

Agenda Item: 7.2
Source: Editor
Title: Report of e-mail discussion on Radio Resource Management
Document for: Discussion

This document briefly presents the main outcome of the e-mail discussion on "RRM strategies" started on the WG2 mail reflector on 3rd May.

A first comment by Ericsson was on chapter 8.2 "Usage of RAB control procedures" in R2.02 V0.1.1 "Radio Resource Management Strategies".

At the last WG2 meeting it was agreed to include contribution TSGR2#3(99)251 from Ericsson into this new chapter. In particular the comment focused on the missed inclusion of those parts describing how the message parameters are intended to be used and how the messages are exchanged. Ericsson argued that these parts are not contained in other specifications and that they are important for the description of the procedures; Ericsson proposed at least the inclusion of the description of the parameters used.

The Editor explained that the description of the procedures in terms of messages exchange and parameters used is not strictly within the Scope of R2.02. This is the main reason why the editor chose to extract from Td 251 only those parts relevant for the comprehension of the strategy as indicated during the last meeting. After this explanation the Editor proposed for consistency with the Scopes of R2.02 and S2.03, to include the message exchange and the description of parameters used, in a proper sub-clause to be included in the Section Example of Procedures in S2.03; in this way in R2.02 proper references to S2.03 could be included. However the Editor highlighted the full availability of including all parts of Td 251 in R2.02, if, in WG2 opinion, this is the best solution (as a matter of fact, the proposal from Ericsson would ease the readability of the section).

After this discussion, the new version of TR R2.02 was circulated on the e-mail reflector. The new text developed on the basis of the outcome of the last WG2 meeting in Yokohama was outlined by revision marks. There was no comment circulated on the mail reflector; therefore, the same document is submitted to the next WG2 meeting for discussion.