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# 1 Introduction

This is the report of the following email discussion of the main session.

|  |
| --- |
| * [AT109e][012][R16] LCID extension (Samsung)

Scope: LCID extension, applicable to all R16 WIs that have need,Part 1:  Intended outcome: Report, issues and resolutions.  Deadline: Mar 3 1200 CET Part 2  Intended outcome: Agreed CR Deadline: Mar 5 1200 CET |

For Rel-15, RAN2 reserved quite many LCID (logical channel identifier) values for the future use (i.e. 14 values for DL (33–46) and 19 values for UL (33–51)). However, as Rel-16 introduces many features, each new feature requires several MAC CEs, which consumes the remaining LCID space. Hence, RAN2 should conclude how to extend the LCID space for Rel-16 (onwards).

# 2 Discussion

## 2.1 Rel-16 status

The following Tables 1 and 2 show the list of new MAC CEs to be introduced for Rel-16 across WIs.

Table 1 List of new DL MAC CEs

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| WI | No | Purpose | Remarks |
| IAB | 1 | Extended logical channel ID field | R2-1915256 |
| IAB | 2 | Timing Delta MAC CE | R2-1915256; fixed (2B) |
| IAB | 3 | (ProvidedGuardSymbols from RAN1) | variable? |
| IIoT | 4 | Duplication RLC Activation/Deactivation MAC CE | [108#12]; fixed (1B) |
| 2-step RACH | 5 | Absolute Timing Advance Command MAC CE | [108#82]; fixed (2B) |
| eMIMO | 6 | Enhanced TCI States Activation/Deactivation for UE-specific PDSCH | [108#69]; variable |
| eMIMO | 7 | Extended PUCCH spatial relation Activation/Deactivation MAC CE | [108#68]; fixed (3B) |
| eMIMO | 8 | Group-based PUCCH spatial relation Activation/Deactivation MAC CE | [108#68]; fixed (2B) |
| eMIMO | 9 | AP SRS spatial relation Indication MAC CE | [108#68]; variable |
| eMIMO | 10 | SRS Pathloss Reference RS Activation/Deactivation MAC CE | [108#68]; fixed (3B) |
| eMIMO | 11 | PUSCH Pathloss Reference RS Activation/Deactivation MAC CE | [108#68]; fixed (3B) |
| eMIMO | 12 | CC list-based TCI States Activation/Deactivation for UE-specific PDSCH MAC CE | [108#68]; variable |
| eMIMO | 13 | CC list-based TCI State Indication for UE-specific PDCCH MAC CE | [108#68]; fixed (2B) |
| eMIMO | 14 | CC list-based SRS Activation/Deactivation MAC CE | [108#68]; TBD |
| eMIMO | 15 | (maybe one more according to RAN1 input) | - |
| Others | - | (Recommended bit rate from SA4) | R2-1916516; none or one LCID |
| NR-U |  | None | [108#75] |
| V2X |  | None | [108#100] |
| RACS |  | None | - |
| NTN |  | None | Study item |
| NR Pos |  | None | - |
| NR mob |  | None | - |
| DCCAe |  | None | [108#56] |
| Power saving |  | None | [108#78] |
| SON/MDT |  | None | [108#93] |
| SRVCC |  | None | - |
| CLI |  | None | - |
| PRN |  | None | - |
| URLLC |  | None | [108#111] |

