#### ***Initial proposal 4-2-3a:***

For SBFD simulation, consider 4GHz for FR1 and 30GHz for FR2-1.

#### ***Updated proposal 4-3-1a:***

For evaluation of SBFD operation, BS uses separate panels for simultaneous downlink transmission and uplink reception, we can call it separate-Tx/Rx antenna array for description of evaluation assumption.

* Companies can report the separation of the Tx panel and Rx panel assumed in their simulation.
* Companies can report how the antenna elements are used for transmission or reception in a slot if BS does not perform simultaneous downlink transmission and uplink reception.

#### ***Updated proposal 4-3-2a:***

For evaluation of legacy TDD operation, BS uses the same antenna array for downlink transmission and uplink reception, we can call it shared-Tx/Rx antenna array for description of evaluation assumption.

#### ***Updated proposal 4-3-3a:***

For evaluation and comparison between SBFD and legacy TDD, assume the total number of TxRUs of the antenna array for SBFD is the same as the total number of TxRUs of the antenna array for legacy TDD. Regarding antenna elements, both of the two options can be used.

* Opt 1: The total number of antenna elements of the antenna array for SBFD is the same as the total number of antenna elements of the antenna array for legacy TDD.
* Opt 2: The total number of antenna elements of the antenna array for SBFD is two times of the total number of antenna elements of the antenna array for legacy TDD.
* Companies report which option is assumed in their simulation.

### ***Updated proposal 1-4b:***

For SBFD Deployment Case 4, at least consider the following scenarios for evaluation from RAN1 perspective:

* FR1: Urban Macro
* FR2-1: Dense Urban Macro layer
* FFS: UE outdoor/indoor proportion, clustering, etc
* FFS: the grid shift between two networks, e.g., 0%, 100%
* FFS: Indoor hotspot, Dense Urban Micro layer