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# Introduction

This document contains the summary of remaining issues identified in RAN1#110bis-e meeting. The following email thread is used:

[110bis-e-R17-Others-04] Email discussion on maintenance issues for SDT by October 17 – Ziyang (ZTE)

·         Issue#2 Redundancy versions of repetitions for CG-SDT

·         Issue#3 Repetitions for CG-SDT

·         Issue#4 Editorial correction on parameter name (for alignment CR)

·         Issue#5 Power offset of feature combination

# Remaining issues

## Issue#2 Redundancy versions of repetitions for CG-SDT

### First round discussion

In RAN1#110, the impact of the following agreement made by RAN2 for RV version has been captured in TS 38.213.

RAN2#117e agreements

=> For autonomous re-tx, fix the RV to be 0 for both the initial and retransmission of initial CG-SDT transmission.

In R1-2208624, vivo proposes that when repK-RV is configured, the RV version should be determined according to clause 6.1.2.3 of 38.214.

According to RAN2’s discussion, the motivation to fix the RV to be 0 is that, soft combination is not useful for autonomous re-transmission of initial SDT transmission, since the re-transmission is autonomously operated by UE if initial transmission fails, gNB is even not aware of whether the re-transmission happens or not. In such case, even when repK-RV is configured, it’s not beneficial to apply different RV for repetitions of autonomous re-transmissions.

In addition, for autonomous re-transmission, if different RVs for repetitions are needed, it also requires spec impact in TS 38.214 since for legacy CG there is no such re-transmission.

From FL’s perspective, for initial transmission or autonomous retransmission of initial transmission,

* Option 1: The RV is always fixed to be 0 no matter whether repetition is configured or not
  + No spec impact for this option.
* Option 2: The RV is determined by repK-RV if repetition is configured
  + Draft CR in R1-2208624 and potential impact in TS 38.214

Any views on the above 2 options for RV determination?

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## Issue#3 Repetitions for CG-SDT

### First round discussion

In RAN1#108-e, after several meetings’ discussion, RAN1 still cannot reach consensus on whether to support repetitions or not, so in RAN1 LS R1-2202656, RAN1 asked RAN2 to make decision on repetitions for CG-SDT:

“For CG-SDT, RAN1 cannot reach consensus on whether to support repetition or not, it’s up to RAN2 to decide on it.”

In RAN1#110, in RAN2 reply LS R1-2205736, RAN2 has the following reply on repetitions:

“With regards to the above issue about repetition for CG-SDT, the signalling in TS 38.331 reuses the existing ConfiguredGrantConfig and hence the signalling allows configuration of parameters related to repetition (i.e. repK, repK-RV (including repK-r17), pusch-RepTypeIndicator-r16 and frequencyHoppingPUSCH-RepTypeB-r16) within this IE.”

Based on RAN2’s reply on repetitions, RAN1 should discuss how to capture repetitions in RAN1 spec.

In R1-2208711, ZTE proposes to capture the configuration of the basic parameter of repetition, i.e. repK, in TS 38.213.

In R1-2209710 and R1-2209711, Samsung proposes that if the repetition is configured, the PUSCH repetitions in one CG PUSCH configuration period are regarded as one PUSCH occasion. Such PUSCH occasion is invalid if any repetition within this occasion is invalid.

In R1-2209255, Xiaomi proposes to associate the first TO with the SSBs.

From FL’s understanding, it’s possible to consider a combination of these draft CRs, i.e. repK can be configured and the repetitions are considered as one PUSCH occasion, then there is no mapping issue. So the following TP is proposed:

