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# 1 Scope of the offline email discussion

This document contains the summary of the offline email discussion  [AT109e][419][eMTC/NB-IoT] Connection to 5GC: Open Issues”, as indicated below:

* [AT109e][419][eMTC/NB-IoT] Connection to 5GC: Open Issues (Huawei)

Scope: Further discussion to address the remaining issues, i.e., proposals S1-5, S2-2, S3-1, and identify potential agreements.

Intended outcome: Report with a list of proposals categorized as agreeable, need further discussion, postpone. The outcome can be provided in R2-2001885

Deadline: Tuesday, Mar 3rd 17:00 CET

Schedule: Wednesday, Mar 4th, 06:30 - 07:30 CET

Connection to 5GC was discussed in RAN2#109e based on R2-2002014 [1] with the following agreements:

**Agreements**

- DRBs are resumed upon receiving RRCConnectionResume in UP optimization when connected to 5GC.

- When idle mode eDRX is not configured, eMTC UEs in RRC\_INACTIVE monitor the paging occasions according to the shortest of the cell default paging cycle, the UE specific DRX (if configured), and the RAN paging cycle (if configured).

- When idle mode eDRX is not configured, eMTC UEs in RRC\_INACTIVE cannot be configured with values 5.12 sec and 10.24 sec

- DRB resumption for EDT for eMTC UEs connected to 5GC follows the same principle as in EPC, i.e.:

­ drb-ContinueROHC is provided in RRCConnectionRelease message triggering the suspension in RRC\_IDLE. The flag applies to all DRBs.

­ When resuming the DRBs for EDT, RRC procedure text triggers PDCP re-establishment and provides NR PDCP with the drb-ContinueROHC indication received in RRCConnectionRelease message.

The document discusses S1-5, S2-2, S3-1 in [1]

# 2 Discussion

## 2.1 Paging in RRC\_INACTIVE when idle mode eDRX is configured

**[1] Proposal S1-5:** Offline discussion on paging in RRC\_INACTIVE for eMTC UEs configured with idle mode eDRX:

* option 1: UE monitors paging occasions (POs) during CM-IDLE PTW according to the min {UE specific DRX cycle, default DRX cycle, RAN paging cycle} and monitor paging occasions outside CM-IDLE PTW according to RAN paging cycle.
* option 2 :
* If extended RAN paging cycles (i.e. 5.12, 10.24 Sec) are configured, UE monitors paging occasions according to RAN paging cycle
* If extended RAN paging cycles (i.e. 5.12, 10.24 Sec) are not configured, UE monitors paging occasions according to the min {UE specific DRX cycle, default DRX cycle, RAN paging cycle}

For details on the options, please refer to [3], [4] for option 1 and [2] for option 2.

It is proposed to discuss first the feasibility, pros and cons of the two options and then indicate company’s preference.

**Discussion Point P1-1: Indicate whether option 1 is feasible as well as the pros and cons of this option.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **is it feasible?** | **Comments** |
| QC | No for Option 1. | **Option 1**: In RRC\_INACTIVE state, CN paging is sent by AMF only when there is state mismatch and is considered as error scenario.  UE in RRC\_INACTIVE state does not have to maintain idle eDRX cycle based POs. When RAN paging cycle is configured, POs of RAN paging cycle will overlap with some of idle mode eDRX POs. Just for monitoring CN paging as per idle eDRX POs, there is no need for RRC\_INACTIVE UE to wake in every PO as per idle mode eDRX PTW (which is power inefficient for UE). Due to state mismatch error scenario , if any CN page is received by ng-eNB from AMF, ng-eNB can buffer and send to UE as per RAN paging cycle POs .   * In RRC\_INACTIVE state, CN page delivery delay is not critical but UE power saving is more important. * Maintaining different POs for CN and RAN paging adds unwanted UE complexity without any major benefit   Note that RRC\_INACTIVE PTW was not agreed due to fact that there is no need for UE to wake multiple times within PTW and RRC\_INACTIVE short eDRX cycles (5.12, 10.24 sec) are not too long and page delivery latency is not a major concern.  In R15 eLTE RRC\_INACTIVE case (there is no idle eDRX support), UE does not monitor POs separately for CN and RAN pages.  In summary option 1 adds UE complexity, unwanted new PO monitoring rules for CN and RAN page monitoring separately, higher UE power consumption, unnecessary optimization for monitoring error scenarios triggered CN pages. |
|  |  |  |

