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Elbonia, Online, 24 February – 6 March 2020

**Agenda item: 4.5**

**Source: RAN2 Vice-chair (offline email discussion rapporteur)**

**Title: Report of [AT109e][201][LTE15] Agreeing to simple LTE Rel-15 CRs (RAN2 VC)**

**Document for: Report**

# 1 Scope of the offline email discussion

This document contains the summary of the offline emaikl discussion “**[AT109e][201][LTE15] Agreeing to simple LTE Rel-15 CRs (RAN2 VC)**”, as indicated below:

* [AT109e][201][LTE15] Agreeing to simple LTE Rel-15 CRs (RAN2 VC)

Scope:

* + - Agree to CRs in [R2-2000636](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109_e/Docs/R2-2000636.zip), [R2-2000663](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109_e/Docs/R2-2000663.zip), [R2-2000680](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109_e/Docs/R2-2000680.zip), [R2-2000685](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109_e/Docs/R2-2000685.zip), [R2-2000761](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109_e/Docs/R2-2000761.zip), [R2-2002056](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109_e/Docs/R2-2002056.zip) and [R2-2001158](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109_e/Docs/R2-2001158.zip).

Intended outcome:

* + - Agreeable CRs (by each CR proponent)
    - Summary of discussions (by email rappporteur), including list of CRs that require further discussion in this meeting (and are moved to discussion **202**)

Deadline for providing comments and for rappporteur inputs:

* + - Companies input: Thursday, Feb. 27th 17:00 CET
    - Rapporteur summary: Friday, Feb. 28th 17:00 CET (one day for rapporteur to make conclusions)
    - Updated CRs from each CR proponent: Monday Mar. 2nd 17:00 CET
    - Comments on CR wording: Tuesday, March 3rd by 17:00 CET (i.e. one day to provide comments to the updated CR)

# 2 LTE legacy CRs in this offline email discussion

## 2.1 [R2-2000663](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109_e/Docs/R2-2000663.zip), “Missing QCI to CAPC mapping”, Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell

The CR in the tile is discussed in this section. Companies are requested to provide comments in the table below (one row for each new comment to better keep track of the discussion – please don’t edit the previous comments.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Do you agree with the intent of the CR?** | **Detailed comments** |
| Lenovo | **Yes (although it’s a Rel-16 CR)** | Minor cover page issues need to be fixed:   * Wrong meeting #109bis. * Meeting dates need to be added. * CR title “Add new release 16 QCIs into CAPC mapping table” is not aligned with the one in Tdoc list. |
|  |  |  |

Conclusion: TBA

Proposal: TBA

## 2.2 [R2-2000636](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109_e/Docs/R2-2000636.zip), “Clarification on default configuration and SRB1 for UP-EDT and RRC\_INACTIVE”, Huawei, HiSilicon

The CR in the tile is discussed in this section. Companies are requested to provide comments in the table below (one row for each new comment to better keep track of the discussion – please don’t edit the previous comments.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Do you agree with the intent of the CR?** | **Detailed comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Conclusion: TBA

Proposal: TBA

## 2.3 [R2-2000680](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109_e/Docs/R2-2000680.zip), “Correction on cellReselectionSubPriority“ Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell

The CR in the tile is discussed in this section. Companies are requested to provide comments in the table below (one row for each new comment to better keep track of the discussion – please don’t edit the previous comments.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Do you agree with the intent of the CR?** | **Detailed comments** |
| Lenovo | **Yes** | Minor issues need to be fixed:   1. Cover page:  * Wrong meeting #109bis. * Meeting dates need to be added. * CR title “NR-SA cell reselection subpriority correction” is not aligned with the one in Tdoc list. * “Interoperability” and “Consequences if not approved”: we don’t think that the change is critical and thus should be no interoperability issues. From ASN.1 it is clear that CellReselectionSubPriority can be configured for NR, so it is just an alignment between ASN.1 and description.  1. Change itself:  * It is not needed to mention NR architecture option, i.e. “NR” is enough. * In this context font style issues can be corrected as well, i.e. change text from Arial 9pt to Times New Roman 10pt. |
|  |  |  |

Conclusion: TBA

Proposal: TBA

## 2.4 [R2-2000685](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109_e/Docs/R2-2000685.zip), “Correction on LTE early measurement“ MediaTek Inc., Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell, Ericsson

The CR in the tile is discussed in this section. Companies are requested to provide comments in the table below (one row for each new comment to better keep track of the discussion – please don’t edit the previous comments.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Do you agree with the intent of the CR?** | **Detailed comments** |
| Lenovo | **Yes** | Cover page issues need to be fixed/clarified:   * Meeting date is wrong. * We don’t think that for an LTE CR the “Impacted 5G architecture options: Standalone” is needed. |
|  |  |  |

Conclusion: TBA

Proposal: TBA

## 2.5 [R2-2000761](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109_e/Docs/R2-2000761.zip), “Corrections to T312 and Discovery Signals measurement“ Lenovo, Motorola Mobility

The CR in the tile is discussed in this section. Companies are requested to provide comments in the table below (one row for each new comment to better keep track of the discussion – please don’t edit the previous comments.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Do you agree with the intent of the CR?** | **Detailed comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Conclusion: TBA

Proposal: TBA

## 2.6 [R2-2002056](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109_e/Docs/R2-2002056.zip), “Correction to full configuration” Google Inc. (late Tdoc)

The CR in the tile is discussed in this section. Companies are requested to provide comments in the table below (one row for each new comment to better keep track of the discussion – please don’t edit the previous comments.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Do you agree with the intent of the CR?** | **Detailed comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Conclusion: TBA

Proposal: TBA

## 2.7 [R2-2001158](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109_e/Docs/R2-2001158.zip), “Minor corrections collected by Rapporteur“ Samsung Telecommunications

The CR in the tile is discussed in this section. Companies are requested to provide comments in the table below (one row for each new comment to better keep track of the discussion – please don’t edit the previous comments.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Do you agree with the intent of the CR?** | **Detailed comments** |
| Lenovo | **Yes** | 1. Cover page needs to be completed (meeting date is not correct; WI code, Impact analysis and Clauses affected are missing). 2. If possible, further changes can be added, e.g.  * In 5.5.4.1: add missing “s” in numberOfTriggeringCell:   3> If the number of cell(s) in the *cellsTriggeredList* is larger than or equal to *numberOfTriggeringCell****s***:   * Add missing “F” in *MeasResultCellS****F****TD* field descriptions. * Update UplinkPowerControl field descriptions: add missing “S” in *accumulationEnabled****S****TTI*; correct field description of uplinkPower-CSIPayload as shown below since it is of type BOOLEAN and mandatory present.   *TRUE* indicates that~~whether~~ the UE shall derive BPRE based on the actual value of O\_CQI for slot/subslot-PUSCH~~. If not present~~, whereas *FALSE* indicates that the largest value of O\_CQI across all RI values shall be used for the derivation of BPRE for slot/subslot-PUSCH. |
|  |  |  |

Conclusion: TBA

Proposal: TBA

# 3 Conclusions

**Conclusions:**

TBA – list of conclusions for each CR.

**Agreed CRs:**

TBA – list of agreed CRs (with Tdoc numbers).
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