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# 1 Brief scope of the paper

This document aims at collecting companies’ views regarding the open issues for Conditional Handover Failure handling, as summarized in [8].

# 2 Discussion

## 2.1 Proposals from the summary in [8]

The following proposals have been provided in [8]:

**Proposal S2\_1: Do not introduce a new timer to control the conditional handover procedure after RLF or HOF/CHOF.**

**Proposal S4\_1: Ensure *DataInactivityTimer* is stopped when CHO execution is triggered. Check whether the existing RRC CR needs to be updated accordingly.**

**Proposal S5\_1: Do not consider in Rel-16 additional scenarios where failure recovery via CHO can be applied.**

Based on brief RAN2 discussion we had directly before the RAN2#109e meeting, we assume those may be agreeable to most (hopefully all). Thus, we would like to ask collectively:

|  |
| --- |
| **Question 1: Are you OK with the proposals listed above? Please answer YES or NO. If the answer is NO, please kindly inform which proposal is not OK and why.** |
| **Company** | **Answer** |
| Xiaomi | Regarding Proposal S4\_1, I’m not convinced how gNB could ensure DataInactivityTimer is stopped when CHO execution is triggered, since gNB is not aware when UE executes CHO.Regarding Proposal S5\_1, I think it’s not clear what are the use cases. Some companies e.g. email rapporteaur (Nokia), seems to think only RLF and intra-RAT HOF should be handled. But some companies e.g. WI rapporteur (Intel), seems to think RLF, intra-RAT HOF and inter-RAT HOF should be handled. We should make this clear, both inter-RAT and intra-RAT HOF should be handled. While at compliance failure with NR RRC connection reconfiguration, LTE UE could also trigger CHO failure recovery, which has little spec or implementation impact. |
| OPPO | YES to all proposals. For proposal S4\_1, we think the intention is to capture UE’s behaviour. In the current RRC CR, upon CHO execution, UE will reset the MAC entity and *DataInactivityTimer* will be stopped. |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Yes to all proposals. |
| MediaTek | YES to all proposals. |
| Intel | Yes to all proposals.  |
| Apple | Yes to all proposals.  |
| Futurewei | Yes to all proposals. |
| Samsung | For Proposal S4\_1, On receiving reconfiguration with sync, UE resets the MAC entity. As part of MAC reset, all running timers are stopped. There seems to be no case where T304 and DataInactivityTimer are running simultaneously on the UE. Hence, it seems there is nothing to be handled and the existing specification suffice. |

## 2.2 Issues from [8] requiring further discussion

The authors of [6] and [7] have discussed on the UE actions in case recovery via CHO (specified in Rel-16 MobEnh WI) and fast MCG recovery (specified in Rel-16 DC/CA enhancements WI) are configured simultaneously, while the UE encounters PCell’s RLF. In [8] the following suggestion for a discussion was made:

**DISC S6\_1: Discuss further which solution shall be chosen in case of Pcell’s failure when both recovery via CHO and fast MCG recovery are configured.**

Thus, we would like to ask the RAN2 companies to answer the following question:

|  |
| --- |
| **Question 2: What shall be the UE’s behaviour in case of Pcell’s failure when both recovery via CHO and fast MCG recovery are configured? Please motivate your selection.** |
| **Company** | **Answer** |
| Xiaomi | Fast MCG recovery has higher probability to recover connection to MCG, therefore should be prioritized. If fast MCG recovery fails, this is a new use case for CHO failure recovery, which is related to use case discussion in [8]. I think UE could trigger CHO failure recovery in this new scenario. |
| OPPO | Fast MCG recovery should be chosen. Recovery via CHO is not always possible in case when the selected cell is not a CHO candidate, in which case, re-establishment will be performed and will introduce more data interruption. Fast MCG recovery is thus a better option. |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Fast MCG recovery should be chosen. |
| MediaTek | Fast MCG recovery should be chosen. Recovery via CHO is only possible when there is another CHO candidate, and should be considered as a “secondary” solution. |
| Intel | It depends whether CHO can be configured together with DC. If it is possible, we should avoid to have multiple recovery, e.g. MCG failure recovery first and then CHO, i.e. we should only select one solution, MCG failure or CHO.  |
| Apple | MCG failure recovery should be prioritized, since UE is still in connected mode and data transmission is still ongoing via SCG.  |
| Futurewei | Fast MCG recovery should have high priority over the CHO recovery. |
| Samsung | We don’t see a reason for the network to configure both MCG recovery and CHO failure handling to UE at the same time. Therefore, we suggest that a restriction is placed where the network can only configure one of these recovery mechanism to the UE at any given time.  |

# 3 Conclusions

To be filled.
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