**Discussion Point P1-2: Indicate whether option 2 is feasible as well as the pros and cons of this option.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **is it feasible ?** | **Comments** |
| QC | Yes for Option 2 | **Option 2:** with this option, UE is required to maintain POs based on RAN paging cycles (i.e 5.12, 10.24 sec) and no need to maintain separate POs for CN and RAN Page monitoring. For saving UE power, network can configure 5.12, 10.24 sec short eDRX cycles. In every PO as per RAN paging cycle, UE determines whether received page is RAN or CN page based on paging identity received and this does not cause any additional processing and no additional power consumption.  If RAN paging cycle values (320ms, 640ms, 1.28 sec, 2.56 sec) are configured then UE follows legacy mechanism of min {UE specific DRX cycle, default DRX cycle, RAN paging cycle}.  In summary, this option simplifies UE implementation, no need to have separate POs for CN and RAN page monitoring, no need for UE maintaining idle mode eDRX and RAN paging cycles in INACTIVE state, higher UE power saving, simplified spec rules. |
|  |  |  |

Conclusion: TBC

Proposal: TBC

**Discussion Point P1-3: Please indicate your company’s preference.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **option 1 / option 2** | **Comments** |
| QC | Option 2 | See above comments. |
|  |  |  |

Conclusion: TBC

Proposal: TBC

## 2.2 Remaining aspects related to DRB resumption

**[1] Proposal S2-2**: Offline discussion on remaining aspects related to DRB resumption, covering:

* full configuration
* particularities of NR PDCP

It is proposed to discuss the issues raised in [5] and also to indicate additional issues if any.

**Full Configuration during MO-EDT**

In [5], it is indicated that full configuration will trigger the release followed by establishment of all layer 2 entities in both UE and the ng-eNB and that, for EDT, it means that the data transmitted in MSG3 are lost. It is proposed to capture this case in stage 2.

**Proposal**: If RRCConnectionResume message received in response to MO-EDT includes fullConfig, then the UE shall consider that the data were not successfully transmitted.

**Discussion Point P2-1: Indicate whether you agree or not with the proposal and provide justifications.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **do you agree with the proposal (yes/no)** | **Comments** |
| QC | yes |  |
|  |  |  |

Conclusion: TBC

Proposal: TBC

**DRB suspension with NR PDCP**

In [5], it is mentioned that there is a new procedure ‘PDCP Suspend’ triggered at the time of suspension to RRC\_INACTIVE, which resets the COUNT. In [5], it is proposed to use the same procedure for the UP optimisation for eMTC UEs connected to 5GC.

**Proposal**: PDCP Suspend is triggered at the time of suspension to RRC\_IDLE for eMTC UEs connected to 5GC.

**Discussion Point P2-2: Indicate whether you agree or not with the proposal and provide justification.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **do you agree with the proposal (yes/no)** | **Comments** |
| QC | yes | With new security keys being used for resume operation, (as NCC was given to UE in RRC Connection Release msg), resetting COUNT does not cause any security issue. |
|  |  |  |

Conclusion: TBC

Proposal: TBC

**DRB resumption for non-EDT**

In [5], it is described that in rel-15 eLTE, upon reception of RRCConnectionResume to resume a connection from RRC\_INACTIVE, there is no automatic trigger of PDCP re-establishment nor specific handling for ROHC continuation. The related actions are triggered by the setting of the respective flags in nr-radioResourceConfig. In [5], it is proposed to follow the same approach for eMTC connected to 5GC for non-EDT.

**Proposal:** DRB resumption for non-EDT for eMTC UEs connected to 5GC follows the same principle as in RRC\_INACTIVE, i.e.:

* When resuming the DRBsfor non-EDT, RRC procedure text does not trigger PDCP re-establishment.
* PDCP re-establishment and ROHC continuation for each DRB are triggered by the presence of the respective flags in *RRCConnectionResume* message as specified in TS 38.331 [82], clause 5.3.5.6;

**Discussion Point P2-3: Indicate whether you agree or not with the proposal and provide.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **do you agree with the proposal (yes/no)** | **Comments** |
| QC | yes |  |
|  |  |  |

Conclusion: TBC

Proposal: TBC

**SRB1 resumption**

In [5], it is described that, in Rel-15 eLTE, to allow full configuration in RRCConnectionResume message, SRB1 is configured with default RLC and PDCP configuration when resuming the connection. In [5], it is proposed to follow the same approach for NB-IoT and eMTC connected to 5GC.

