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## Introduction

The issues in contributions submitted to RAN1#109e are summarized in the tables of sections 2 and 3. An initial assessment on each of the maintenance issues is provided based on the following classification:

* *High priority (H):* high-priority item (essential, pending issues, broken spec components) and proposed editorial changes that either enhance the clarity of the specs or correct mistakes
* *Non-essential (N)*: all other purposes such as spec optimization and low priority issues
* *Editorial (E)*: editorial issues that will be handled as editorial CRs (to be communicated to the editors/chairs)

## Issues for agenda item “8.2.1 Initial access aspects”

**Table 1 - Initial access aspects**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Issue#** | **Issue** | **References** | **FL initial assessment** | **Company inputs (if any)** |
| 1-1 | Additional RB offset for 96 PRB CORESET#0 | [1] [2] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [13] | H | [Companies will fill input their views here] |
| 1-2 | Use of PBCH DMRS for partial indication of Q | [3] | N | [Companies will fill input their views here] |
| 1-3 | Confirmation of WA on signaling for Q | [4] [7] [9] [11] | H | [Companies will fill input their views here] |
| 1-4 | CD-SSB frequency indication using NCD-SSB | [6] | H | [Companies will fill input their views here] |
| 1-5 | Description update of longBitmap of SSB-ToMeasure | [6] | E  (however for RAN2 spec, therefore suggest to treat as H | [Companies will fill input their views here] |
| 1-6 | Removal of ‘-‘ sign from 24 RB offset for mux pattern 3 | [1] | E | [Companies will fill input their views here] |

## Issues for agenda item “8.2.2 PDCCH monitoring enhancements”

**Table 2 - PDCCH monitoring enhancements**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Issue#** | **Issue** | **References** | **FL initial assessment** | **Company inputs (if any)** |
| 2-1 | SS configuration for Type1 CSS without dedicated RRC and Type0/0A/2 CSS | [14] [15] [16] [17] [21] [22] [23] [24] [26] [28] [29] [31] [33] | H | [Companies will fill input their views here] |
| 2-2 | default value of *monitoringSlotsWithinSlotGroup-r17* | [29] | N |  |
| 2-3 | PDCCH repetition for Group (1) SS sets | [33] | N |  |
| 2-4 | Applicability of PDCCH monitoring configuration to active or all SSSG in active BWP | [19] [21] [29] | H (related to 2-5) |  |
| 2-5 | SSSG switching between different PDCCH monitoring combinations | [14] [15] [17] [19] [21] [22: FLNote1] [27] [28] [29] [30] [31] [33] | H (related to 2-4) |  |
| 2-6 | SSSG switching minimum time | [23] [27] | E (confirming WA) |  |
| 2-7 | Support PDCCH monitoring before and after SSSG switching | [31] | N |  |
| 2-8 | DCI processing limitations | [14] [33] | H |  |
| 2-9 | Dropping rules in case of overbooking across different slot groups | [17] [25] [33] | N |  |
| 2-10 | Scope of multi-slot PDCCH monitoring being mandatory capability for UE not supporting FR2-2 | [24] | H/E | DCM: As the proposing company, we generally believe this issue can be classified as E. |
| 2-11 | BD/CCE budget determination for PDCCH monitoring (e.g. dependency on Ys) | [15] [16] [17] [21] [23] [28] [30] | H |  |
| 2-12 | UE capability signaling for CA/NR-DC operation | [14] [18] [22] [23] [30] | H |  |
| 2-13 | BD/CCE budget allocation over multiple serving cells (incl. multi-DCI multi-TRP) | [22] [30] | H |  |
| 2-14 | PDCCH monitoring pattern alignment across CCs | [30] | N |  |
| 2-15 | Missing RRC parameter *pdcch-BlindDetectionCA-CombIndicator-r17* | [22] | E |  |
| 2-16 | COT sharing conditions | [20] | treat in 8.2.4? |  |
| 2-17 | CSI-RS validation | [20] | treat in 8.2.4? |  |
| 2-18 | Cancellation of downlink reception | [20] | treat in 8.2.4? |  |
| 2-19 | PDCCH monitoring in a beam not covered by gNB sensing | [20] | treat in 8.2.4? |  |
|  | | | | |

FLNote1: For Issue 2-5, [22] suggests that the existing working assumption is sufficient.

