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# 1 Scope of the offline email discussion

This document contains the summary of the offline email discussion “**[AT109e][202][LTE15] Discuss remaining LTE Rel-15 CRs (RAN2 VC)**”, as indicated below:

* [AT109e][202][LTE15] Discuss remaining LTE Rel-15 CRs (RAN2 VC)

Scope:

* + - Discuss the CRs [R2-2001139](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109_e/Docs/R2-2001139.zip), [R2-2001156](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109_e/Docs/R2-2001156.zip), [R2-2001157](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109_e/Docs/R2-2001157.zip), [R2-2001508](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109_e/Docs/R2-2001508.zip), [R2-2001347](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109_e/Docs/R2-2001347.zip) and [R2-2001351](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109_e/Docs/R2-2001351.zip) over offline (email) discussion to solicit opinions from companies on the proposals and CR correctness.
		- Handle any CRs from discussion **201** that are deemed require further discussion

 Intended outcome:

* + - Whether any of the CRs can be agreed?
		- For CRs that cabn be agreed, final CRs (by CR proponents)
		- Summary of discussions (by email rappporteur)

 Deadline for providing comments and for rappporteur inputs:

* + - Companies input: Thursday, Feb. 27th 17:00 CET
		- Rapporteur summary: Friday, Feb. 28th 17:00 CET (one day for rapporteur to make conclusions)
		- Updated CRs from each CR proponent: Monday Mar. 2nd 17:00 CET
		- Comments on CR wording: Tuesday, March 3rd by 17:00 CET (i.e. one day to provide comments to the updated CR)

# 2 LTE legacy CRs in this offline email discussion

## 2.1 [R2-2001139](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109_e/Docs/R2-2001139.zip), “Inclusion of Maximum Number of PDCP SDUs per TTI for DL Categories 22-26“ Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell

The CR in the title is discussed in this section. Companies are requested to provide comments in the table below (one row for each new comment to better keep track of the discussion – please don’t edit the previous comments.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Do you agree to the intent of the CR?** | **Detailed comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Conclusion: TBA

Proposal: TBA

## 2.2 [R2-2001156](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109_e/Docs/R2-2001156.zip), [R2-2001157](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109_e/Docs/R2-2001157.zip) “Correction of UE assistance information Samsung Telecommunications

The CR in the title is discussed in this section. Companies are requested to provide comments in the table below (one row for each new comment to better keep track of the discussion – please don’t edit the previous comments.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Do you agree to the intent of the CR?** | **Detailed comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Conclusion: TBA

Proposal: TBA

## 2.3 [R2-2001508](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109_e/Docs/R2-2001508.zip), “Correction on the content of RRCConnectionReconfigurationComplete message“ Google

The CR in the title is discussed in this section. Companies are requested to provide comments in the table below (one row for each new comment to better keep track of the discussion – please don’t edit the previous comments.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Do you agree to the intent of the CR?** | **Detailed comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Conclusion: TBA

Proposal: TBA

## 2.4 [R2-2001347](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109_e/Docs/R2-2001347.zip), “The problem of LTE RLC out-of-order delivery configuration“ Samsung AND [R2-2001351](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109_e/Docs/R2-2001351.zip), “CR on RLC OutOfOrderDelivery configuration“ Samsung

The CR in the title is discussed in this section. Companies are requested to provide comments in the table below (one row for each new comment to better keep track of the discussion – please don’t edit the previous comments.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Do you agree to the intent of the discussion document and the CR?** | **Detailed comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Conclusion: TBA

Proposal: TBA

# 3 Conclusions

**Conclusions:**

TBA – list of conclusions for each CR.

**Agreed CRs:**

TBA – list of agreed CRs (with Tdoc numbers).
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