**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_110-e/Docs/R2-2005658.zip) **contains the following additional clarification proposals**

* Proposal 1: Confirm that UE stores hrnn-List for each cell, if received, and the stored hrnn-List information can be valid up to 3 hours.
* Proposal 2: Confirm that, with hrnn-List being optionally present with Need R, if UE identifies a cell for which hrnn-List is stored, the expected UE behaviours are:
	+ Case a) If non-empty SIB10 is scheduled by the cell Replace the stored hrnn-List of the cell with the new one being signalled (hence, Need R is not applied)
	+ Case b) If empty SIB10 is scheduled by the cell Replace the stored hrnn-List of the cell with the new one (new one is empty) being signalled, i.e. delete the stored hrnn-List of the cell (hence, Need R is not applied)
	+ Case c) If SIB10 is not scheduled by the cell Delete the stored hrnn-List of the cell (Need R is applied)
* Proposal 4: Clarify that reselection to another cell does not affect the hrnn-List stored in the previous cell.

**Question 6: Which of the above proposals do you agree?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Answer** | **Comment** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 2.7 Issue 7 (RIL Z102): Definition of selected PNI-NPN

**Open issue description:** There is the following open RIL in 5.2.2.4.2 Actions upon reception of the SIB1:

1> if the cell is not an NPN-only cell and the *cellAccessRelatedInfo* contains an entry with the *PLMN-Identity* of the selected PLMN:

2> in the remainder of the procedures use *plmn-IdentityList*, *trackingAreaCode*, and *cellIdentity* for the cell as received in the corresponding *PLMN-IdentityInfo* containing the selected PLMN;

1> if the *cellAccessRelatedInfo* contains an entry with the *NPN-Identity* of the selected NPN:

2> in the remainder of the procedures use *npn-IdentityList*, *trackingAreaCode*, and *cellIdentity* for the cell as received in the corresponding *NPN-IdentityInfo* containing the selected NPN;

Based on the views on Q7 of R2-2004481 there was conclusion for the solutions for RIL Z102:

[R2-2004482](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_110-e/Docs/R2-2004482.zip) (Nokia) contains the following observations and proposal:

**Observation 1: There is no selected CAG ID (PNI-NPN) in case of automatic network selection.**

**Observation 2: The selected and registered SNPN are defined in TS 38.304, no need to define them in TS 38.331.**

**Proposal: Endorse the following text changes in 38.331:**

\*\*\*\*\* Start of Changes \*\*\*\*\*

##### 5.2.2.4.2 Actions upon reception of the *SIB1*

Upon receiving the *SIB1* the UE shall:

1> store the acquired *SIB1*;

1> if a PLMN is selected

2> if the PLMN-ID of that PLMN is broadcast by the cell with no associated CAG-IDs and CAG-only indication in the UE for that PLMN is absent or false:

3> in the remainder of the procedures use *plmn-IdentityList*, *trackingAreaCode*, and *cellIdentity* for the cell as received in the corresponding *PLMN-IdentityInfo* containing the selected PLMN;

2> else if the Allowed CAG list in the UE for that PLMN includes a CAG-ID broadcast by the cell for that PLMN:

3> in the remainder of the procedures use *npn-IdentityList*, *trackingAreaCode*, and *cellIdentity* for the cell as received in the corresponding *NPN-IdentityInfo* containing CAG-IDs for the selected PLMN;

1> else if an SNPN is selected and the *cellAccessRelatedInfo* contains an entry with the *SNPN-Identity* of the selected SNPN:

2> in the remainder of the procedures use *npn-IdentityList*, *trackingAreaCode*, and *cellIdentity* for the cell as received in the corresponding *NPN-IdentityInfo* containing the selected SNPN;

\*\*\*\*\* End of Changes \*\*\*\*\*

**Question 7: Do you agree that the proposal in R2-2004482 as the solution for RIL Z102?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Answer** | **Comment** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 2.8 Issue 8 (RIL Z103): Definition of registered PNI-NPN

**Open issue description:** There is the following open RIL in 5.2.2.4.2 Actions upon reception of the SIB1:

3> if *trackingAreaCode* is not provided for the selected PLMN nor the registered PLMN nor PLMN of the equivalent PLMN list nor the selected NPN nor the registered NPN:

At RAN2#109-e it was agreed that TAC is mandatory for NPN cells:

4. (Proposal 14 from R2-2002659): TAC is “mandatory” within NPN-IdentityInfoList. To be captured into ASN.1 review file as RIL comment (by the rapporteur).