**TP#2.2-1 for TS 38.213**

|  |
| --- |
| **Reason for change:**  In RAN1#110, in RAN2 reply LS R1-2205736, RAN2 has the following reply on repetitions:  “With regards to the above issue about repetition for CG-SDT, the signalling in TS 38.331 reuses the existing ConfiguredGrantConfig and hence the signalling allows configuration of parameters related to repetition (i.e. repK, repK-RV (including repK-r17), pusch-RepTypeIndicator-r16 and frequencyHoppingPUSCH-RepTypeB-r16) within this IE.”  So in RAN1 spec TS 38.213, the repetition related description should be captured.  **Summary of change:**  In section 19.1, the description of repK is added: “A UE can be provided by repK a number of repetitions for a PUSCH transmission. If the repetition is configured, all the PUSCH repetitions in one CG PUSCH configuration period are regarded as one PUSCH occasion. The PUSCH occasion is invalid if any repetition within this occasion is invalid. ”  **Consequences if not approved:**  Misalignment between RAN1 spec and RAN2 signaling on repetitions for CG-SDT  **< Unchanged text omitted >** 19.1 Configured-grant based PUSCH transmission A UE indicated to release a dedicated RRC connection can be provided one or more configurations by respective one or more *ConfiguredGrantConfig*, for configured grant Type 1 PUSCH transmissions on the initial UL BWP [12, TS 38.331]. For the remaining of this clause, PUSCH transmissions refer to configured grant Type-1 PUSCH transmissions for a configuration provided by *ConfiguredGrantConfig*.  A UE can be provided by *sdt-SSB-Subset* a number of SS/PBCH block indexes to map to a number of valid PUSCH occasions for PUSCH transmissions over an association period. If the UE is not provided *sdt-SSB-Subset*, the UE determines from the value of *ssb-PositionsInBurst* in *SIB1* or by *ServingCellConfigCommon*. A PUSCH occasion for a PUSCH transmission is defined by a time resource and a frequency resource and is associated with a DM-RS provided by *cg-DMRS-Configuration* for the configuration of PUSCH transmissions. A UE can be provided by *repK* a number of repetitions for a PUSCH transmission. If the repetition is configured, all the PUSCH repetitions in one CG PUSCH configuration period are regarded as one PUSCH occasion. The PUSCH occasion is invalid if any repetition within this occasion is invalid.  An association period, starting from frame with SFN 0, for mapping SS/PBCH block indexes, from the number of SS/PBCH block indexes, to valid PUSCH occasions and associated DM-RS resources is the smallest value in the set determined by the PUSCH configuration period provided by *periodicity* in *ConfiguredGrantConfig* according to Table 19.1-1 such that SS/PBCH block indexes are mapped at least once to valid PUSCH occasions and associated DM-RS resources within the association period. A UE is provided a number of SS/PBCH block indexes associated with a PUSCH occasion and a DM-RS resource by *sdt-SSB-perCG-PUSCH*. If after an integer number of SS/PBCH block indexes to PUSCH occasions and associated DMRS resources mapping cycles within the association period there is a set of PUSCH occasions and associated DMRS resources that are not mapped to SS/PBCH block indexes, no SS/PBCH block indexes are mapped to the set of PUSCH occasions and associated DMRS resources. An association pattern period includes one or more association periods and is determined so that a pattern between PUSCH occasions with associated DMRS resources and SS/PBCH block indexes repeats at most every 640 msec. PUSCH occasions and associated DMRS resources not associated with SS/PBCH block indexes after an integer number of association periods, if any, are not used for PUSCH transmissions. |

***Proposal 2.2:***

Adopt TP#2.2-1 for TS 38.213.

Any comments?

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## Issue#4 Editorial correction on parameter name

### 2.3.1 First round discussion

In R1-2208712, ZTE proposes that parameter name sdt-SSB-perCG-PUSCH in TS 38.213 in section 19.1 is not aligned with TS 38.331.

FL thinks such editorial correction is quite straightforward, so the following proposal is suggested

***Proposal 2.3:***

Draft CR in R1-2208712 can be recommended to editor’s alignment CR.

Any comments?

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## Issue#5 Power offset of feature combination

### First round discussion

In R1-2208713, ZTE proposes that in the feature combination, power offset between Msg3 or MsgA-PUSCH and RACH preamble transmission namely deltaPreamble is introduced. If configured, this parameter overrides msg3-DeltaPreamble or msgA-DeltaPreamble. But in the current spec, this parameter is missing in TS 38.213, then the power determination of Msg3 and MsgA PUSCH in feature combination is incorrect. So parameter deltaPreamble should be captured in section 7.1.1.

From FL’s perspective, this draft CR is to capture the RAN1 spec impact based on the newly introduced feature combination by RAN2, since

***Proposal 2.4:***

Draft CR in R1-2208713 can be agreed as individual CR.

Any comments?

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# Summary

The final proposals will be added later.
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