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# Introduction

In this paper, companies are invited to discuss the RLC remaining issues.

R2-2002019 Summary for NR V2X RLC left issues Ericsson discussion Rel-16 5G\_V2X\_NRSL-Core

* [Offline#706]: To discuss and decide proposal 1, 2 and 3 (Ericsson, R2-2001972) (Comeback next Wed.)

# Discussion

In the RAN2#107bis meeting the following agreement was made:

|  |
| --- |
| **RAN2#107:****Agreements on SL RLC:** 6: One bi-directional SLRB based RLC AM is taken as the baseline for SL RLC design. FFS possible enhancements.8: For unicast NR SL RLC UM, 6-bit and 12-bit RLC SN length are supported.11: For NR SL RLC AM, 12-bit and 18-bit RLC SN length are supported.**RAN2#107bis****Agreements on RLC UM:** 1: For SL groupcast/broadcast, only uni-directional RLC UM SLRB is supported (i.e. no support of bi-directional RLC UM SLRB). FFS on SL unicast. |

For NR Uu, UL and DL side of the same bi-directional AM/UM RLC entity are configured separately as shown below, and the SN lengths can be different.

|  |
| --- |
| **From TS 38.331:***RLC-Config* information element-- ASN1START-- TAG-RLC-CONFIG-STARTRLC-Config ::= CHOICE { am SEQUENCE { ul-AM-RLC UL-AM-RLC, dl-AM-RLC DL-AM-RLC }, um-Bi-Directional SEQUENCE { ul-UM-RLC UL-UM-RLC, dl-UM-RLC DL-UM-RLC }, um-Uni-Directional-UL SEQUENCE { ul-UM-RLC UL-UM-RLC }, um-Uni-Directional-DL SEQUENCE { dl-UM-RLC DL-UM-RLC }, ...}UL-AM-RLC ::= SEQUENCE { sn-FieldLength SN-FieldLengthAM OPTIONAL, -- Cond Reestab t-PollRetransmit T-PollRetransmit, pollPDU PollPDU, pollByte PollByte, maxRetxThreshold ENUMERATED { t1, t2, t3, t4, t6, t8, t16, t32 }}DL-AM-RLC ::= SEQUENCE { sn-FieldLength SN-FieldLengthAM OPTIONAL, -- Cond Reestab t-Reassembly T-Reassembly, t-StatusProhibit T-StatusProhibit}UL-UM-RLC ::= SEQUENCE { sn-FieldLength SN-FieldLengthUM OPTIONAL -- Cond Reestab}DL-UM-RLC ::= SEQUENCE { sn-FieldLength SN-FieldLengthUM OPTIONAL, -- Cond Reestab t-Reassembly T-Reassembly} |

Therefore, one issue to clarify is about bi-directional SL RLC AM entity configuration. In the first question, companies are asked if RAN2 follow the Uu principle that the TX side and RX side of the same SL RLC AM entity can be configured with different SN lengths.

**Question 1: Do companies agree that the TX side and RX side of the same SL RLC AM entity can be configured with different SL lengths? Please describe you reason in the comment if No is selected.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comment** |
| OPPO | Yes | Just to clarify the SN length of TX side and RX side of the same SL RLC AM entity is not configured by one UE. Instead of that RX side is configured by counterpart UE. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

In the second question, to address the left FFS point, do companies agree that both uni-directional and bi-directional SL RLC UM entities are supported for SL unicast? Note that uni-directional RLC entity means that only TX side or RX side is configured within the same RLC entity, while bi-directional RLC entity means that bot TX side and RX side are configured within the same RLC entity.

**Question 2: Do companies agree that both uni-directional and bi-directional RLC UM SLRB are supported for SL unicast? Please describe you reason in the comment if No is selected.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comment** |
| OPPO | Yes | Just to clarify that “bi-directional RLC entity means that both TX side and RX side are configured within the same RLC entity”, it dies not necessary mean the configuration is done from initiating UE within one RRCReconfigurationSidelink message. Instead of that the RX side is configured by counterpart UE via another RRC reconfiguration procedure for the same SLRB. So the IE SL-RLC-ConfigPC5-r16 in current running CR should be modified as following:SL-RLC-ConfigPC5-r16 ::= CHOICE { sl-AM-RLC-r16 SEQUENCE { sl-SN-FieldLengthAM-r16 SN-FieldLengthAM OPTIONAL, -- Need M ... },  sl-UM-RLC-r16 SEQUENCE { sl-SN-FieldLengthUM-r16 SN-FieldLengthUM OPTIONAL, -- Need M ... }} |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

If bi-directional RLC UM SLRB is supported, one following question, similar as Q1, is that if TX side and RX side of the same SL RLC UM entity can be configured with different lengths?

**Question 3: If bi-directional RLC UM SLRB is supported, do companies agree that the TX side and RX side of the same bi-directional SL RLC UM entity can be configured with different SL lengths? Please describe you reason in the comment if No is selected.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comment** |
| OPPO | Yes | Similar comments as answer to Q1 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

If uni-directional RLC UM SLRB is supported, another following issue (related to the comment from Futurewei during online discussion) is that if the SL QoS flow is a bi-directional SL QoS flow, how to ensure that it is not mapped to a uni-directional RLC UM SLRB?

In rapporteur’s understanding, one alternative is to leave it to implementation, if a bi-directional SL QoS flow is mapped to a uni-directional RLC UM SLRB (by the initiating UE or by the gNB of the initiating UE), in the worst case, the peer UE might have to initiate a new SL QoS flow to support the traffic in the other direction.

As another alternative, without knowing whether the QoS flow is uni-directional or bi-directional, a UE/gNB only configures the TX side of an RLC UM entity, while the RX side configuration relies on the triggering/parameters from peer UE/gNB. For example:

* To support a SL QoS flow, UE#1 initiates/configures an RLC UM SLRB#1 to transmit packet to UE#2. Only UE#1->UE#2 direction of SLRB#1 is configured. SLRB#1 is a uni-directional RLC UM SLRB at this moment.
* When UE#2 receives the configuration of SLRB#1 and decides to use SLRB#1 to transmit packet to UE#1 in the other direction, UE#2 can configure the UE#2->UE#1 direction of SLRB#1. SLRB#1 becomes a bi-directional RLC UM SLRB.

**Question 4: If uni-directional RLC UM SLRB is supported, how to ensure a bi-directional SL QoS flow is not mapped to a uni-directional RLC UM SLRB?**

1. **UE/gNB only configures the TX side of an RLC UM entity, while the RX side configuration relies on the triggering/parameters from peer UE/gNB. Basically, the peer UE/gNB is allowed to turn a uni-directional RLC UM SLRB into a bi-directional RLC UM SLRB.**
2. **Up to implementation**
3. **Others**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Option** | **Comment** |
| OPPO | A with comment | Peer UE/gNB is also allowed to configure another SLRB for the same QoS flow. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# Conclusion

For the proposals listed in the section 2, we believe all of them can be easily agreed in this e-meeting: