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# Introduction

In email discussion post RAN1#106-e, there were initial draft of RRC parameters for the WI [1].

This documents provides the proposals and summary of discussions of the corresponding email discussion on RRC parameters.

[106bis-e-R17-RRC-NB-IoT-eMTC] Email discussion on Rel-17 RRC parameters for Rel-17 NB-IoT and eMTC – Yubo (Huawei)

The RRC parameter list is updated and located at: [RAN1#106bis-e\_Rel-17\_RRC\_NB-IoT\_eMTC\_v001.xlsx](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG1_RL1/TSGR1_106b-e/Inbox/drafts/8.9/RAN1%23106bis-e_Rel-17_RRC_NB-IoT_eMTC_v001.xlsx).

# Discussion

## Support of 16-QAM for unicast in UL and DL for NB-IoT

**Issue 1-1: Configuration of PUR**

For the configuration of NPUSCH for PUR, there are two entries in brackets as following in the RRC parameter list.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| [NB\_IOTenh4\_LTE\_eMTC6 | 16QAM for NB-IoT | 36.211, 36.213 | 　 | 　 | 　 | enable16QAM-ul in PUR-config-NB | New | enable 16QAM for NPUSCH in PUR procedure | Enable/disable the use of 16QAM for NPUSCH in PUR procedure | Enable | disable | Per UE | UE specific | 36.331] | 　 |
| [NB\_IOTenh4\_LTE\_eMTC6 | 16QAM for NB-IoT | 36.211, 36.213 | 　 | 　 | 　 | npusch-MCS | FFS New or existing | the TBS index for NPUSCH for PUR | the TBS index for NPUSCH for PUR when 16QAM is configured | 14,15,…,21 | 　 | Per UE | UE specific | 36.331] | 　 |

The following are proposed regarding this issue:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Sourcing | proposals |
| [4] | **Proposal 9: For supporting 16-QAM in PUR procedure,** * **One IE is introduced in pur-PhysicalConfig to enable the use of 16-QAM in NPUSCH**
	+ **The field multiTone in npusch-MCS in PUR NPUSCH configuration is modified to include MCS 0-21.**
* **One IE is introduced in pur-PhysicalConfig to enable the use of 16-QAM in NPDSCH**
	+ **Power ratios of NRS and NPDSCH are given in pur-PhysicalConfig**
 |
| [8] | Proposal 7 To support 16-QAM for NPDSCH and NPUSCH in PUR procedure,* 16-QAM can be enabled/disabled by UE specific RRC signaling in *PUR-Config-NB* for NPDSCH and NPUSCH separately.
	+ When 16-QAM is enabled for NPUSCH, the MCS indices, RU indices and UL power control parameter are indicated in *PUR-Config-NB*.
		- Note1: It’s up to RAN2 whether a new parameter or the legacy parameter is used to indicate the RU indices.
		- Note 2: There may be additional parameters if agreed.
	+ When 16-QAM is enabled for NPDSCH, the DL power allocation is indicated in *PUR-Config-NB*.
 |

For the enabler of 16-QAM for NPUSCH in PUR procedure, based on the inputs, the following is proposed:

Proposal 1: 16-QAM is enabled/disabled for NPUSCH in PUR procedure by a UE specific RRC signaling

* Option 1: in *PUR-config-NB*
* Option 2: in *pur-PhysicalConfig*
* Option 3: up to RAN2

For the indication of MCS indices, the following is proposed:

Proposal 2: If 16-QAM is enabled, the MCS indices of PUR NPUSCH is signaled by:

* Option 1: modification of field *multitone* to include MCS 0~21
* Option 2: a new field to signal the MCS 14~21
* Option 3: up to RAN2

The details of RRC parameters for NPDSCH in PUR procedure can be discussed if it’s agreed.

Please input your comments for the above proposal:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Companies | Comments |
| Ericsson | For both Proposal 1 and Proposal 2, we think that Option-3 (i.e., “up to RAN2”) should be selected, especially because in our undestanding there is already a running CRs on TS 36.331 touching upon the PUR configuration  |
| Nokia, NSB | We don’t have a strong view here and we are OK to leave to RAN2. |
| ZTE, Sanechips | For both proposals, we are OK to leave it to RAN2. |
| Moderator (Huawei) | The followings have been agreedAgreement**Support 16-QAM for NPDSCH in PUR procedure*** **CSI report is not supported/expected during PUR procedure.**

  Agreement**To support 16-QAM for NPDSCH and NPUSCH in PUR procedure,*** **16-QAM can be enabled/disabled by UE specific RRC signaling for NPDSCH and NPUSCH separately**
	+ **The corresponding configurations and signaling details are up to RAN2**

Therefore, the RRC parameters are updated as below* The brackets for “enable16QAM-ul in PUR-config-NB” are removed, and the above agreement is included in the comment.
* The row of npusch MCS is removed.
* A new row “enable16QAM-ul in PUR-config-NB” is added.
 |

