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# **Introduction**

This document summarizes contributions submitted to AI 8.17.11 regarding UE features for NR sidelink enhancement and captures the following email discussion.

|  |
| --- |
| [106bis-e-R17-UE-features-Sidelink-01] Email discussion UE features for NR sidelink enhancements – Shinya (DOCOMO)   * 1st check point: October 14 * Final check point: October 19 |

In the preliminary RAN1 UE features list for Rel-17 NR [1], there are following feature groups for NR sidelink enhancement.

* 32-1 [Receiving NR sidelink of PSCCH/PSSCHPSFCH/S-SSB]
* 32-2 [Receiving NR sidelink of PSFCH/S-SSB only]
* 32-3 Transmitting NR sidelink mode 2 with full sensing
* 32-4 Transmitting NR sidelink mode 2 with partial sensing
* 32-5 Inter-UE coordination in NR sidelink mode 2

Also, in the preliminary RAN1 UE features list for Rel-17 LTE [2], there are following feature groups for NR sidelink enhancement.

* 4-1 [Receiving NR sidelink of PSCCH/PSSCHPSFCH/S-SSB]
* 4-2 [Receiving NR sidelink of PSFCH/S-SSB only]
* 4-3 Transmitting NR sidelink mode 2 with full sensing
* 4-4 Transmitting NR sidelink mode 2 with partial sensing
* 4-5 Inter-UE coordination in NR sidelink mode 2

Based on the discussions summarized in Sections 2-5, following is the suggested list of issues to be discussed and priority order considering RAN2 impact especially for capability signaling design, which are tagged and colour coded with High priority, Medium priority, or Low priority.

**FL proposal of list of issues/proposals and priority:**

* **High priority issues (such as a certain FG is necessary or not):**
  + **Discuss whether/how to compose of an FG including some SL Tx/Rx capabilities**
    - **Potential Tx capabilities**
      * **mode 2 with random resource selection**
      * **mode 2 with partial sensing (FG 32-4)**
      * **mode 2 with full sensing (FG 32-3)**
    - **Potential Rx capabilities**
      * **SL reception Type A**
      * **SL reception Type B (FG 32-2)**
        + **Whether to split PSFCH and S-SSB receptions**
      * **SL reception Type D (FG 32-1)**
  + **Discuss the relationship between the FGs 32-1 to 32-4 and Rel-16 basic FGs for NR SL**
  + **Discuss** **whether FGs 32-1 to 32-4 should be supported as basic FGs for Rel-17 SL enhancement**
  + **Discuss** **whether/how to split FG 32-5**
  + **Discuss** **whether FG 32-5 should be supported as a basic FG for Rel-17 SL enhancement**
  + **Discuss** **whether Rel-17 UE features for SL enhancement should be included in the LTE features list**
  + **Discuss** **whether to add and FG for reevaluation of selected resources**
  + **Discuss** **whether to add and FG for preemption checking for reserved resources**
* **Medium priority issues (such as components and type that have capability signaling impacts):**
  + **Discuss whether the type of FGs 32-1 to 32-4 should be per band or per FS**
  + **Discuss** **whether the column of “Need for the gNB to know if the feature is supported” for FGs 32-1 to 32-4 should be “Yes”**
  + **Discuss** **whether the column of “Applicable to the capability signalling exchange between UEs (Sidelink WI only)” for FGs 32-1 to 32-4 should be “No”**
  + **Discuss** **whether the type of FG 32-5 should be per UE, per band, or per FS**
  + **Discuss** **whether the column of “Need for the gNB to know if the feature is supported” for FG 32-5 should be “Yes”**
  + **Discuss** **whether the column of “Applicable to the capability signalling exchange between UEs (Sidelink WI only)” for FG 32-5 should be “Yes”**
* **Low priority issues (such as components that do not have capability signaling impacts)**
  + **Discuss whether/how to revise the prerequisite feature groups for FGs 32-1 to 32-4**
  + **Discuss** **whether/how to revise any other contents in FGs 32-1 to 32-4 which do not have capability signaling impacts**
  + **Discuss** **whether/how to revise the prerequisite feature groups for FG 32-5**
  + **Discuss** **whether/how to revise any other contents in FG 32-5 which do not have capability signaling impacts**

In this round of the discussion, companies are requested to provide comments on the proposals and questions tagged FL4.

# **32-1 to 32-4 for NR: Receiving NR sidelink / Transmitting NR sidelink mode 2**

In [1], FGs 32-1 to 32-4 are captured as below.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Features | Index | Feature group | Components | Prerequisite feature groups | Need for the gNB to know if the feature is supported | Applicable to the capability signalling exchange between UEs (Sidelink WI only)”. | **Consequence if the feature is not supported by the UE** | **Type**  **(the ‘type’ definition from UE features should be based on the granularity of 1) Per UE or 2) Per Band or 3) Per BC or 4) Per FS or 5) Per FSPC)** | Need of FDD/TDD differentiation | Need of FR1/FR2 differentiation | Capability interpretation for mixture of FDD/TDD and/or FR1/FR2 | Note | Mandatory/Optional |
| 32. NR\_SL\_enh | 32-1 | [Receiving NR sidelink of PSCCH/PSSCHPSFCH/S-SSB] | 1) UE can receive NR PSCCH/PSSCH/PSFCH/S-SSB. | None | [Yes] | [No] |  | [Per band] | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |  | Optional with capability signalling. FFS: For UE supports NR sidelink, UE must indicate this FG is supported. |
| 32. NR\_SL\_enh | 32-2 | [Receiving NR sidelink of PSFCH/S-SSB only] | 1) UE can receive NR PSFCH/S-SSB only. | None | [Yes] | [No] |  | [Per band] | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |  | Optional with capability signalling. FFS: For UE supports NR sidelink, UE must indicate this FG is supported. |
| 32. NR\_SL\_enh | 32-3 | Transmitting NR sidelink mode 2 with full sensing | 1) UE can transmit PSCCH/PSSCH using NR sidelink mode 2 with full sensing configured by NR Uu or preconfiguration.  2) UE supports the sensing and resource allocation operation as specified in Rel-16. | [32-1] | [Yes] | [No] | [UE can perfom random resource selection only] | [Per band] | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |  | Optional with capability signalling. FFS: For UE supports NR sidelink, UE must indicate this FG is supported. |
| 32. NR\_SL\_enh | 32-4 | Transmitting NR sidelink mode 2 with partial sensing | 1) UE can transmit PSCCH/PSSCH using NR sidelink mode 2 with partial sensing configured by NR Uu or preconfiguration.  2) UE can perform periodic-based partial sensing and resource allocation operation.  3) UE can perform contiguous partial sensing and resource allocation operation. | [32-1], [32-3] | [Yes] | [No] | UE does not support trasmissoin according to the partial sensing and resource allocation | [Per band] | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |  | Optional with capability signalling. FFS: For UE supports NR sidelink, UE must indicate this FG is supported. |

Following feedbacks are provided in contributions for the RAN1#106bis-e meeting.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| [2] | FUTUREWEI | Based on the following conclusions in RAN1#103-e and in RAN1#104-e, three types of UEs are supported as a reference for evaluation/design in Rel-17, i.e., Type A, Type B, and Type D UEs.  ***Conclusion:* (RAN1#103-e)**   * *SL reception Type A and Type D should be used as the reference for evaluation and designing of SL power saving features in R17.*    + *Type A: UE is not capable of performing reception of any SL signals and channels, FFS with exception of performing PSFCH and S-SSB reception (aim to conclude in RAN1#104-e)*   + *Type D: UE is capable of performing reception of all SL signals and channels defined in R16. It does not preclude UE to perform reception of a subset of SL signals/channels*   + *If there are evaluations with assumptions other than the above reference, the detailed assumptions need to be reported*   + *Note: the types and the associated capability defined here are not intended to be defined as Rel-17 UE features as is.*   ***Conclusion:* (RAN1#104-e)**   * *PSFCH reception is not included for Type A UE* * *S-SSB reception is not included for Type A UE* * *SL reception Type B is additionally added*   + *Type B: Same as Type A with an exception of performing PSFCH and S-SSB reception* * *Note: the same conditions as in RAN1#103-e regarding the context of the discussion of Type A and Type D still apply (also applicable to type B)*   Clearly, the proposed features 32-1 and 32-2 in [4] are corresponding to Type D and Type B UEs, respectively. Type A UE is (possibly) indicated by a UE not supporting either FG 32-1 or 32-2. However, there are some issues with these two FGs.  First, it is not clear that three types of UEs and associated capability are eventually the agreed Rel-17 UE capabilities or UE features, as in the conclusions, the three SL reception types are used as the reference for evaluation and designing of SL power saving features in R17. There were no follow-up discussions and agreements on these three reception types and UE capabilities. It can be interpreted as these three reception types are different power saving reception modes, not different types of UEs. Also based on the following agreement in RAN1#106-e on SL DRX, it may imply that all UE can perform reception and therefore only Type D is supported as a UE feature if it is applied to all UEs that support Rel-17 SL power saving features.  **Agreement**: **(RAN1#106-e)**  A UE can perform SL reception of PSCCH and RSRP measurement for sensing during its SL DRX inactive time.   * *FFS: When such reception and measurement is performed, whether it is subject to specification, or is up to UE implementation* * *FFS: Other details*   Based on this, we may not need to specify the UE features for these SL reception types, but rather focus on the RRC parameters and configurations needed for different SL reception types as different power saving modes.  Second, based on the WID in [3], the objective for power saving is to introduce sidelink random resource selection and partial sensing to Rel-16 NR sidelink resource allocation mode 2. Also, it is included in the WID that enhancements introduced in Rel-17 should be based on the functionalities specified in Rel-16. The WID indicates that Rel-16 NR sidelink resource allocation mode 2 is the prerequisite of the power saving features for Rel-17 sidelink. Based on the latest Rel-16 UE features in [5], the feature of sidelink resource allocation mode 2 is defined as UE FG 15-3, which includes full sensing feature (component 4) indicating capability of PSCCH reception. Moreover, the prerequisite of UE FG 15-3 is FG 15-1 which include the SL reception of PSCCH/PSSCH and PSFCH. If the Rel-16 SL features are pre-requisites of Rel-17 SL features, it is not necessary to define the UE features as FGs 32-1 and 32-2 in [4].  Third, if FGs 32-1 and 32-2 in [4] are new UE features or new UE capabilities for power saving to be included in Rel-17, it means that some of Rel-16 SL features are not supported for Rel-17 UEs, e.g., for 32-2, the UE receptions in 15-1 and full sensing in 15-3 are not supported. However, a UE which supports 32-1 shall support some other components in FG 15-3 for mode 2 transmissions, as well as some feature components in 15-1 such as PSFCH reception. Therefore, since by the default, Rel-16 SL features cannot be used as prerequisites for 32-1 and 32-2, the list of components in Rel-16 sidelink FGs should be detailed and listed as the prerequisites for these new features in Rel-17.  Finally, the random resource selection in any resource pool (rather than just the exception pool) is new feature that should be included in Rel-17. Random resource selection support in unclear in 32-1/32-2, and as written a UE that supports full sensing in 32-3 of [4] may be disallowed from using random resource selection.  Therefore, to resolve the above issues, our preference is *not* to define Type A (UE not capable of receiving any NR sidelink signals and channels) and Type B (UE receiving NR sidelink of PSFCH/S-SSB only) as new UE features (types) in Rel-17. We can specify Type A and Type B as different power saving modes for the UE configured by RRC signalling. For Type D, UE receiving NR sidelink of PSCCH/PSSCH/PSFCH/S-SSB, it specifically means that the UE supports Rel-16 sidelink mode-2. We then add one or more Rel-17 PS features on top of Type D, for example, random resource selection. Then instead of including Type D as a new feature row in the table, we properly list Rel-16 sidelink mode 2 as the *prerequisite feature* for the Rel-17 power saving features. In summary, reasons for “type D only” can be summarized as follows.   1. The WID is exactly written in the manner that rel-17 sidelink enhancement is an enhancement of Rel-16 NR sidelink resource allocation mode 2. 2. No agreement so far can be read as explicitly agreeing to add a UE that cannot perform some Rel-16 SL mode-2 basic features/components but not all. 3. No need to examine and possibly redefine Rel-16 functionality other than sensing.   We therefore have the following proposal for the UE SL features for Rel-17 sidelink enhancements.  ***Proposal: the following UE sidelink features are the list of new sidelink features to be included in Rel-17 for sidelink enhancement:***   * ***32-1: Transmitting NR sidelink mode 2 with random resource selection with UE FG 15-3 as the prerequisite FG.*** * ***32-2: Transmitting NR sidelink mode 2 with partial sensing with UE FG 15-3 as the prerequisite FG.*** * ***33-3: Inter-UE coordination in NR sidelink mode 2 with UE FG 15-3 as the prerequisite FG***   On the other hand, we anticipate that some companies may want to include “no sensing” Type A (UE not capableof receiving any NR sidelink signals and channels) and/or Type B (UE receiving NR sidelink of PSFCH/S-SSB only) in addition to Type D (UE receiving NR sidelink of PSCCH/PSSCH/PSFCH/S-SSB) UEs as in the draft [4]. However, as previously discussed, the Rel-16 FGs/components need to be checked and we need to include the appropriate and detailed Rel-16 FGs/components as the prerequisite feature list for the introduced new features. Also, random resource selection (in any pool, not just the exception pool) should be included as a new feature for all Rel-17 UE types. Though not our preference, here we show the checking and modifications required to go that route.  