Based on the views on Q8 of R2-2004481 the following is proposed:

**Proposal 8: To resolve RIL Z103 the following changes are needed in 5.2.2.4.2 of 38.331:**

3> if trackingAreaCode is not provided for the selected PLMN nor the registered PLMN nor PLMN of the equivalent PLMN list:

## 2.9 Issue 9 (RIL I902 and I903): Selected PLMN ID in *RRCSetupComplete*

**Open issue description:** There are the following open RIL in 5.3.3.4 Reception of the RRCSetup by the UE:

2> if upper layers selected a PLMN or an SNPN (TS 24.501 [23]):

3> set the *selectedPLMN-Identity* to the PLMN or SNPN selected by upper layers (TS 24.501 [23]) from the PLMN(s) included in the *plmn-IdentityList* or npn-IdentityInfoList in *SIB1*;

Editor's Note: It is FFS how to set the the *selectedPLMN-Identity* when a PNI-NPN is selected.

Based on the views on Q9 of R2-2004481 the following is proposed:

The following proposal can be agreed without further discussion:

**Proposal 9: To resolve RIL I902 and I903 the following changes are needed in 5.3.3.4 of 38.331:**

2> set the *selectedPLMN-Identity* to the PLMN or SNPN selected by upper layers (TS 24.501 [23]) from the PLMN(s) included in the *plmn-IdentityList* or the PLMN(s) or SNPN(s) included in the *npn-IdentityInfoList* in *SIB1*;

# 3 Discussion of new open issues

## 3.1 Issue 11 (RIL Z112): Reference of CAG cells definition

**Open issue description:** There is the following RIL in SIB3 description:

| *SIB3* field descriptions |
| --- |
| ***intraFreqBlackCellList***List of blacklisted intra-frequency neighbouring cells. |
| ***intraFreqCAG-CellList***List of intra-frequency neighbouring CAG cells per PLMN. |
| ***intraFreqNeighCellList***List of intra-frequency neighbouring cells with specific cell re-selection parameters. |
| ***intraFreqWhiteCellList***List of whitelisted intra-frequency neighbouring cells, see TS 38.304 [20], clause 5.2.4. |
| ***q-OffsetCell***Parameter "Qoffsets,n" in TS 38.304 [20]. |
| ***q-QualMinOffsetCell***Parameter "Qqualminoffsetcell" in TS 38.304 [20]. Actual value Qqualminoffsetcell = field value [dB]. |
| ***q-RxLevMinOffsetCell***Parameter "Qrxlevminoffsetcell" in TS 38.304 [20]. Actual value Qrxlevminoffsetcell = field value \* 2 [dB]. |
| ***q-RxLevMinOffsetCellSUL***Parameter "QrxlevminoffsetcellSUL" in TS 38.304 [20]. Actual value QrxlevminoffsetcellSUL = field value \* 2 [dB]. |
| ***ssb-PositionQCL***Indicates the QCL relationship between SS/PBCH blocks for a specific intra-frequency neighbor cell as specified in TS 38.213 [13], clause 4.1. If provided, the cell specific value overwrites the value signalled by *ssb-PositionQCL-Common* in *SIB2* for the indicated cell. |

**Question 11: Do you agree of adding a reference to TS38.304 for CAG cell definition as proposed in RIL Z112?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Answer** | **Comment** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 3.2 Issue 12 (RIL B200)

**Open issue description:** There is the following RIL in *CellAccessRelatedInfo* definition:

***CellAccessRelatedInfo* information element**

-- ASN1START

-- TAG-CELLACCESSRELATEDINFO-START

CellAccessRelatedInfo ::= SEQUENCE {

 plmn-IdentityList PLMN-IdentityInfoList,

 cellReservedForOtherUse ENUMERATED {true} OPTIONAL, -- Need R

 ...,

 [[

 cellReservedForFutureUse-r16 ENUMERATED {true} OPTIONAL, -- Need R

 npn-IdentityInfoList-r16 NPN-IdentityInfoList-r16 OPTIONAL -- Need R

 ]]

}

-- TAG-CELLACCESSRELATEDINFO-STOP

-- ASN1STOP

**Question 12: Do you agree of the proposed conclusion of the rapporteur ("reject the proposal") for RIL B200?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Answer** | **Comment** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 3.3 Issue 13 (RIL H422): Duplication of field description for TAC

