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# 1 Introduction

This document provide the collection of summary for the following offline discussion.

* [AT109e][622][POS] Uplink capability for positioning (Huawei)

Intended outcome: Summary of agreements on whether/what UL capability is needed at LMF. Summary in R2-2001947.

Deadline: Wednesday 2020-03-04 1300 CET

# 2 Discussion

## 2.1 Whether UL capability is needed at LMF

In [1], companies provided their views on capabilities to be transferred in LPP for the purpose of positioning. Based on the input, we suggest to discuss whether UL capability is needed at LMF for different positioning method that involves UL.

### Discussion#1: Whether UL capability is needed at LMF for multi-RTT positioning

Companies are encouraged to provide their view on the options or provide other options

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comments |
| Ericsson | UL SRS related detailed UE capability such as number of positioning frequency layers, resourceSets etc should be provided to gNB. However generic capability such as multi-RTT measurement support can be provided to LMF. |
| Qualcomm | SRS-for-positioning capabilities are needed at the LMF in order to provide appropriate assistance data to the serving gNB in the Positioning Information Exchange procedure. |
| Huawei/HiSilicon | Currently yes. Since Multi-RTT can only use SRS for positioning, without the capability, LMF cannot decide whether to trigger RTT positioning. |
| CATT | Assuming the UL capability here means the UE UL capability. The UE capability about frequency resources is not needed in LMF, such as:  • UL-SRS-Config-Capabilities(from RAN1)   * e.g. NumOfUL-PositioningResourcesPerSet * TotalNumOfUL-PositioningResources[64] * TotalNumOfUL-PositioningResourceSets   But generic and report capability should report to LMF, such as:  • ul-SRS-Capabilities  • periodicalReporting  • RSRP-Capabilities  • Rx-Tx time difference-Capabilities |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### Discussion#2: Whether UL capability is needed at LMF for UL-only positioning

Companies are encouraged to provide their view on the options or provide other options

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comments |
| Ericsson | UL SRS capability is not needed in LMF. Since, gNB configures the resource and depending upon the available resource gNB would always strive to provide the best configurations. This principle should work. It is ok if LMF wants to recommend on how many spatial relations it wants to be configured. This input gNB may take into consideration while configuring SRS. |
| Qualcomm | SRS-for-positioning capabilities are needed at the LMF in order to provide appropriate assistance data to the serving gNB in the Positioning Information Exchange procedure. |
| Huawei/HiSilicon | We slightly prefer to have it, since Rel-16 SRS positioning can be configured with association between DL RS from neighbouring gNBs, while Rel-15 SRS cannot. Without this capability, LMF cannot know whether the assistance data to the serving gNB for SRS spatial relation and pathloss will be useful. |
| CATT | Assuming the UL capability here means the UE UL capability. The UE capability about frequency resources should report to gNB not to LMF, such as:  • UL-SRS-Config-Capabilities(from RAN1)   * e.g. NumOfUL-PositioningResourcesPerSet * TotalNumOfUL-PositioningResources[64] * TotalNumOfUL-PositioningResourceSets   UL SRS TX capability can be report to LMF, such as:  • ul-SRS-Capabilities |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

***Proposal 1:***

## 2.2 Discussion#3: What UL capability is needed at LMF

Companies are encouraged to provide their views for multi-RTT and/or UL-only positioning, if the capability is needed.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comments |
| Ericsson | Positioning measurement related capability whether UE supports multi-RTT UE RxTx, RTOA measurements or not. |
| Qualcomm | It may depend on the final RAN1 capabilities/feature list, but the following general capabilities seem needed at the LMF:  1. Max # of SRS Resource Sets for positioning  2. Max # of aperiodic SRS Resources for positioning  3. Max # of semi-persistent SRS Resources for positioning  4. Max # of periodic SRS Resources for positioning  5. Support of SSB for neighbour cell DL pathloss estimation and SRS for positioning power control  6. Support of DL PRS for neighbour cell DL pathloss estimation and SRS for positioning power control  7. Spatial Relation of SRS Resources for positioning with DL PRS / SSB  8. Spatial Relation of SRS Resources for positioning with SRS resources for positioning  9. Number of additional pathloss estimates across all SRS resource sets for positioning that the UE is expected to simultaneously maintain |
| Huawei/HiSilicon | Whether UE supports SRS for positioning (basic FG of SRS for positioning if defined).  Support of pathloss reference from the non-serving cell of SRS for positioning.  Support of spatial relation from the non-serving cell of SRS for positioning.  Details subject to RAN1 UE feature discussion. |
| CATT | Please see the comments of Q1 and Q2. The capabilities are list there in detail. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

***Proposal 2:***

# 3 Conclusions

TBD
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