Table 2 List of new UL MAC CEs

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| WI | No | Purpose | Remarks |
| IAB | 1 | Extended logical channel ID field | R2-1915256 |
| IAB | 2 | Pre-emptive BSR MAC CE | R2-1915256; variable; Long format only (TBD) |
| IAB | 3 | (DesiredGuardSymbols from RAN1) | variable? |
| NR-U | 4 | LBT failure MAC CE | [108#75]; fixed (4B); no 1B format |
| V2X | 5 | Sidelink Configured Grant Confirmation | [108#100]; fixed (1B) |
| V2X | 6 | Truncated Sidelink BSR MAC CE | [108#100]; variable |
| V2X | 7 | Sidelink BSR MAC CE | [108#100]; variable |
| IIoT | 8 | Multiple Entry Configured Grant Confirmation | [108#12]; fixed (4B); no 1B format (TBD) |
| eMIMO | 9 | SCell BFR MAC CE (four octets Ci) | [108#70]; variable |
| eMIMO | 10 | SCell BFR MAC CE (one octet Ci) | [108#70]; variable |
| Others | - | (PHR enhancement from RAN4) | TBD; to include PMPRc; none or three |
| Others | - | (Recommended bit rate from SA4) | TBD; R2-1916516; none or one |
| RACS |   | None | - |
| NTN |   | None | Study item |
| NR Pos |   | None | - |
| NR mob |   | None | - |
| DCCAe |   | None | [108#56] |
| Power saving |   | None | [108#78] |
| SON/MDT |   | None | [108#93] |
| 2-step RACH |   | None | [108#82] |
| SRVCC |   | None | - |
| CLI |   | None | - |
| PRN |   | None | - |
| URLLC |  | None | [108#111] |

From the tables, it can be observed that at least 15 values for DL and 10 values for UL would be required for Rel-16 new MAC CEs. Note that 14 values for DL (33–46) and 19 values for UL (33–51) are reserved from Rel-15, so for the DL, the required number already exceeds the reserved values.

**Observation 1: For DL, 15+ values are required for Rel-16 while 14 values are reserved (i.e. already exceeded).**

**Observation 2: For UL, 10+ values are required for Rel-16 while 19 values are reserved.**

Hence, it is inevitable to extend the LCID space for MAC CEs from the observations above. Since the NR keeps evolving, it would be good to extend the LCID space for both DL and UL. Therefore, rapporteur proposes to extend the LCID space for both DL and UL.

**Question 1: Do you agree to extend the LCID space for both DL and UL?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Response(Yes/No) | Comments |
| Huawei, Hisilicon | Yes | Both UL and DL LCIDs have been extended for IAB which can be reused. |
| LG | Yes |  |
| Nokia | Yes |  |

## 2.2 One-byte or two-byte eLCID fields

If there is consensus to extend the LCID space, RAN2 should also discussed how to define the MAC subheader for the extended MAC CEs. Already several proposals are on the table [1][2][3][4][5][6], but rapporteur think the proposals can be categorized as follows:

- Option 1: One-byte eLCID field [5];

- Option 2: Two-byte eLCID field [1][2][3][6] (i.e. to re-use IAB defined in running MAC CR [7] as shown in Figure 6.1.2-1 below).



Figure 6.1.2-1: R/F/LCID/(eLCID)/L MAC subheader with 8-bit L field [7]

Since IAB already defines two-byte eLCID field for the logical channels, to adopt Option 1 means that we have three MAC subheaders (i.e. with 0/1/2-byte eLCID field). Furthermore, Option 1 also implies that two LCID values will be used to indicate one-byte eLCID field and two-byte eLCID field unless one remaining reserved bit is used. Option 2 can avoid fragmentation of the MAC subheader format.

Option 2 has drawback to have one more byte for the eLCID field, but this might not be a problem. As indicated in subclause 2.1, still 14 values for DL (33–46) and 19 values for UL (33–51) are reserved from Rel-15. Thus, future MAC CEs which might be frequently used can still be assigned to the legacy reserved LCID value. That is, such MAC CEs would have legacy one-byte subheader.