**Proposal**: When resuming the RRC connection, the default RLC configuration and default (NR) PDCP configuration is applied to SRB1 for eMTC and NB-IoT UEs connected to 5GC.

**Discussion Point P2-4: Indicate whether you agree or not with the proposal and provide justifications.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **do you agree with the proposal (yes/no)** | **Comments** |
| QC | yes |  |
|  |  |  |

Conclusion: TBC

Proposal: TBC

**NR PDCP configuration for SRB1**

In [5], it is described that, in Rel-15 eLTE, UE implicitly changes to NR-PDCP for SRB1 upon reception of RRCConnectionSetup in response to RRCConnectionResumeRequest. In [5], it is proposed to follow the same approach for eMTC connected to 5GC.

**Proposal**: Upon fallback to RRC connection establishment procedure during RRC connection resumption, eMTC UEs implicitly change to NR-PDCP for SRB1.

**Discussion Point P2-5: Indicate whether you agree or not with the proposal and provide justifications.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **do you agree with the proposal (yes/no)** | **Comments** |
| QC | ?? | When UE resume from RRC\_INACTIVE state, UE sends RRC Connection Resume Req msg over SRB0.  For 5GC connectivity, UE always uses NR-PDCP for SRB1, SRB2 and all DRBs. Even when UE gets RRC Connection Seup msg, UE will  If the UE is resuming the RRC connection from RRC\_INACTIVE, the UE shall set the contents of *RRCConnectionResumeRequest* message as follows:  ………………..  2> apply the default configuration for SRB1 as specified in 9.2.1.1;  2> apply the default NR PDCP configuration as specified in TS 38.331 [82], clause 9.2.1 for SRB1;  I am not sure what is meant by term “change to NR PDCP for SRB1”. Since UE is not changing PDCP type from LTE PDCP to NR PDCP type in this case. |
|  |  |  |

Conclusion: TBC

Proposal: TBC

**Other**

**Discussion Point P2-6: Indicate any other potential issues related to the use of NR PDCP for eMTC UEs connected to 5GC**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Conclusion: TBC

Proposal: TBC

## 2.3 Aligning Cat M definition with LTE-M indicator

[1] **Proposal S3-1**: Discuss the change in the e-mail discussion on the running eMTC 36.306 running CR or postpone to next meeting

In [6], it indicated that clarification in TS 36.306 is essential to ensure LTE-M indicator serves the purpose it is intended for. A text proposal is provided for inclusion in the eMTC running CR to TS 36.306.

**Proposal:** Changes proposed in section 2 of [6] be included in the eMTC running CR to TS 36.306.

**Discussion Point P3-1: Do you agree with the text proposal and do you have any comments on the suggested text**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Do you agree with the text proposal** | **Comments** |
| QC | yes | We are ok to capture it as part of running CR as well. |
|  |  |  |

Conclusion: TBC

Proposal: TBC

# 3 Summary

**Conclusions:**

TBC

# 4 List of referenced documents

[1] [R2-2002014](http://ftp.3gpp.org/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109_e/Docs/R2-2002014.zip) Summary of contributions for connection to 5GC (AI 7.1.12) Huawei discussion

[2] [R2-2000538](http://ftp.3gpp.org/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109_e/Docs/R2-2000538.zip) Page monitoring in RRC\_INACTIVE state with short eDRX Qualcomm India Pvt Ltd

[3] [R2-2000645](http://ftp.3gpp.org/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109_e/Docs/R2-2000645.zip) Discussion on paging of RRC\_INACTIVE for eMTC connected to 5GC Huawei, HiSilicon, Ericsson

[4] [R2-2001211](http://ftp.3gpp.org/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109_e/Docs/R2-2001211.zip) FFSs for supporting short eDRX in RRC\_INACTIVE for eMTC in 5GC ZTE Corporation, Sanechips

[5] [R2-2000646](http://ftp.3gpp.org/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109_e/Docs/R2-2000646.zip) SRBs and DRBs handling for NB-IoT and eMTC connected to 5GC Huawei, HiSilicon

[6] [R2-2000311](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109_e/Docs/R2-2000311.zip) Text proposal for 36.306 to align Cat M definition with LTE-M indicator Qualcomm