To include these new features, the Rel-16 sidelink mode 2 feature list must be examined in detail. As shown in [5], the UE FGs mandatory for sidelink transmission mode 2 are 15-1, 15-3, 15-4, 15-5, 15-11, and 15-23. We now discuss the new SL features and structures, as well as the required Rel-16 SL UE features/components as pre-requisites for the new feature.  First, for the new feature related to Type A/Type B UEs, random resource selections are included as the FG components. For the Type B UE feature, i.e., receiving NR sidelink of PSFCH/S-SSB only, here are the discussions on the related Rel-16 UE features as the pre-requisites of this new feature.   * 15-1: For UE SL reception FG 15-1, all are not supported except component 8 as SCS and CP still needs to be reported for PSFCH reception. * 15-3: All transmission features in FG 15-1 are needed except component 4 for full sensing as the UE with this new feature does not support PSCCH reception. * 15-4: Synchronization is needed for SL transmission. S-SSB reception is supported in this feature. Therefore, FG 15-4 is supported. * 15-5: It is not clear for now whether 15-5 is required for this feature as there is no decision or agreement on whether congestion control based on CBR and CR for power saving RA schemes. * 15-11: This FG is needed as PSFCH reception is supported in this new feature * 15-23: This FG cannot be supported as UE cannot receive the RSRP report from Rx UE for open loop power control.   This new feature is included as updated FG 32-2 in Table 2, which is the updated based on original proposed feature in [4].  For type A UE, the new feature termed as *random resource selection only* is included as the updated FG 32-1 in Table 2. The prerequisites can be based on the prerequisites for the updated FG 32-2 receiving NR sidelink of PSFCH/S-SSB only with further reductions.   * 15-1: The FG is not needed as no reception of NR sidelink signals and channels are supported. * 15-3: Same as the updated FG 32-2, all transmission features in FG 15-1 are needed except component 4 for full sensing as the UE with this new feature does not support PSCCH reception. * 15-4: Synchronization is needed for SL transmission, but S-SSB reception is not supported in this feature. Therefore, FG 15-4 is supported except the component 1. * 15-5: It is not clear for now whether 15-5 is required for this feature as there is no decision or agreement on whether congestion control based on CBR and CR for power saving RA schemes. * 15-11: This FG is not supported as PSFCH reception is not supported in this new feature * 15-23: Similarly, as before, this FG cannot be supported.   For Type D UE, i.e., the feature of receiving NR sidelink of PSCCH/PSSCHPSFCH/S-SSB, is included as updated FG 32-3 in Table 2. The random resource selection is included as a component in the FG. The prerequisites include all Rel-16 SL mode 2 features except the component 4 in FG 15-3.  The partial sensing feature is listed as FG 32-4 in Table 2. The prerequisite of the partial sensing is Rel-16 full sensing. Therefore, all Rel-16 features are supported. Since it may not be necessary that UE supporting partial sensing also supports random resource selection, the random resource reselection is not included. The FG 32-3 is not listed as the prerequisite of partial sensing either. For simplicity, a UE that supports random resource selection would also support 32-1, 32-2, or 32-3.  For the inter-UE coordination feature, it is not necessary that a UE supporting the inter-UE coordination must support the partial sensing feature or vice-versa. However, as both features are in Rel-17, the combination should also NOT be prevented. So, the terminology basic feature group is not used for either as they are both "optional". The pre-requisite for inter-UE coordination is listed as Rel-16 mode 2 basic features. The inter-UE coordination feature is included a as FG 32-5 in Table 2.  ***Observation: Supporting “no sensing” type A and/or type B UEs in addition to type D requires careful examination of rel-16 FGs and clear support of random resource selection.***   * ***An example is shown in Table 2 of the Appendix.***  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | | 32. NR\_SL\_enh | 32-1 | ~~[Receiving NR sidelink of PSCCH/PSSCHPSFCH/S-SSB]~~  [Random resource selection only] | 1. UE ~~can receive NR PSCCH/PSSCH/PSFCH/S-SSB.~~  is not capable of receiving any SL signals and channels. 2. UE supports random resource selection | ~~None~~  15-3 (except component 4),  15-4 (except component 1)  15-5 (FFS) | [Yes] | [No] |  | [Per band] | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |  | Optional with capability signalling. FFS: For UE supports NR sidelink, UE must indicate this FG is supported. | | 32. NR\_SL\_enh | 32-2 | [Receiving NR sidelink of PSFCH/S-SSB only] | 1. UE can receive NR PSFCH/S-SSB only. 2. UE supports random resource selection | ~~None~~  15-1 (component 8 only),  15-3 (except component 4),  15-4,  15-5 (FFS),  15-11 | [Yes] | [No] |  | [Per band] | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |  | Optional with capability signalling. FFS: For UE supports NR sidelink, UE must indicate this FG is supported. | | 32. NR\_SL\_enh | 32-3 | ~~Transmitting NR sidelink mode 2 with full sensing~~  [Receiving NR sidelink of PSCCH/PSSCHPSFCH/S-SSB] | 1) UE can transmit PSCCH/PSSCH using NR sidelink mode 2 with full sensing configured by NR Uu or preconfiguration.  2) UE supports the sensing and resource allocation operation as specified in Rel-16.  3) UE supports random resource selection | ~~[32-1]~~  15-1  15-3 (except component 4),  15-4,  15-5,  15-11,  15-23 | [Yes] | [No] |  | [Per band] | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |  | Optional with capability signalling. FFS: For UE supports NR sidelink, UE must indicate this FG is supported. | | 32. NR\_SL\_enh | 32-4 | Transmitting NR sidelink mode 2 with partial sensing | 1) UE can transmit PSCCH/PSSCH using NR sidelink mode 2 with partial sensing configured by NR Uu or preconfiguration.  2) UE can perform periodic-based partial sensing and resource allocation operation.  3) UE can perform contiguous partial sensing and resource allocation operation. | ~~[32-1], [32-3]~~  Rel-16 SL mode 2 features | [Yes] | [No] | UE does not support ~~trasmissoin~~ transmission according to the partial sensing and resource allocation | [Per band] | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |  | Optional with capability signalling. FFS: For UE supports NR sidelink, UE must indicate this FG is supported. | | 32. NR\_SL\_enh | 32-5 | Inter-UE coordination in NR sidelink mode 2 | 1) UE can transmit and receive inter-UE coordination information of preferred resource set/non-preferred resource set and use the received information in its own resource (re-)selection in NR sidelink mode 2.  2) UE can transmit and receive inter-UE coordination information of presence of expected/potential resource conflict and use the received information in its own resource re-selection in NR sidelink mode 2.  3) UE can transmit and received an explicit request for inter-UE coordination information of [FFS: preferred resource set only or both preferred resource set and non-preferred resource set]. | ~~[32-1]~~  Rel-16 SL mode 2 features | [Yes] | [Yes] | UE does not support inter-UE coordination in NR sidelink mode 2. | [Per band] | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |  | Optional with capability signalling. FFS: For UE supports NR sidelink, UE must indicate this FG is supported. | |