**Open issue description:** There is the following RIL in the description of *NPN-IdentitityInfoList*:

|  |
| --- |
| *NPN-IdentityInfoList* field descriptions |
| ***NPN-IdentityInfo***The *NPN-IdentityInfo* contains one or more NPN identities and additional information associated with those NPNs. Only the same type of NPNs (either SNPNs or PNI-NPNs) can be listed in a *NPN-IdentityInfo* element. |
| ***npn-IdentityList***The *npn-IdentityList* contains one or more NPN Identity elements. |
| ***trackingAreaCode***Indicates the Tracking Area Code to which the cell indicated by cellIdentity field belongs.  |
| ***ranac***Indicates the RAN Area Code to which the cell indicated by cellIdentity field belongs.  |
| ***trackingAreaCode***Indicates Tracking Area Code to which the cell indicated by cellIdentity field belongs.  |
| ***cellReservedForOperatorUse***Indicates whether the cell is reserved for operator use (for the NPN(s) identified in the *npn-IdentyList*) as defined in TS 38.304 [20]. |

As this is clearly an editorial error the rapporteur has the following proposal:

**Proposal 13: Remove the duplicated field description for TAC from *NPN-IdentitityInfoList* as proposed in RIL H422.**

## 3.4 Issue 14: Meaning of new PCI ranges for CAGs

[**R2-2004521**](http://3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_110-e/Docs/R2-2004521.zip) **lists two options for the cells to be included in PCI range:**

Option 1: All cells support CAG, which includes CAG only cells and shared CAG cells;

Option 2: CAG only cells, mimicked from LTE CSG cell.

**Question 14a: Which option do you prefer to be included in PCI ranges for CAG cells?**

* **Option 1:** All cells support CAG(s), which includes CAG only cells and shared CAG cells;
* **Option 2:** CAG only cells, mimicked from LTE CSG cell

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Answer** | **Comment** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 3.5 Issue 15: Other proposals on PCI lists

**Proposals related to PCI lists that are not covered by other issues.**

[**R2-2004572**](http://3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_110-e/Docs/R2-2004572.zip) **contains the following proposal:**

* Proposal 1: The validity area of the PCI range can be the entire PLMN.
* Proposal 3: For the Public network and non-public network sharing scenario, a new Neighbor cell list shall be added for the NPN, the normal UE and the NPN UE can refer to the different Neighbor cell lists accordingly.

[**R2-2005148**](http://3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_110-e/Docs/R2-2005148.zip) **contains the following proposals:**

* Proposal 1: RAN2 to discuss if the definition of CAG cells needs to include the relationship with the cellReservedForOtherUse IE.
* Proposal 2: RAN2 to discuss if this is the common understanding that there is no associated UE behaviour defined for a CAG capable UE for PCI range.
* Proposal 3: RAN2 to discuss that if the IE cellReservedForOtherUse=true condition is added to the definition of CAG cell then non-CAG capable UE “may” ignore the cells in the PCI range

[**R2-2005689**](http://3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_110-e/Docs/R2-2005689.zip) **contains the following proposals:**

* Proposal 1: During cell reselection, UEs that are not interested in CAG may optionally not rank cells in the CAG PCI list if the following criteria is met: based on the synchronization signals the cell is not strong enough to be the highest ranked cell.
* Proposal 2: UE may use knowledge of the CAG PCIs to improve implementation dependent search procedures for CAGs.
* Proposal 3: UEs that are configured to only connect to CAG cells matching the UE’s Allowed List shall search for all PCIs, irrespective of availability of CAG PCI ranges.

**Q15: Please indicate which of the above proposals do you think should be agreed (at least in principle) during to meeting to be able to finalize Rel-16 specifications?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Proposals to be agreed** | **Comment** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 3.6 Issue 16: Other proposals

**Proposals that are not covered by other issues.**

[**R2-2004690**](http://3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_110-e/Docs/R2-2004690.zip) **contains the following proposals:**

* Proposal 2 Agree the CR text included below to be transferred to a CR on 38.306 for inclusion of CGI reporting capability for NPN. [See Annex 1 below]
* Proposal 3 Include SIB10 in SI-SchedulingInfo using valueTags as for any other SIB (except SIB6,7,8). [See Annex 2 below]

[**R2-2004743**](http://3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_110-e/Docs/R2-2004743.zip) **contains the following proposals:**

* Proposal 1: For NPN-only cell, the *plmn-IdentityInfoList* is not reported.