## Issues for agenda item “8.2.3 PDSCH/PUSCH enhancements”

**Table 3 – RS and timeline**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Issue#** | **Issue** | **References** | **FL initial assessment** | **Company inputs (if any)** |
| 3-1 | DMRS Bundling for same TB in FR2-2 with 120 kHz SCS across Multiple PUSCHs.  FL note: the exact same issue had been discussed in RAN1#108-e where one company expressed concerns on lack of requirement study to apply this feature to FR2-2 in RAN4. FL is not aware of any change in RAN4 study status yet. | [36, 49] | N | [Companies will fill input their views here] |
| 3-2 | Minimum applicable scheduling offset for 480/960 kHz SCS.  Note: this issue is due to the newly agreed values from RAN2 on maxK0-SchedulingOffset-r17 and maxK2-SchedulingOffset-r17 for 480 kHz and 960 kHz SCS. | [50] | H |  |
| 3-3 | Antenna port field when both DMRS mapping type A and B are indicated in the TDRA row of DCI. | [43] | H |  |
| 3-4 | PTRS-DMRS association field when both DMRS mapping type A and B are indicated in the TDRA row of DCI. | [43] | H |  |

**Table 4 – Scheduling and HARQ**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Issue#** | **Issue** | **References** | **FL initial assessment** | **Company inputs (if any)** |
| 4-1 | Clarification on type-1 HARQ CB that time domain bundling is applied across PDSCHs scheduled by “the same DCI” | [34], [41] | H | [Companies will fill input their views here]  **Fujitsu:**  To FL, we have one question about the discussion plan. We understand 4-1 is about at least Issue 1 in our contribution. Is it the plan to also discuss Issue 2 and Issue 3 in our contribution under 4-1 here? Or should separate items for Issue 2 and Issue 3 be added? Thanks. |
| 4-2 | DCI-to-PDSCH OOO (case 5) and timeline of NNK1 (case 6) are based on configured SLIV vs. valid SLIV. | [34], [35], [36], [39], [41], [44], [45], [47], [48], [49], [50], [51], [52], [53] | H |  |
| 4-3 | Type-1 HARQ CB for multiple PDSCHs scheduled by single DCI 1-1 and slot-aggregated PDSCH scheduled by DCI 1-2 | [34], [38], [43], [45], [49] | H |  |
| 4-4 | Confirm working assumption that type-2 CB is generated based on “configured SLIV” | [35], [47], [49] | H |  |
| 4-5 | Collision handling between PUSCH and CORESET#0 | [37], [38], [47], [52] | N |  |
| 4-6 | Application of *PDSCH-AggregationFactor* /*PUSCH-AggregationFactor* for DCI format 1\_1/0\_1 “with CS-RNTI”  FL note: As per previous agreement, *PDSCH-AggregationFactor*/*PUSCH-AggregationFactor* is not applied for DCI format 1\_1/0\_1 “with CS-RNTI” | [38], [41], [43], [46] | N |  |
| 4-7 | Clarification on UL DAI Indication in multi-PUSCH scheduling DCI | [38] | H |  |
| 4-8 | TDRA information for a DCI indicating SCell dormancy without scheduling PDSCH | [39], [45], [48], [50] | H |  |
| 4-9 | Clarification on type-2 CB generation for a disabled TB when time domain bundling is configured | [39] TP1 | H |  |
| 4-10 | Clarification on type-2 CB generation when both of spatial bundling and time domain bundling are configured | [39] | H |  |
| 4-11 | Introduce an independent formula for type-2 HARQ CB when time domain is not configured but multi-PDSCH scheduling DCI is configured | [39] TP2 | N |  |
| 4-12 | Clarification on when it is used for the first sub-codebook out of two sub-codebooks | [39] TP3 | E |  |
| 4-13 | Reflect the agreement that priority indicator indicated in a multi-PXSCH scheduling DCI is applied to all of scheduled PXSCHs | [40] | E |  |
| 4-14 | Clarification on HARQ ID skipping for invalidated PDSCH scheduled by multi-PDSCH scheduling DCI with ‘tdmSchemeA’ for single DCI based multi-TRP mechanism | [41] | N | **Fujitsu:**  According to the specification as below, we see two possible interpretations for the case of multi-PDSCH scheduling with ‘tdmSchemeA’   * Interpretation 1: If at least one of the repetitions of a PDSCH collides with semi-static UL symbols, the PDSCH (i.e., both repetitions) is not allocated with HARQ process ID. * Interpretation 2: If the 1st repetition of a PDSCH collides with semi-static UL symbols, the PDSCH (i.e., both repetitions) is not allocated with HARQ process ID.  |  | | --- | | HARQ process ID is not incremented for PDSCH(s) not received if at least one of the symbols indicated by the indexed row of the used resource allocation table in the slot overlaps with a UL symbol indicated by *tdd-UL-DL-ConfigurationCommon* or *tdd-UL-DL-ConfigurationDedicated* if provided. |   As the proponent, if there is a common understanding among companies, we are okey to not discuss it in the next phase. But hopefully, FL or other companies can help to clarify the correct interpretation. Thanks. |
| 4-15 | Relationship between COT duration and PDSCHs scheduled by a single DCI | [42], [47] | N |  |
| 4-16 | Restriction on the number of PDSCH receptions/PUSCH transmissions in a slot | [43] | N |  |
| 4-17 | Combining HARQ-disabling feature with multi-PDSCH scheduling | [45] | N |  |
| 4-18 | Reflect the agreement that UE does not apply *pusch-AggregationFactor* to DCI format 0\_1 if multi-PUSCH scheduling DCI is configured | [46] | E |  |
| 4-19 | Clarification on UE behavior if multi-PXSCH scheduling DCI indicates BWP switching | [47] | N |  |
| 4-20 | Applicability of time domain bundling for type-3 CB | [52] | N |  |
| 4-21 | Clarification on the applicability of K1 set extension for K1 values for DCI 1\_0 | [52] | N |  |
| 4-22 | If only one PDSCH is valid among PDSCHs scheduled by a DCI, HARQ-ACK bit for that PDSCH belongs to the first sub-codebook | [53] | N |  |
| 4-23 | Clarification of PDSCH mapping type of PDSCHs scheduled by multi-PDSCH scheduling DCI with ‘tdmSchemeA’ for single DCI based multi-TRP mechanism | [53] | N |  |
| 4-X | Application of TCI states within the span of multi-PDSCH | [34] | Treated in 8.2.5? |  |
| 4-Y | Channel access type indication for multiple PUSCHs in single DCI | [42] | Treated in 8.2.4? |  |
|  | | | | |