| [4] | vivo | In Rel-16, the following UE features are defined as basic UE feature group for NR sidelink, i.e., should be indicated as “support” by UE if it supports NR sidelink:   |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | | 15-1 | Receiving NR sidelink | 1) UE can receive NR PSCCH/PSSCH. Up to a total of A sidelink HARQ processes across all links are supported.  2) UE can receive X PSCCH in a slot.  3) UE can attempt to decode Y= NRB non-overlapping RBs per slot  4) UE supports reception of PSSCH according to the 64QAM MCS table  5) UE supports PT-RS reception in FR2.  8) UE can receive using the subcarrier spacing and CP length defined for a given band in RAN4  10) Supports 14-symbol SL slot with all DMRS patterns corresponding to {#PSSCH symbols} = {12, 9} for slots w/wo PSFCH. If UE signals support of ECP, support 12-symbol SL slot with all DMRS patterns corresponding to {#PSSCH symbols} = {10,7} for slots w/wo PSFCH.  12) UE can receive using 30 kHz subcarrier spacing with normal CP in FR1, 120 kHz subcarrier spacing with normal CP FR2 | | 15-2 | Transmitting NR sidelink mode 1 scheduled by NR Uu | 1) UE can transmit PSCCH/PSSCH using dynamic scheduling or configured grant type 1 and 2 in NR sidelink mode 1 scheduled by NR Uu. Up to 8 configured grants can be configured for a UE. Up to C sidelink HARQ processes are supported including those for configured grants  2) UE can transmit PSSCH according to the normal 64QAM MCS OFDM table.  3) UE supports PT-RS transmission in FR2.  4) UE can monitor DCI format 3\_0 for NR sidelink dynamic scheduling and configured grant type 2 on the same carrier as sidelink.  6) UE can transmit using the subcarrier spacing and CP length it reports.  8) Supports 14-symbol SL slot with all DMRS patterns corresponding to {#PSSCH symbols} = {12, 9} for slots w/wo PSFCH. If UE signals support of ECP, support 12-symbol SL slot with all DMRS patterns corresponding to {#PSSCH symbols} = {10,7} for slots w/wo PSFCH.  9) Support downlink pathloss based open loop power control  11) UE can report sidelink HARQ-ACK to gNB via PUCCH and PUSCH when it is operating in NR sidelink mode 1 | | 15-3 | Transmitting NR sidelink mode 2 | 1) UE can transmit PSCCH/PSSCH using NR sidelink mode 2 configured by NR Uu or preconfiguration. Up to B sidelink processes are supported.  2) UE can transmit PSSCH according to the normal 64QAM MCS table.  3) UE supports PT-RS transmission in FR2.  4) UE can perform mode 2 sensing and resource allocation operations  6) UE can transmit using the subcarrier spacing and CP length it reports for FG 15-1  8) Supports 14-symbol SL slot with all DMRS patterns corresponding to {#PSSCH symbols} = {12, 9} for slots w/wo PSFCH. If UE signals support of ECP, support 12-symbol SL slot with all DMRS patterns corresponding to {#PSSCH symbols} = {10,7} for slots w/wo PSFCH.  10) UE can transmit using 30 kHz and normal CP subcarrier spacing in FR1, 120 kHz subcarrier spacing with normal CP FR2  11) DL pathloss based open loop power control when mode 2 is configured by NR Uu | | 15-4 | Synchronization sources for NR sidelink | 1) UE can receive S-SSB in NR sidelink if it supports 15-1.  2) UE can transmit S-SSB in NR sidelink if it supports 15-2 or 15-3.  3) UE supports GNSS and SyncRef UE as the synchronization reference according to the synchronization procedure with sl-SyncPriority set to GNSS and sl-NbAsSync set to false.  4) UE can transmit or receive NR sidelink based on the synchronization to an gNB  5) UE additionally supports gNB, GNSS and SyncRef UE as the synchronization reference according to the synchronization procedure with sl-SyncPriority set to gnbEnb.  6) UE additionally supports gNB, GNSS and SyncRef UE as the synchronization reference according to the synchronization procedure with sl-SyncPriority set to GNSS and sl-NbAsSync set to true. | | 15-5 | Sidelink congestion control | 1) UE can report CBR measurement to gNB when operating in Mode 1 and mode 2  2) UE can adjust its radio parameters based on CBR measurement and CRlimit.  3) UE can process CBR and CR within the time it indicates | | 15-11 | PSFCH format 0 | 1) UE can transmit and receive NR PSFCH format 0  2) UE can receive up to N PSFCH(s) resources in a slot.  3) UE can transmit up to M PSFCH(s) resources in a slot | | 15-23 | Support of open loop SL power control and RSRP report | 1. Support sidelink pathloss based open loop power control and RSRP report in case of unicast |   The first question should be clarified is that, whether these Rel-16 basic UE features are still considered as mandatory features for Rel-17 sidelink UE, especially for pedestrian UE. The problem is that some of these features (or sub-features), such as full sensing or PSCCH/PSSCH reception as highlighted above, may not be supported by pedestrian UE. To address the problem, there are several approaches to design the UE features for pedestrian UE in Rel-17:   * Alt-1: The Rel-16 basic FG that is not supported for PUE is not mandatory in Rel-17. * Alt-2: Introduce Rel-17 UE feature to indicate which Rel-16 basic FG is not supported by the PUE. * Alt-3: Introduce a new basic FG that overrides Rel-16 basic FG.   Alt-1 may resolve this problem for some of the FG (e.g., 15-11), but may not help for the FG where only some component(s) is not applicable (e.g., 15-3). Moreover, it may have some backward compatibility issues, e.g., the Rel-16 network may consider it as an error if a Rel-17 UE does not report some Rel-16 “basic FG”.  Alt-2 seems to have less spec impact, but it seems to be not aligned with the following RAN2 guidance [3]:   |  | | --- | | **1 Avoid defining “incapability” bits as they may cause interpretation issues**  The definition of the capability should not say that “a UE setting the bit does not support Rel-16 feature X”. Such statements caused a lot of problems in Rel-15. One example was the *pucch-F0-2WithoutFH* that indicates that “the UE does **not** support PUCCH formats 0 and 2 without frequency hopping”. |   Alt-3 may have large specification impacts, and may even have impacts on Rel-16 UE implementation.  It seems none of the alternatives is the perfect solution.  On the other hand, the current preliminary features related to power saving enhancements (e.g., 32-1/2/3/4) are somewhat confusing or problematic. For example, the FG 32-3 indicates that UE supports Rel-16 full sensing, which is duplicated with the Rel-16 FG 15-3. Moreover, the absence of FG 32-3 means that the UE only supports random selection. Consequently, it seems to imply that every Rel-16 UE should indicate FG 32-3, which has backward compatible issue. Considering that the Rel-17 UE features related to power saving enhancements highly depend on how to handle the Rel-16 basic features and how to define UE feature for pedestrian UE, RAN1 should first investigate how the PUE handles the Rel-16 basic FG.  *Proposal 1:* *RAN1 should first investigate how the Rel-17 Pedestrian UE (e.g., does not support full sensing) handles the Rel-16 NR sidelink basic UE features.* |