[**R2-2005593**](http://3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_110-e/Docs/R2-2005593.zip) **contains the following proposals:**

* Proposal 1: The following solutions for network controlled manual CAG selection should be discussed:
	+ Option 1: The CAG cell broadcasts a new indication to indicate whether a CAG-ID supported by the cell can be selected manually, and the new indication can be include in SIB1 or SIB10.
	+ Option 2: The UE is pre-configured with an allowed manually selected CAG list, which contains the CAGs that the UE is allowed to select manually.
	+ Option 3: UE reports that the access is based on manual CAG selection in RRC message.
* Proposal 2: SIB10 can be requested on-demand by UEs in RRC\_CONNECTED.
* Proposal 3: For CAG capable UE with CAG-only indication set to TRUE, it reads the PCI ranges but how it use the PCI ranges is up to UE implementation. For other UEs, whether it reads the PCI ranges and how it uses the PCI ranges are up to UE implementation.

[**R2-2005659**](http://3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_110-e/Docs/R2-2005659.zip) **contains the following proposals:**

* Proposal 2: While the UE is camped on a allowed CAG cell, the UE may consider the current frequency to be the highest priority frequency (i.e. higher than any of the network configured values), irrespective of any other priority value allocated to this frequency.
* Proposal 3: If the UE detects one or more suitable CAG cells on different frequencies, then the UE may reselect to one of the detected cells irrespective of the frequency priority of the cell the UE is currently camped on, if the concerned CAG cell is the highest ranked cell on that frequency.
* Proposal 4: RAN2 does not introduce any enhancements to enable network to prioritize manually selected CAG for mobility in connected mode.

**Q16: Please indicate which of the above proposals do you think should be agreed (at least in principle) during to meeting to be able to finalize Rel-16 specifications?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Proposals to be agreed** | **Comment** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# 4 Conclusions

## 4.1 Proposals to be agreed over email

**Proposal 2a: The SNPN ID is never added to the *RRCResumeComplete.***

**Proposal 3: UAC parameter set for a PNI-NPN is selected based on the PLMN ID of PNI-NPNs. There is no need to broadcast CAG ID specific UAC parameter sets.**

**Proposal 4a: The PNI-NPNs** **belonging to the same PLMN have a common (shared) index value.**

**Proposal 5: Solution B will be used as baseline for indicating if it is allowed to manually select a CAG-ID supported by the CAG cell but outside the UE’s allowed CAG list.**

**SOLUTION B**

NPN-Identity-r16 ::= CHOICE {

 pni-npn-r16 SEQUENCE {

 plmn-Identity-r16 PLMN-Identity,

 cag-IdentityList-r16 SEQUENCE (SIZE (1..maxNPN-r16)) OF CAG-IdentityInfo-r16

 },

 snpn-r16 SEQUENCE {

 plmn-Identity PLMN-Identity,

 nid-List-r16 SEQUENCE (SIZE (1..maxNPN-r16)) OF NID-r16

 }

}

CAG-IdentityInfo-r16 ::= SEQUENCE {

 CAG-Identity-r16 BIT STRING (SIZE (32)),

 manualCAGselectionAllowed-r16 BOOLEAN

}

**Proposal 6a: No changes are needed in 38.331 due to comment in RIL Q006.**

**Proposal 8: To resolve RIL Z103 the following changes are needed in 5.2.2.4.2 of 38.331:**

3> if trackingAreaCode is not provided for the selected PLMN nor the registered PLMN nor PLMN of the equivalent PLMN list:

**Proposal 9: To resolve RIL I902 and I903 the following changes are needed in 5.3.3.4 of 38.331:**

2> set the *selectedPLMN-Identity* to the PLMN or SNPN selected by upper layers (TS 24.501 [23]) from the PLMN(s) included in the *plmn-IdentityList* or the PLMN(s) or SNPN(s) included in the *npn-IdentityInfoList* in *SIB1*;

**Proposal 13: Remove the duplicated field description for TAC from *NPN-IdentitityInfoList* as proposed in RIL H422*.***

## 4.2 The following issues are proposed to be discussed further

**Proposal 1a: RAN2 assumes that the manually selected CAG ID has no impact to cell reselection. (This requires no change in the existing draft CRs.) The final decision will happen after reply LS from SA2 on this issue is received.**

**Proposal 1b: RAN2 assumes that the UE shall select a cell supporting the manually selected CAG ID provided by NAS for initial cell selection. The relevant changes should be added to the running 38.304 CR. The final decision will happen after reply LS from SA2 on this issue is received.**

**Proposal 2b: RAN2 assumes that the CAG ID is never added to the *RRCResumeComplete*. (This assumption is to be captured in the running RRC CR.) The final decision will happen after reply LS from SA2 on this issue is received.**