## Issues for agenda item “8.2.4 Channel access mechanism”

**Table 5 - Channel access mechanism**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Issue#** | **Issue** | **References** | **FL initial assessment** | **Company inputs (if any)** |
| 5-1 | ED Threshold when LBT Bandwidth is larger than Active BWP, Upper limit on EDT Threshold | [71], [75], [56], [59], [63] | H | [Companies will fill input their views here] |
| 5-2 | UL Contention Exempt Short Control Signaling: Duty Cycle Constraint | [72], [73], [75], [71], [55], [56], [58], [59], [62], [64], [66] | H |  |
| 5-3 | UL Contention Exempt Short Control Signaling: Signaling for Enabling CET for msg1/msgA together | [73], [75], [71], [55], [56], [59], [60], [65], [66] | H |  |
| 5-4 | Multi-Beam Channel Access: Independent per beam sensing and LBT Procedure for UE Initiated COT | [71], [75], [63], [69] | H |  |
| 5-5 | Multi-Beam Channel Access: Independent per beam sensing and LBT Procedure for UE Initiated COT: COT on a Subset of Beams | [71], [75], [56], [57], [69] | H |  |
| 5-6 | Multi-Beam Channel Access: ED Threshold for independent per beam sensing | [71], [73], [75]  [54], [55], [56], [59], [69] | H |  |
| 5-7 | LBT Upgrade in COT Sharing: RRC Configuration for Channel Access Type Change for UE from Type 1 to Type 2 or Type 3 LBT | [71], [75], [56], [58], [59], [60], [63], [64], [65], [66], [70] | H |  |
| 5-8 | COT resumption after a gap: RRC Configuration of Channel Access Type for resuming a UE initiated COT after a gap | [71], [75], [54], [57], [58], [60], [63], [64], [65] | H |  |
| 5-9 | Channel Access Indication within Fall-Back DCI | [55], [56], [58], [59], [63], [64], [65], [66], [70] | H | DCM: We believe this is one of the highest priority issue. |
| 5-10 | Cyclic prefix extension for CG UL transmissions | [73], [63], [70] | N |  |
| 5-11 | UL To DL COT Sharing, clarification of gNB side LBT | [73*],* [64] | N |  |
| 5-12 | UE Channel Access Type behavior before reporting of LBT Capability | [71], [55], [59], [70] | H |  |
| 5-13 | Clarification on UE Assumption on LBT mode at the gNB for the gNB-UE connection | [54], [59], [62] | H |  |
| 5-14 | SIB 1 indication of whether LBT is required for all UL Transmissions | [54], [58], [64] | H |  |
| 5-15 | Non-Fallback DCI : Extend the use of ChannelAccess-CPext-(CAPC) field to two other Non-Fallback DCI formats, namely 0\_2 and 1\_2 | [55] | N |  |
| 5-16 | Clarification on UE behavior when fallback DCI indicating Type 2 LBT when the UE does not have the capability | [63] | H |  |
| 5-17 | Beam Selection for consecutive PUSCH transmissions when CG-PUSCH and DG-PUSCH are multiplexed | [74] | N |  |
| 5-18 | RAN2 Correction for Value ranges for cg-COT-Sharing-r17 and cg-COT-SharingList-r17 | [55] | E |  |
| 5-19 | Clarification on TCI state for inter-frequency RSSI measurements | [55] | H |  |
| 5-20 | Alignment of RAN2 parameter ChannelAccessMode2-r17 with 37.213 | [55] | E |  |
| 5-21 | Editorial: Channel Access Procedure definition in Section 4.0 of 37.213 | [55] | E |  |
| 5-22 | Default Channel Access type in absence of channelAccessMode2-r17 | [56] | N |  |
| 5-23 | Beam Specific COT-SI, CO and SSGS for DCI 2\_0 | [56], [57], [59], [62], [64], [66], [68] | N |  |
| 5-24 | RAN2 : Per Beam LBT failure indication under directional LBT | [56] | N |  |
| 5-25 | Editorial: Misalignment of higher-layer parameter name between TS 37.213 and TS 38.331. | [56] | E |  |
| 5-26 | Editorial: Misalignment of higher-layer parameter name between TS 38.212 and TS 38.331. | [56] | E |  |
| 5-27 | Editorial: Misalignment of higher-layer parameter name between TS 38.213 and TS 38.331 | [56] | E |  |
| 5-28 | Clarification on Channel access type indication for multiple PUSCHs in single DCI | [61], [64] | H |  |
| 5-29 | Clarification on Channel access Type determination when UE receives multiple channel access type indications | [61], [62], [68] | H |  |
| 5-30 | Multi-Channel channel access clarification | [63] | N |  |
| 5-31 | Clarification on ED Threshold in COT Sharing | [63] | N |  |
| 5-32 | Rx Assistance via PDCCH and PUCCH | [63] | N |  |
| 5-33 | Clarification/Editorial in 38.214 regarding use of beamCorrespondenceWithoutUL-BeamSweeping when used for directional sensing | [64] | E |  |
| 5-34 | LBT Downgrade from Type 2 to Type 1 on failure of Type 2 LBT | [67] | N |  |
|  | | | | |