| [5] | OPPO | For the power efficient RA, there should be separate feature group for the followings:   * Random resource selection (including enhancements to operate in resource pool with mixed RA schemes, if any) – not yet included as a FG in [2]   + Includes both periodic and aperiodic transmissions   + Maximum distance separation of 32 logical slots for a HARQ retransmission resource reserved by a prior SCI for the same TB   + The minimum HARQ feedback time gap (Z) between any two selected resources of a TB where a HARQ feedback for the first of these resources is expected * Partial sensing operation in NR sidelink mode-2 resource allocation – FG 32-4 (Transmitting NR sidelink mode 2 with partial sensing) in [2]   + Both periodic-based PS and contiguous PS should be included in the same feature group – no separate capability signaling   + In PBPS, UE monitors 1 periodic sensing occasion per reservation periodicity by default and monitors one additional periodic sensing occasion by (pre-)configuration (as per current working assumption)   + FFS aspects related to re-evaluation and pre-emption checking (e.g., only in the initial period or every reservation period)   + FFS aspects related to UE performing partial sensing in during SL-DRX inactive time and initializing candidate resource set to match with SL-DRX ON duration timer * No feature groups and UE capability bits are needed to define UE with different reception capability (Type A, Type B and Type D), as they were described as part of conclusions intended only to help with technical design of partial sensing schemes. Therefore, FG 32-1 and 32-2 in the preliminary RAN1 UE feature list for Rel-17 NR [2] should be removed. * On FG 32-3 relating to “transmitting NR sidelink mode 2 with full sensing”, this is a Rel-16 feature. It is not required to define this capability again in Rel-17 UE feature list for NR. Hence, we suggest to remove this FG from the UE feature list as well. |
| [6] | Huawei, HiSilicon | Following conclusion was made in RAN1#103-e:  ***Conclusion***   * *SL reception Type A and Type D should be used as the reference for evaluation and designing of SL power saving features in R17.*    + *Type A: UE is not capable of performing reception of any SL signals and channels, FFS with exception of performing PSFCH and S-SSB reception (aim to conclude in RAN1#104-e)*   + *Type D: UE is capable of performing reception of all SL signals and channels defined in R16. It does not preclude UE to perform reception of a subset of SL signals/channels*   + *If there are evaluations with assumptions other than the above reference, the detailed assumptions need to be reported*   + *Note: the types and the associated capability defined here are not intended to be defined as Rel-17 UE features as is.*   Following conclusion was made in RAN1#104-e:  ***Conclusion:***   * *PSFCH reception is not included for Type A UE* * *S-SSB reception is not included for Type A UE* * *SL reception Type B is additionally added*   + *Type B: Same as Type A with an exception of performing PSFCH and S-SSB reception* * *Note: the same conditions as in RAN1#103-e regarding the context of the discussion of Type A and Type D still apply (also applicable to type B)*   Though RAN1 conclusions on types and the associated capability defined for NR reception are not intended to be defined as Rel-17 UE features, it is good to have those as a starting point for discussion on UE features. In this paper, we refer to those agreed terminology on UE types for discussion.  It is noted that a Type A reference UE is with minimized power consumption in terms of reception including sensing, so that it only transmit packets to neighbouring UE via PC5.However, it does not need a specific a FG to report non-reception of all sidelink. Instead, a Rel-17 can report random resource selection FG only (and no Rel-16 V2X FGs) to indicate it receives nothing via sidelink. This is to minimize the amount of capability signaling defined.  On Type B reference UE, with reception of SFCH and S-SSB only, a UE can receive PSFCH to utilize sidelink HARQ-ACK information to improve random resource selection performance, and receive S-SSB to synchronize to a discovered source for PSCCH/PSSCH transmission. If RAN1 agrees that such a UE is defined in reality, then it needs a UE capability to inform gNB how to operate in mode 1.  With Type D capability, the reference UE can do full sidelink reception including sensing and resource allocation. In this case, a Rel-17 UE can reuse Rel-16 FG 15-1 to indicate this FG, and there is no need to define a new Rel-17 FG.  ***Proposal 1: For Rel-17 sidelink, the following UE reception capabilities exist:***   * ***FG 15-1: Receiving NR sidelink PSCCH/PSSCHP/SFCH/S-SSB (a Type D UE)*** * ***FG 32-2: Receiving NR sidelink PSFCH and S-SSB only (a Type B UE).*** * ***FG 32-4a: Receiving none of NR sidelink PSCCH/PSSCH/PSFCH/S-SSB (a Type A UE).***   Rel-17 introduces partial sensing (including periodic-based partial sensing and continuous partial sensing) and random resource selection to reduce power consumption. All these types of resource allocation schemes need to be part of UE features. A UE which does not support partial sensing nor random resource selection, but which can perform sensing and resource selection as specified in Rel-16 (i.e. full sensing) will indicate a set of Rel-16 FGs including primarily 15-3 and its pre-requisite 15-1, for supporting mode 2 resource allocation. There is no need to redefine it as a Rel-17 FG. To do so creates problem of dissociating the full sensing in Rel-17 from the basic FGs of Rel-16 which necessarily go with it, such as PSFCH support, etc., or of unnecessarily repeating all such FGs in the Rel-17 list.  ***Proposal 2: Support the following Rel-17 UE features in terms of resource allocation schemes:***   * ***FG 32-4: Transmitting NR sidelink mode 2 with partial sensing, including both periodic-based and continuous partial sensing.*** * ***FG 32-4a: Transmitting NR sidelink mode 2 with random resource selection.*** * ***No Rel-17 FG is needed for full sensing capability. A UE supporting full sensing reports the required set of Rel-16 basic FGs including FG 15-3 (“Transmitting NR sidelink mode 2”), etc.***   Based on RAN1 agreements that resource allocation schemes (full sensing, partial sensing, and random resource selection) are configured per resource pool, thus it is essential for gNB to be aware of the UE features on what resource allocation schemes it supports. For inter-UE coordination, it is also agreed that features for both scheme 1 and scheme 2 can be enabled or disabled or controlled by (pre-)configuration, and therefore gNB should be informed with UE capability.  ***Proposal 4: UE features regarding resource allocation schemes and inter-UE coordination schemes need to be informed to gNB.***   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | | 32. NR\_SL\_enh | 32-2 | [Receiving NR sidelink of PSFCH/S-SSB only] | 1) UE can receive NR PSFCH/S-SSB only. | None | Yes | [No] |  | [Per band] | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |  | Optional with capability signalling. FFS: For UE supports NR sidelink, UE must indicate this FG is supported. | | 32. NR\_SL\_enh | 32-4 | Transmitting NR sidelink mode 2 with partial sensing | 1) UE can transmit PSCCH/PSSCH using NR sidelink mode 2 with partial sensing configured by NR Uu or preconfiguration.  2) UE can perform periodic-based partial sensing and resource allocation operation.  3) UE can perform contiguous partial sensing and resource allocation operation. | [32-1] | Yes | [No] | UE does not support transmission according to the partial sensing and resource allocation | [Per band] | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |  | Optional with capability signalling. FFS: For UE supports NR sidelink, UE must indicate this FG is supported. | | 32. NR\_SL\_enh | 32-4a | Transmitting NR sidelink mode 2 with random resource selection | 1) UE can transmit PSCCH/PSSCH using NR sidelink mode 2 with random resource selection configured by NR Uu or preconfiguration. | None | Yes | [No] | UE does not support transmission according to the random resource selection and resource allocation | [Per band] | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |  | Optional with capability signalling. FFS: For UE supports NR sidelink, UE must indicate this FG is supported. | |
| [7] | CATT | **FG 32-1: [Receiving NR sidelink of PSCCH/PSSCH/PSFCH/S-SSB]**  This feature group has been supported in Rel-16 NR-V2X by default, nothing is new for Rel-17 sidelink. Therefore, this feature group is not necessary.  ***Proposal 1: FG 32-1 is not necessary in Rel-17 sidelink enhancement due to the fact that it is already supported in Rel-16 sidelink by default.***  **FG 32-2: [Receiving NR sidelink of PSFCH/S-SSB only]**  This feature group is necessary, especially for UE with low cost and low capability. From synchronization perspective, the S-SSB reception is necessary for any UE type. PSFCH reception is not larger burden for UE capability, and can potentially improve the packet reliability. Therefore, it is better bundle S-SSB and PSFCH reception in one FG. If this FG is not indicated, UE will be support all the sidelink receptions by default.  ***Proposal 2: FG 32-2 is necessary in Rel-17 sidelink enhancement, and it is preferred to bundle S-SSB and PSFCH reception into one FG.***  **FG 32-3: Transmitting NR sidelink mode 2 with full sensing**  Similar comment as that in FG32-1, this feature group has been supported in Rel-16 NR-V2X by default, nothing is new for Rel-17 sidelink. Therefore, this feature group is not necessary.  ***Proposal 3: FG 32-3 is not necessary in Rel-17 sidelink enhancement due to the fact that it is already supported in Rel-16 sidelink by default.***  **FG 32-4: Transmitting NR sidelink mode 2 with partial sensing**  The partial sensing is introduced in Rel-17, and both periodic-based partial sensing and contiguous partial sensing should be bundled into one FG.  ***Proposal 4: FG 32-4 is necessary in Rel-17 sidelink enhancement.*** |
| [8] | Xiaomi | *On UE receiving capability*  In [1], there are 2 features on UE receiving capability;   * 32-1 UE can receive NR PSCCH/PSSCH/PSFCH/S-SSB * 32-2 UE can receive NR PSFCH/S-SSB only   In addition to the two features, an additional UE receiving capability should be defined to support UEs without sidelink receiving capacity but only sidelink transmitting capability.  **Proposal 1: An additional UE feature should be defined to support UEs not capable of performing reception of any SL signals and channels.**  *On UE transmitting capability*  In [1] there are two transmission related features defined:   * 32-3 Transmitting NR sidelink mode 2 with full sensing * 32-4 Transmitting NR sidelink mode 2 with partial sensing   Now 32-3 is defined as the pre-request of 32-4. This implies that there would be no UE which can perform partial sensing but cannot perform full sensing. However, for some use cases such as V2P, it would be beneficial to define some UEs e.g. pedestrian UEs, to support only partial sensing based resource (re)selection such that the power consumption of these UEs can be saved. Therefore, it is suggest to revise 32-3 to have only 32-1 as the pre-request, and then a UE can support partial sensing or full sensing with independent capability.  **Proposal 2: Only feature 32-1 is defined as the prerequisite feature of feature 32-4** |
| [9] | Samsung | **Feature 32-3: Transmitting NR sidelink mode 2 with full sensing**  1) UE can transmit PSCCH/PSSCH using NR sidelink mode 2 with full sensing configured by NR Uu or preconfiguration.  2) UE supports the sensing and resource allocation operation as specified in Rel-16.  **Feature 32-4: Transmitting NR sidelink mode 2 with partial sensing**  1) UE can transmit PSCCH/PSSCH using NR sidelink mode 2 with partial sensing configured by NR Uu or preconfiguration.  2) UE can perform periodic-based partial sensing and resource allocation operation.  3) UE can perform contiguous partial sensing and resource allocation operation.  However, Feature 32-3 (Full sensing only) cannot be a Rel-17 UE feature since Rel-17 NR sidelink enhancement considers resource allocation for power saving. In other word, full sensing alone is a Rel-16 UE feature and a Rel-17 UE feature needs to include at least one of the resource allocation schemes for power saving. In RAN1#103-e meeting [3], the following agreements were made as:   * *Partial sensing based RA is supported as a power saving RA scheme*   + *FFS details* * *Random resource selection is supported as a power saving RA scheme*   + *FFS any changes or enhancement*   + *FFS on conditions to apply random resource selection* * *In R17, a SL Mode 2 Tx resource pool can be (pre-)configured to enable full sensing only, partial sensing only, random resource selection only, or any combination(s) thereof*   + *FFS details, including usage, potential restrictions, whether/how any enhancement or condition is needed for the coexistence of full sensing and power saving RA scheme(s) in a same resource pool, etc.*   Therefore, we need to include at least partial sensing or random selection as a Rel-17 UE feature for transmitting NR sidelink Mode 2. Considering the possible combination of {full sensing, partial sensing, random selection}, we propose that the following combinations are supported as the Rel-17 UE feature for transmitting NR sidelink Mode 2.  ***Proposal 1:*** *The following UE features are supported for transmitting NR sidelink Mode 2 as:*   * *Transmitting NR sidelink Mode 2 with full sensing, partial sensing and random* * *Transmitting NR sidelink Mode 2 with partial sensing and random selection only* * *Transmitting NR sidelink Mode 2 with random selection only*  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | | 32. NR\_SL\_enh | 32-3 | Transmitting NR sidelink mode 2 with full sensing, partial sensing, and random selection | 1) UE can transmit PSCCH/PSSCH using NR sidelink mode 2 with full sensing, partial sensing, and random selection configured by NR Uu or preconfiguration.  2) UE supports the sensing and resource allocation operation as specified in Rel-16.  3) UE can perform periodic-based partial sensing and resource allocation operation.  4) UE can perform contiguous partial sensing and resource allocation operation.  5) UE can perform random selection based resource allocation operation. | [32-1] | [Yes] | [No] | [UE can perfom random resource selection only] | [Per band] | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |  | Optional with capability signalling. FFS: For UE supports NR sidelink, UE must indicate this FG is supported. | | 32. NR\_SL\_enh | 32-4 | Transmitting NR sidelink mode 2 with partial sensing and random selection | 1) UE can transmit PSCCH/PSSCH using NR sidelink mode 2 with partial sensing and random selection configured by NR Uu or preconfiguration.  2) UE can perform periodic-based partial sensing and resource allocation operation.  3) UE can perform contiguous partial sensing and resource allocation operation.  4) UE can perform random selection based resource allocation operation. | [32-1]~~, [32-3]~~ | [Yes] | [No] | UE does not support trasmissoin according to the partial sensing and resource allocation | [Per band] | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |  | Optional with capability signalling. FFS: For UE supports NR sidelink, UE must indicate this FG is supported. | | 32. NR\_SL\_enh | 32-5 | Transmitting NR sidelink mode 2 with random selection | 1) UE can transmit PSCCH/PSSCH using NR sidelink mode 2 with random selection configured by NR Uu or preconfiguration.  2) UE can perform random selection based resource allocation operation. | [32-1] | [Yes] | [Yes] | UE does not support inter-UE coordination in NR sidelink mode 2. | [Per band] | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |  | Optional with capability signalling. FFS: For UE supports NR sidelink, UE must indicate this FG is supported. | |
| [10] | MediaTek | As listed in the preliminary RAN1 UE features for sidelink enhancements [1], SL power saving-related UE features include UE reception capabilities and sensing capabilities.  In Rel-17 SL enhancements WI, progress has been made to define different sidelink UE types (i.e., Type-A, Type-B, and Type-D), for at least evaluation purposes, depending on the capability of receiving different sidelink physical channels. According to RAN1 agreements, Type-A UE cannot receive any sidelink PHY channel while Type-B UE can only receive S-SSB/PSFCH and Type-D UE can receive all PHY channels.  As defined in [1], UE feature index 32-1 and 32-2 together can facilitate the functionality of Type-A, Type-B, or Type-D UEs when both 32-1 and 32-2 are defined as optional. See the corresponding functionality in Table I for possible UE reception capabilities depending on UE’s support.  **Table I. Corresponding UE types depending on UE reception capability.**   |  |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | | **Index** | **Feature group** | **Type-D UE** | **Type-B UE** | **Type-A UE** | | 32-1 | [Receiving NR sidelink of PSCCH/PSSCHPSFCH/S-SSB] | Yes | No | No | | 32-2 | [Receiving NR sidelink of PSFCH/S-SSB only] | n/a | Yes | No |   In our view, the feature groups 32-1 and 32-2 offer good flexibility in terms of UE’s reception capability. Both 32-1 and 32-2 need to be reported to the gNB while sidelink UE may not need to be informed about peer UE reception. Our view on feature groups 32-1 and 32-2 are summarized in Table II with highlighted change marks.  **Table II. Feature group 32-1 and 32-2 for sidelink UE reception capability.**   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | | Index | Feature group | Components | Prerequisite feature groups | Need for the gNB to know if the feature is supported | Applicable to the capability signalling exchange between UEs (Sidelink WI only)”. | Mandatory/Optional | | 32-1 | ~~[~~Receiving NR sidelink of PSCCH/PSSCHPSFCH/S-SSB~~]~~ | 1) UE can receive NR PSCCH/PSSCH/PSFCH/S-SSB. | None | ~~[~~Yes~~]~~ | ~~[~~No~~]~~ | Optional with capability signalling. ~~FFS: For UE supports NR sidelink, UE must indicate this FG is supported.~~ | | 32-2 | ~~[~~Receiving NR sidelink of PSFCH/S-SSB only~~]~~ | 1) UE can receive NR PSFCH/S-SSB only. | None | ~~[~~Yes~~]~~ | ~~[~~No~~]~~ | Optional with capability signalling. ~~FFS: For UE supports NR sidelink, UE must indicate this FG is supported.~~ |   We have the following proposal on 32-1 and 32-2 regarding UE reception capability for SL power-saving.  **Proposal 1: UE feature 32-1 and 32-2 [1] are supported as indicated in Table II above.**  Regarding a power-limited TX-UE’s resource selection procedure in UE autonomous mode (i.e., mode-2), RAN1 has so far agreed to define partial sensing and random resource selection operations. Two kinds of partial sensing procedure are agreed in RAN1 as periodic-based and contiguous partial sensing. A power-limited partial-sensing UE should be able to perform either one of these partial sensing schemes, or both of them simultaneously depending on the resource pool configuration and the allowed types of reservations.  A UE that does not require power saving can perform full sensing according to Rel-16 sensing procedure. A power limited UE can perform partial sensing or random resource selection depending on reception capability or the necessary level of power saving required by the UE.  As defined in [1], feature index 32-3 and 32-4 describe full sensing and partial sensing features as optional. As indicated by 32-4 in [1], a UE that supports partial sensing should be capable of performing both periodic-based and contiguous partial sensing schemes. Our view on feature groups 32-3 and 32-4 are summarized in Table III with change marks below.  **Table III. Feature group 32-3 and 32-4 for sidelink UE sensing operation.**   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | | Index | Feature group | Components | Prerequisite feature groups | Need for the gNB to know if the feature is supported | Applicable to the capability signalling exchange between UEs (Sidelink WI only)”. | Mandatory/Optional | | 32-3 | Transmitting NR sidelink mode 2 with full sensing | 1) UE can transmit PSCCH/PSSCH using NR sidelink mode 2 with full sensing configured by NR Uu or preconfiguration.  2) UE supports the sensing and resource allocation operation as specified in Rel-16. | [32-1] | ~~[~~Yes~~]~~ | ~~[~~No~~]~~ | Optional with capability signalling. ~~FFS: For UE supports NR sidelink, UE must indicate this FG is supported.~~ | | 32-4 | Transmitting NR sidelink mode 2 with partial sensing | 1) UE can transmit PSCCH/PSSCH using NR sidelink mode 2 with partial sensing configured by NR Uu or preconfiguration.  2) UE can perform periodic-based partial sensing and resource allocation operation.  3) UE can perform contiguous partial sensing and resource allocation operation. | [32-1], ~~[32-3]~~ | ~~[~~Yes~~]~~ | ~~[~~No~~]~~ | Optional with capability signalling. ~~FFS: For UE supports NR sidelink, UE must indicate this FG is supported.~~ |   We have the following proposal on 32-1 and 32-2 regarding UE reception capability for SL power-saving.  **Proposal 2: UE feature 32-3 and 32-4 [1] are supported as indicated in Table III above.** |