**Question 2: Which option do you prefer for MAC CEs?**

**- Option 1: One-byte eLCID field [5];**

**- Option 2: Two-byte eLCID field [1][2][3][6] (i.e. to re-use IAB defined in running MAC CR [7]).**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Response(Option 1 or 2) | Comments |
| Huawei, Hisilicon | 2 | We can start from reusing IAB and further see if there is any serious issue. |
| LG | 2 | We can reuse the two-byte eLCID defined in the IAB. |
| Nokia | 1 | We would prefer isolating the three bytes overhead to IAB and have one LCID value (other than 33) pointing towards 1 byte eLCID for new non-IAB MAC CEs. |

## 2.3 How much/where to define in eLCID space.

With one or two-byte eLCID field, RAN2 should also determine how many values (and in which range) should be reserved for future MAC CEs.

Note that IAB has already extended maximum LCID value from 63 to 216 + 63 (= 65,599), and also defined 128 reserved values for future use, as shown in Table 6.2.1-1a/2a below.

Table 6.2.1-1a/2a Values of eLCID for DL/UL-SCH [7]

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Index | LCID values |
| 64 to (216 – 65) | Identity of the logical channel |
| (216 – 64) to (216 + 63) | Reserved |

There might be a number of options to allocate LCID values for MAC CEs:

- **Option 1**: 256 values; from the end; to keep Reserved values from IAB:

- In this option, LCID values (216 – 320) to (216 – 65) are allocated for MAC CEs.

- **Option 2**: 256 values; from the end; to not have separate reserved values from IAB:

- In this option, LCID values (216 – 192) to (216 + 63) are allocated for MAC CEs and reserved values.

- **Option 3**: 256 values; from the beginning:

- In this option, LCID values 64 to 319 are allocated for MAC CEs.

- …

Rapportuer thinks, considering one-byte eLCID candidate and possible future enhancements, to have 256 values would be sufficient, but still wants to have input from all the companies.

**Question 3: Which LCID ranges should be allocated for MAC CEs?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Response(e.g.LCID values xx to yy) | Comments |
| Huawei, Hisilicon | No dedicated range for MAC CEs | Like the legacy LCID space, MAC CEs can use the extened LCIDs from the end to beginning, i.e. the range reserved by IAB can be used for MAC CEs and any other potential use in future.We can also consider to have more values reserved, e.g. 256 values as suggested by rapporteur. |
| LG | No dedicated range for MAC CEs | Agree with Huawei. |
|  |  |  |

## 2.4 Way forward

If RAN2 defines additional LCID ranges for MAC CEs (xx to yy), there would be two reserved LCID spaces for MAC CEs i.e. legacy reserved value range (below 64; set1) and new reserved value range (above 64; set2). It should be noted that set2 requires one or two more octets in the MAC subheader. Therefore, RAN2 should also determine which spaces (i.e. set1 or set2) to be used for each new Rel-16 MAC CE listed in Tables 1 and 2 above. Rapporteur thinks this should be determined by each WI (or by WI rapporteur).

**Question 4: Would it be okay that each WI determines whether their MAC CE(s) should be assigned to set1 or set2? If you have a specific suggestion for a specific MAC CE, you may also indicate it to the Comments.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Response(Yes/No) | Comments |
| Huawei, Hisiliicon | Yes | Set1 should be carefully used. It makes sense for each WI to discuss if a MAC CE is sensitive to length. |
| LG | No | Set1 should be carefully used. Thus, new MAC CEs should use set2 by default. If a specific MAC CE really requires low overhead, it can use set1 based on consensus. |
| Nokia |  | Decisions cannot be made in isolation since we only have one MAC specification. |

## 2.5 Others

R2-1915118 [4] proposes to not use R/LCID/eLCID format (i.e. without L field), and RAN2 needs to discuss whether such restriction is needed for MAC CEs assigned to set2.

**Question 5: Do you think any restriction (e.g. to always have L field) is needed for MAC CEs assigned to set2?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Response(Yes/No) | Comments |
| Huawei, Hisilicon | No | We have not identified a problem for a fixed size MAC CE to not have L field. |
| LG | No | We do not see any problem and we want to follow the LTE principle.  |
| Nokia | No |  |

# 3 Conclusion

**…**
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