## Issues for agenda item “8.2.5 Others”

**Table 6 - Enhancements for PUCCH formats 0/1/4**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Issue#** | **Issue** | **References** | **FL initial assessment** | **Company inputs (if any)** |
| 6-1 | Determination of number of RBs for PF4  In [83] it is observed that 38.212 Section 6.3.1.4 contains the following sentence:  ,  and are the number of PRBs that are determined by the UE for PUCCH formats 2/3/4 transmission respectively according to Clause 9.2 of [5, TS38.213]  In [83], it is claimed that "there is no description in Clause 9.2 of TS 38.213 [2] to determine the actual number of PRBs used for enhanced PUCCH format 4"  To the contrary, the FL observes that 38.213 Clause 9.2.1 does indeed specify the number of PRBs for enhanced PUCCH format 4 according to the following paragraph:  If the *format* indicates *PUCCH-format4*, the PUCCH format configured for a PUCCH resource is PUCCH format 4, where the PUCCH resource also includes a number of symbols for a PUCCH transmission provided by *nrofSymbols*, an orthogonal cover code length by *occ-Length*, an orthogonal cover code index by *occ-Index*, and a first symbol for the PUCCH transmission provided by *startingSymbolIndex*. For PUCCH transmission in FR2-2, the PUCCH resource can also include a number of PRBs provided by *nrofPRBs*; otherwise, *.*  Hence, the FL's assessment is that it is not necessary to discuss this issue. | [83] | N | [Companies will fill input their views here] |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | | | | |

**Table 7 - Beam management for new SCSs**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Issue#** | **Issue** | **References** | **FL initial assessment** | **Company inputs (if any)** |
| 7-1 | Introduction of beam switching gap | [76], [80], [82] | H | [Companies will fill input their views here] |
| 7-2 | Whether to update the applied TCI states within the span of multi-PDSCH | [76], [77], [78], [79], [80], [82] | H |  |
| 7-3 | Minimum guard period Y between two SRS resources of an SRS resource set for antenna switching | [77] | N (essential but RAN4 should discuss the issue based on the RAN1 LS) |  |
|  | | | | |

**Table 8 – Other issues**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Issue#** | **Issue** | **References** | **FL initial assessment** | **Company inputs (if any)** |
| 8-1 | [description of the issue] |  |  | [Companies will fill input their views here] |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | | | | |

## Conclusion

Based on the responses from participating companies during the preparation phase, the final FL recommendation is:
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