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# 1 Introduction

This document is to kick-off the following email discussion:

 **[AT109e][006][NR15] Potential easies II (Nokia, LG, Ericsson, ZTE)**

      Scope: Treat the documents R2-2000858, R2-2000859, R2-2000353, R2-2000879, R2-2000880, R2-2001612

      Intended outcome: Agreed CRs

      Deadline: Feb 27 1200 CET

# 2 Discussion

## 2.1 R2-2000858, SSB-ToMeasure related clarification

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| R2-2000858 | |
| COMPANY | COMMENT |
| ZTE | We agree with the observations in this contribution. And we think this should already be aligned with UE’s current implementation. |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## 2.2 R2-2000859, SSB-ToMeasure related clarification (38.331)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| R2-2000859 | |
| COMPANY | COMMENT |
| ZTE | We understand this was discussed/clarified last meeting, in our opinion, as long as all companies have the same understanding, it would be ok with current specification. But we are also ok if companies want to make is more clear in spec, and we suggest the following rewording:  The IE SSB-ToMeasure is used to configure a pattern of SSBs. SSB-ToMeasure is based on the absolute SSB-Indexes, not the position ~~with~~within SMTC window. |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## 2.3 R2-2000353, Clarification on the PLMN-IdentityInfoList

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| R2-2000353 | |
| COMPANY | COMMENT |
| Samsung | Agree with the intention of the CR that there should not be duplicated PLMN entry. The ASN.1 for PLMN is as follows:  plmn-IdentityList                   PLMN-IdentityInfoList,  PLMN-IdentityInfoList ::=               SEQUENCE (SIZE (1..maxPLMN)) OF PLMN-IdentityInfo  PLMN-IdentityInfo ::=                   SEQUENCE {      plmn-IdentityList                       SEQUENCE (SIZE (1..maxPLMN)) OF PLMN-Identity,  The ASN.1 provides the flexibility to include PLMN identities in the lower plmn-IdentityList:   1. Only one entry in the  PLMN-IdentityInfo. ZTE CR clarifies that such entry should not be duplicated. 2. More than one PLMN identities in the  PLMN-IdentityInfo, when the TAC, CI and cellreservedforoperatoruse fields are coordinated to be the same for the PLMNs.   In our understanding regardless of how the PLMN is signalled, it should be only one entry.    On the wording in the CR we prefer “A given PLMN identity is listed only once in PLMN-IdentityInfo” |
| Ericsson | We agree with the intention of the CR, and comments provided by Samsung above.  We would like to propose a following wording: “A PLMN-identity can be included only once, and in only one entry of the *PLMN-IdentityInfoList*”  to more indicated more clearly that a PLMN identity can only be included once (in this list of lists). |
|  |  |

## 2.4 R2-2000879, Correction on p-maxNR-FR1 for NE-DC

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| R2-2000879 | |
| COMPANY | COMMENT |
| ZTE | We are in general OK with this CR.  Similar to the field description of p-maxEUTRA, we perfer to add the same sentence, so it is clear the field can be reused in NE-DC.   |  | | --- | | ***p-maxEUTRA***  Indicates the maximum total transmit power to be used by the UE in the E-UTRA cell group (see TS 36.104 [33]). This field is used in (NG)EN-DC and NE-DC. | | ***p-maxNR-FR1***  Indicates the maximum total transmit power to be used by the UE in the NR cell group across all serving cells in frequency range 1 (FR1) (see TS 38.104 [12]). The field is used in (NG)EN-DC and NE-DC. | |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## 2.5 R2-2000880, Correction on SFTD frequency list in INM

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| R2-2000880 | |
| COMPANY | COMMENT |
| ZTE | We are OK with this CR. |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## 2.6 R2-2001612, Correction on handover preparation message

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| R2-2001612 | |
| COMPANY | COMMENT |
| ZTE | We agree with the intention of the CR. But in our opinion, LTE RETRIEVE UE CONTEXT is supported in RAN3 since Rel-13. So it is not a release 15 issue.  We perfer to capture it in the Rapporteur’s CR. |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# 3 Conclusion