**Issue 1-2: Configuration for downlink power allocation**

The following has been agreed:

 Agreement

**Confirm the following working assumption:**

**Working Assumption**

**For downlink power allocation to support 16QAM:**

* **For inband deployments, a power ratio is signaled in addition to the signalling for standalone and guard-band deployments which in this case applies to “symbols with NRS” and “symbols without NRS nor CRS”.**
	+ **the power ratio between NPDSCH EPRE and NRS EPRE in symbols with CRS is signaled**
	+ **the signalling is UE specific**

**Note: “symbols with NRS” and “symbols without NRS nor CRS” have the same power.**

Therefore, the brackets for the row “NPDSCH-NRS-Powerratio-withCRS” are removed:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| NB\_IOTenh4\_LTE\_eMTC6 | 16QAM for NB-IoT | 36.213 | 　 | 　 | 　 | NPDSCH-NRS-Powerratio-withCRS | New | Power ratio of NPDSCH EPRE to NRS EPRE in symbols with CRS | the Power ratio of NPDSCH EPRE to NRS EPRE in symbols with CRS for inband deployments | FFS | 　 | Per UE | UE specific | 36.331 | AgreementConfirm the following working assumption:For downlink power allocation to support 16QAM:• For inband deployments, a power ratio is signaled in addition to the signalling for standalone and guard-band deployments which in this case applies to “symbols with NRS” and “symbols without NRS nor CRS”. o the power ratio between NPDSCH EPRE and NRS EPRE in symbols with CRS is signalledo the signalling is UE specificNote: “symbols with NRS” and “symbols without NRS nor CRS” have the same power. | unstable |

Please input your comments for the above row:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Companies | Comments |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Issue 1-3: Configuration for uplink power control**

This will be discussed once it’s agreed.

**Working Assumption**

**For the new term** $∆\_{TF,c}$ **introduced for power control of NPUSCH,**

* Reuse the LTE definition simplified for NB-IoT: $∆\_{TF,c}\left(i\right)=10log\_{10}\left(\left(2^{BPRE∙K\_{s}}-1\right)\right)$ for $K\_{s}=1.25$ and $∆\_{TF,c}\left(i\right)=0$ for $K\_{s}=0$, where $K\_{s}$ is given by higher layer parameter *deltaMCS-Enabled*, and $BPRE=\frac{K}{N\_{RE}}$ where K is the code block size.
* FFS: whether the new term applies to QPSK when configured with 16QAM, if it does not, whether an additional term is introduced to avoid jump between QPSK and 16QAM

Therefore, a new row is added as below:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| NB\_IOTenh4\_LTE\_eMTC6 | 16QAM for NB-IoT | 36.213 | 　 | 　 | 　 | deltaMCS-Enabled | New | Ks for uplink power control of NPUSCH | the parameter Ks of uplink power control of NPUSCH | {en0, en1}, where en0 corresponds to value 0 corresponding to state "disabled", en1 correponds to value 1.25 corresponding to "enabled" | en0 | Per UE | UE specific | 36.331 | Working AssumptionFor the new term ∆\_(TF,c) introduced for power control of NPUSCH, Reuse the LTE definition simplified for NB-IoT: ∆\_(TF,c) (i)=10log\_10 ((2^(BPRE∙K\_s )-1)) for K\_s=1.25 and ∆\_(TF,c) (i)=0 for K\_s=0, where K\_s is given by higher layer parameter deltaMCS-Enabled, and BPRE=K/N\_RE where K is the code block size.FFS: whether the new term applies to QPSK when configured with 16QAM, if it does not, whether an additional term is introduced to avoid jump between QPSK and 16QAM  | unstable |

Please input your comments for the above row:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Companies | Comments |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Issue 1-4: Others**

Please input your comments on issues other than the above ones:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Companies | Comments |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## Support additional PDSCH scheduling delay for introduction of 14-HARQ processes in DL for eMTC

Please input your comments in below table:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Companies | Comments |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## Support a maximum DL TBS of 1736 bits as a Rel-17 optional UE capability

The following are proposed:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Sourcing | proposals |
| [15] | **Proposal 1: Parameters on configuration of the maximum DL TBS for multicast and PUR in eMTC are not needed.** |

Please input your comments in below table:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Companies | Comments |
| Ericsson | If multicast were supported, there will be an impact in the configuration of SC-MTCH provided via SC-MCCH. Thus, supporting multicast won’t be transparent, and as we expressed in the previous e-meeting, multicast used along with the new DL TBS of 1736 bits does not seem to be a relevant scenario that can provide significant gains as to justify the specification impacts (e.g., there might be very few UEs that implement multicast + larger TBS). Thus, we are only OK with supporting the larger TBS for connected mode features + PUR. |
| Nokia, NSB | We share similar view as Ericsson.  |
| ZTE, Sanechips | We are OK to support PUR with 1736 bits. As for the multicast, we think the larger TBS still has some benefits for multicast transmission. However, we do not have the strong view here and can follow the majority views. |

# Summary
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