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# 1. Introduction

This document is to facilitate the discussion on AT109e#037 PDCP Duplication Enhancement for IIOT.

|  |
| --- |
| * [AT109e][037][IIOT] PDCP Duplication Enhancements (LG)   Scope: Treat summary on PDCP Duplication Enhancements.  Intended outcome: Resolve issues, Describe Open Issues accurately.  Deadline: Mar 3 1200 CET (conclusions on “easy agreements” by Feb 27 1200 CET) |

The discussion should be based on the summary document submitted to this meeting.

|  |
| --- |
| R2-2001286 Summary of PDCP duplication enhancements LG Electronics Inc. report Rel-16 NR\_IIOT-Core Late |

This document tries to make easy agreements and resolve open issues identified in the R2-2001286.

# 2. Potential easy agreements

Potential agreements 1

- Rel-16 PDCP duplication is applied to SRBs.

- For SRBs, all secondary RLC entities are activated when configured.

- MAC CE based activation/deactivation of PDCP duplication is not supported for SRBs.

**Question 1: Are companies ok with the potential agreements 1?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comments |
| Nokia | Yes |  |
| LG | Yes |  |
| Ericsson | Yes |  |
| OPPO | Yes |  |
| Apple | Yes |  |
| Samsung | Yes |  |
| Spreadtrum | Yes |  |

**Proposal 1:**

Potential agreements 2

- When a secondary RLC entity is deactivated (but PDCP duplication is still activated), the UE shall discard duplicated PDCP PDUs in the deactivated secondary RLC entity.

**Question 2: Are companies ok with the potential agreements 2?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comments |
| Nokia | Yes |  |
| LG | No | We think this behavior is not essential with following reasons:  - if any segment of a PDCP PDU is transmitted, the RLC entity will keep transmitting the PDCP PDU even if the discard indication is provided to the RLC entity. The transmission of the PDCP PDU does not cause any problem in the receiving side.  - for AM DRB, even if a PDCP PDU is stored in the deactivated UM RLC entity without transmission, the discard indication will be provided to the RLC entity when the successful delivery of a PDCP PDU is confirmed by one of the associated AM RLC entities.  - for UM DRB, if a PDCP PDU is stored in the deactivated UM RLC entity for long time without transmission, and if the UM RLC entity is reactivated after the long time, the transmission of the PDCP PDU after reactivation may cause HFN de-synch problem in the receiving side. However, this is theoretical problem, because the traffic we are considering is URLLC traffic, and discard timer would be set to a small value for the URLLC traffic.  Therefore, we think additional discard behavior is not needed when an RLC entity is indicated to deactivate PDCP duplication. The current discard behavior is deemed enough for Rel-16. |
| Ericsson | Yes |  |
| OPPO | Yes | Prefer similar principle as Rel-15 duplication. |
| Apple | No | We agree with LG that the transmission of the PDCP PDU does not cause any problem in the receiving side. Hence this behavior update is not essential. |
| Samsung | Yes | Prefer similar principle as Rel-15 duplication |
| Spreadtrum | Yes | Prefer similar principle as Rel-15 duplication |

**Proposal 2:**

# 3. Open issues

Open issue 1

Can the Rel-15 Duplication MAC CE be used for Rel-16 Duplication configuration?

- Option 1: Rel-15 MAC CE can be used for Rel-16 Duplication configuration.

- Option 2: Rel-15 MAC CE can be used for Rel-16 Duplication configuration only when the number of leg = 2.

- Option 3: Rel-15 MAC CE is not used for Rel-16 Duplication configuration.

- Option 4: Rel-15 MAC CE is used to activate/deactivate PDCP duplication, and Rel-16 MAC CE is used to activate/deactivate RLC entities.

