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1. Introductions

This document provides the summary for the following email discussion:

[107-e-NR-L1enh-URLLC-03] Discussion on issues related to SRS resource sets configured for DCI format 0\_1 and DCI format 0\_2 by Nov 17– Zhi Lu (vivo)

This email thread is triggered by the following contribution.

* R1-2110988 Discussion on issues related to SRS resource sets configured for DCI format 0\_1 and DCI format 0\_2 vivo

Section 2 provides the background information. Section 3 captures the detailed email discussions. Section 4 summarizes the outcome of the email discussion.

1. Background

In the RAN1 #105-e and RAN1 #106-e meeting, agreements were made that the SRS resource set with *usage* set to '*codeBook*' or '*nonCodeBook*' configured in *srs-ResourceSetToAddModListDCI-0-2* composes of the first *N* SRS resources together with other parameters except for the higher layer parameters *‘srs-ResourceSetId’* and *‘srs-ResourceIdList’* from the associated SRS resource set configured in *srs-ResourceSetToAddModList*[1].

PL-RS is configured per SRS resource set and can be updated by MAC CE. If UE receives a MAC CE updating PL-RS for one SRS resource set, current specification does not clarify whether the transmit power for the SRS resource in the second resource set is updated or not. As shown in Figure 1, SRS resource 1 associated with both SRS resource set 1 configured in *srs-ResourceSetToAddModList* and SRS resource set 2 configured in *srs-ResourceSetToAddModListDCI-0-2.* When UE receives MAC CE 1, UE updates transmit power of PL-RS for SRS resource set 1. There is ambiguity whether power control parameters for SRS resource set 2 is also updated simultaneously as mentioned above.

To solve this problem, when UE receives a MAC CE carries any SRS resource set ID of two SRS resource sets to update PL-RS, the PL-RS for SRS resource set configured in *srs-ResourceSetToAddModList* and the associated SRS resource set configured in *srs-ResourceSetToAddModListDCI-0-2* can be updated simultaneously.
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Similar case also occurs for spatial relation indication or update for these two SRS resource sets. When two MAC CEs indicate spatial relation for SRS resources in two SRS resource sets, for these SRS resources configured in both SRS resource set configured in *srs-ResourceSetToAddModList* and *srs-ResourceSetToAddModListDCI-0-2*, if different spatial relations are indicated, UE cannot determine which spatial relation from the two MAC CEs is used.

To solve this problem, when UE receives a MAC CE to update spatial relation of SRS resources, the spatial relation of SRS resources with the same SRS resource ID from the SRS resource set configured in *srs-ResourceSetToAddModList* and the associated SRS resource set configured in *srs-ResourceSetToAddModListDCI-0-2* can be updated simultaneously.

1. Email Discussions

Companies are encouraged to provide comments on the following proposals.

Proposal 1: PL-RS for SRS resource set with usage set to '*codeBook*' or '*nonCodeBook*' configured in *srs-ResourceSetToAddModList* and the associated SRS resource set configured in *srs-ResourceSetToAddModListDCI-0-2* is updated simultaneously when UE receives a MAC CE carries any SRS resource set ID of these two SRS resource sets to update the PL-RS.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Agree? (Y/N)** | **Comments** |
| Qualcomm | Agree | As discussed in previous RAN1 meetings, the SRS resources set contained in *srs-ResourceSetToAddModListDCI-0-2* with usageset to *“codebook” or “noncodebook”* shall contain the first N entries of the SRS resource set contained in *srs-ResourceSetToAddModList* with the same usage. Thus if a parameter for the SRS resources in one set gets updated via MAC-CE, it is reasonable to apply the same update to the SRS resources in the other set. |
| OPPO | Agree |  |
| Nokia/NSB | Agree | These two SRS resource sets are not really ‘different’ SRS resource sets as such, as one is nothing but a subset of the other one. Thus, a PL-RS update should be applicable to both SRS resource sets. |
| DOCOMO | Agree |  |
| HW/HiSi | Agree | Agree with the comment from Nokia, because one SRS resource set is a sub-set of the other, it should be “natural” to update both. |
| ZTE | Agree | We share the same view with Nokia and Huawei. |
| vivo | Agree |  |
| Intel | Agree |  |
| Ericsson | Agree with the intention | We agree with the intention that PL-RS is to be updated simultaneously since *srs-ResourceSetToAddModListDCI-0-2* simply refers to the first 𝑁𝑆𝑆𝑆 ,0\_2 SRS resources provided by *srs-ResourceSetToAddModList*.  But the proposal says MAC CE can carry “any SRS resource set ID of these two”. Since *srs-ResourceSetToAddModListDCI-0-2* depends on *srs-ResourceSetToAddModList*, and not the other way around, we suggest to modify the proposal as follows:  Modified Proposal 1: PL-RS for SRS resource set with usage set to '*codeBook*' or '*nonCodeBook*' configured in *srs-ResourceSetToAddModList* and the associated SRS resource set configured in *srs-ResourceSetToAddModListDCI-0-2* is updated simultaneously when UE receives a MAC CE carries a SRS resource set ID of *srs-ResourceSetToAddModList* to update the PL-RS. |
|  |  |  |

**FL recommendation:** The proposal is stable.

Proposal 2: The spatial relation of SRS resources with the same SRS resource ID from the SRS resource set with usage set to ‘*codeBook*’ or ‘*nonCodeBook*’ configured in *srs-ResourceSetToAddModList* and the associated SRS resource set configured in *srs-ResourceSetToAddModListDCI-0-2* are updated simultaneously when UE receives a MAC CE carries any SRS resource set ID of these two SRS resource sets to update the spatial relation of the SRS resources.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Agree? (Y/N)** | **Comments** |
| Qualcomm | Agree | Same comments above.  Furthermore, it appears to us that this proposal is already captured in the 38.212 CR agreed in previous meetings in:  “… the SRS resource set is composed of the first 𝑁𝑆𝑅𝑆,0\_2 SRS resources together with other configurations in the SRS resource set configured by higher layer parameter srs-ResourceSetToAddModList …”  In particular, the highlighted part implies that if other configurations (e.g., the spatial relation) for an SRS resource in one set gets updated via MAC-CE, the UE shall apply the same configuration to the SRS resource (with the same SRS resource ID) in the second set. |
| OPPO | Agree |  |
| Nokia/NSB | Agree | Similar comment as above. |
| DOCOMO | Agree |  |
| HW/HiSi | Agree | Same comment as above. |
| ZTE | Agree |  |
| vivo | Agree | The main intention of the proposal is to achieve a common understanding among companies. |
| Intel | Agree |  |
| Ericsson | NO | This proposal is confusing and not needed.  In our understanding, both SRS resource set call the same SRS resource ID. There is only one entity (SRS resource with a SRS-ResourceId), and this one entity is called by two sets via the SRS-ResourceId. When MAC updates this one entity, it certainly applies to the two sets that calls for this one entity. But there are no two entities to be simultaneously updated.  Thus we don’t think this proposal is needed. In fact, it creates the misunderstanding that somehow there are two different SRS resources with a same SRS-ResourceId, one for each set.  Note that the MAC CE for spatial relation update (Proposal 2) is different from MAC CE for path loss reference RS (Proposal 1).   * For path loss reference RS: this is a parameter for a SRS-ResourceSet. Thus for two SRS resource sets, there are two PL RS which can be required to be updated simultaneously. * For spatial relationship: this is a parameter for SRS-Resource. Thus even though there are two SRS resource sets, they call for a single SRS resource. Hence no two entities to be updated simultaneously. |

**FL recommendation:** The proposal is stable.

1. Outcome of the Email Discussion

…
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