**Question 3: Which option do you prefer in open issue 1?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Preferred option | Comments |
| Nokia | 1 | Rel-15 MAC CE can be used in the following way:   * Activation: Reset to initial state * Deactivation: deactivate PDCP duplication (switch off all secondary RLC entities) |
| LG | 1 |  |
| Ericsson | 1 |  |
| OPPO | 1 |  |
| Apple | 2 | We would suggest that we can use Rel15 MAC CE for deactivation as the solution is simple (duplication is disabled on all legs). For activation, there are additional complexities if there are more than 2 legs. Hence, either we go with option 2 for activation or fall back to option 3 otherwise. |
| Samsung | 3 | We think Rel-16 MAC CE provides the finer control. Rel-15 is not needed. |
| Spreadtrum | 1 |  |

**Proposal 3:**

Open issue 2

If Rel-15 MAC CE can be used for Rel-16 Duplication configuration, what if Rel-15 MAC CE indicates “duplication activation”?

- Option 1: All secondary RLC entities are activated.

- Option 2: All secondary RLC entities are set to initial state configured by RRC.

**Question 4: Which option do you prefer in open issue 2?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Preferred option | Comments |
| Nokia | 2 | The secondary RLC entities should go to initial state in an absolute manner, when “activation” command is received with Rel-15 MAC CE. |
| LG | 1 | RRC configures “initial” state of PDCP duplication of each RLC entity. The Rel-15 MAC CE indicates activate or deactivate state of PDCP duplication, not the initial state of PDCP duplication. |
| Ericsson | 2 | Activate acc. to RRC config of activated RLC entities. |
| OPPO | 1 | Actually, we have no strong views on this issue. However, considering duplicationState is an optional IE, we need to cover the case that this IE is absent. Thus, Option 1 is slightly preferred. |
| Apple | 2 | The secondary RLC entities can be set to the initial state configured by RRC in case Rel15 MAC CE is used for Rel16 duplication configuration. |
| Samsung | 1 | UE does not need to store the initial RRC configuration. Once RLC activation status is changed by MAC CE, UE does not need to keep the old configuration. |
| Spreadtrum | 1 | The Rel-15 MAC CE duplication activation is applicable for one DRB. If the DRB has more than one secondary RLC legs, the “duplication activation” should indicate the status of all the legs of this DRB. Furthermore, the UE does not need to store the initial RRC configuration. |

**Proposal 4:**

Open issue 3

What if Rel-16 MAC CE indicates all secondary RLC entities are deactivated?

- Option 1: Deactivate PDCP duplication.

- Option 2: PDCP duplication is kept activated, but only primary RLC entity is used for transmission.

**Question 5: Which option do you prefer in open issue 3?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Preferred option | Comments |
| Nokia | 1 |  |
| LG | 2 | With a good radio condition, the network may want to order the UE to transmit PDCP PDUs on only one transmission path. Thus, we think activating only the primary RLC entity is a still valid option with “duplication”. |
| Ericsson | 1 |  |
| OPPO | 1 |  |
| Apple | 1 |  |
| Samsung | 1 | No strong opinion on option1/2. It seems a modelling issue. No different UE behaviour is expected from NW point perspective. |
| Spreadtrum | 1 | Option1 looks clearer than option2. |

**Proposal 5:**

Open issue 4

How to set DRBdup ID in Rel-16 MAC CE?

- Option 1: 5bits full DRB ID is included.

- Option 2: 3~4bits indexed DRB ID is included (similar to Rel-15).

**Question 6: Which option do you prefer in open issue 4?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Preferred option | Comments |
| Nokia | 1/2 | No strong view from our side. |
| LG | 2 or 1 | No strong view |
| Ericsson | 2 or 1 | No strong view, slight preference towards 2 |
| OPPO | 2 | Slightly prefer the option similar as Rel-15. |
| Apple | 1/2 | No strong view |
| Samsung | 2 or 1 | No strong view, slightly prefer option 2 |
| Spreadtrum | 2 or 1 | No strong view, slightly prefer option 2 |

**Proposal 6:**

# 4. Summary