| [11] | Intel | So far RAN1 has not had much discussion regarding support of dedicated FG 32-2. In our view FG 32-2 can be merged as a component of FG 32-1. The functionality to receive PSFCH and S-SSB can be beneficial for UE power saving especially for the case of random resource selection with sidelink HARQ enabled but it does not need to be a separate FG. Therefore, we have following proposal:   * + **Delete FG 32-2 and define FG 32-1 with following component**     - **UE can be configured to receive NR PSCCH / PSSCH / PSFCH / S-SSB or receive PSFCH / S-SSB only**  |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | | 32-1 | [Receiving NR sidelink of PSCCH/PSSCH/PSFCH/S-SSB] | 1) UE can receive NR PSCCH/PSSCH/PSFCH/S-SSB. | None | |  |  |  |  | | 32-3 | Transmitting NR sidelink mode 2 with full sensing | 1) UE can transmit PSCCH/PSSCH using NR sidelink mode 2 with full sensing configured by NR Uu or pre-configuration.  2) UE supports the sensing and resource allocation operation as specified in Rel-16. | [32-1] | | 32-4 | Transmitting NR sidelink mode 2 with partial sensing | 1) UE can transmit PSCCH/PSSCH using NR sidelink mode 2 with partial sensing configured by NR Uu or pre-configuration.  2) UE can perform periodic-based partial sensing and resource allocation operation.  3) UE can perform contiguous partial sensing and resource allocation operation.  4) UE can transmit PSCCH/PSSCH using NR sidelink mode 2 with random resource selection configured by NR Uu or pre-configuration. | [32-1], [32-3] | |
| [12] | ZTE, Sanechips | Based on the following agreement from RAN1#103-e and 104-e,   |  | | --- | | **Conclusion**   * SL reception Type A and Type D should be used as the reference for evaluation and designing of SL power saving features in R17.   + Type A: UE is not capable of performing reception of any SL signals and channels, FFS with exception of performing PSFCH and S-SSB reception (aim to conclude in RAN1#104-e)   + Type D: UE is capable of performing reception of all SL signals and channels defined in R16. It does not preclude UE to perform reception of a subset of SL signals/channels   + If there are evaluations with assumptions other than the above reference, the detailed assumptions need to be reported   + Note: the types and the associated capability defined here are not intended to be defined as Rel-17 UE features as is.   **Conclusion:**   * PSFCH reception is not included for Type A UE * S-SSB reception is not included for Type A UE * SL reception Type B is additionally added * Type B: Same as Type A with an exception of performing PSFCH and S-SSB reception * Note: the same conditions as in RAN1#103-e regarding the context of the discussion of Type A and Type D still apply (also applicable to type B) |   Three types of UE shall have corresponding UE capability indication:  Type A: UE can not receive PSSCH/PSCCH/PSFCH/S-SSB. Thus UE can only perform random selection only due to lack of sensing results.  Type B: UE can receive PSFCH/SSB only. Thus UE can only perform random selection only due to lack of sensing results.  Type D: This UE capability can be indicated using Rel-16 legacy signalling.  To reflect the above capability, the original 4-1(32-1) needs to be removed and be replaced by the signalling for Type A UE as shown in the following modification   |  |  | | --- | --- | | Not receiving NR sidelink of PSCCH/PSSCHPSFCH/S-SSB | 1) UE can not receive NR PSCCH/PSSCH/PSFCH/S-SSB.  2)UE can perfom random resource selection only | | [Receiving NR sidelink of PSFCH/S-SSB only] | 1. UE can receive NR PSFCH/S-SSB only. 2. UE can perfom random resource selection only |   Moreover, it's suggested all the FGs listed shall be optional, thus the note for each FG "the FFS: For UE supports LTE Uu configuring NR sidelink, UE must indicate this FG is supported." can be removed.  For 4-3(32-3) Transmitting NR sidelink mode 2 with full sensing, it can be indicated already by Rel-16 signalling 15-3, it can be removed.  In summary, the following proposal is made   1. Adopt the following modification to the relevant FGs  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | | Features | Index | Feature group | Components | Prerequisite feature groups | Need for the eNB to know if the feature is supported | [Need for the UE to know if the feature is supported (only for V2X WI, where the PC5-RRC capability signalling is delivered between the UEs)] | **Consequence if the feature is not supported by the UE** | **Type**  **(the ‘type’ definition from UE features should be based on the granularity of 1) Per UE or 2) Per Band or 3) Per BC or 4) Per FS or 5) Per FSPC)** | Need of FDD/TDD differentiation | Capability interpretation for mixture of FDD/TDD | Note | Mandatory/Optional | | 4. (32)[NR\_SL\_enh] | 4(32)-1 | Not receiving NR sidelink of PSCCH/PSSCHPSFCH/S-SSB | 1) UE can not receive NR PSCCH/PSSCH/PSFCH/S-SSB.  2)UE can perform random resource selection only | None | [Yes] | [No] |  | [Per band] | N.A. | N.A. |  | Optional with capability signalling.  ~~FFS: For UE supports LTE Uu configuring NR sidelink, UE must indicate this FG is supported.~~ | | 4(32)-2 | [Receiving NR sidelink of PSFCH/S-SSB only] | ~~1)UE can receive NR PSFCH/S-SSB only.~~  ~~2)UE can perform random resource selection only~~ | None | [Yes] | [No] |  | [Per band] | N.A. | N.A. |  | Optional with capability signalling.  ~~FFS: For UE supports LTE Uu configuring NR sidelink, UE must indicate this FG is supported.~~ | | ~~4(32)-3~~ | ~~Transmitting NR sidelink mode 2 with full sensing~~ | ~~1) UE can transmit PSCCH/PSSCH using NR sidelink mode 2 with full sensing configured by LTE Uu.~~  ~~2) UE supports the sensing and resource allocation operation as specified in Rel-16.~~ | ~~[4-1]~~ | ~~[Yes]~~ | ~~[No]~~ | ~~[UE can perfom random resource selection only]~~ | ~~[Per band]~~ | ~~N.A.~~ | ~~N.A.~~ |  | Optional with capability signalling.  ~~FFS: For UE supports LTE Uu configuring NR sidelink, UE must indicate this FG is supported.~~ | | 4(32)-4 | Transmitting NR sidelink mode 2 with partial sensing | 1) UE can transmit PSCCH/PSSCH using NR sidelink mode 2 with partial sensing configured by LTE Uu.  2) UE can perform periodic-based partial sensing and resource allocation operation.  3) UE can perform contiguous partial sensing and resource allocation operation. | [4-1], [4-3] | [Yes] | [No] | UE does not support trasmissoin according to the partial sensing and resource allocation | [Per band] | N.A. | N.A. |  | Optional with capability signalling.  ~~FFS: For UE supports LTE Uu configuring NR sidelink, UE must indicate this FG is supported.~~ | | 4(32)--5 | Inter-UE coordination in NR sidelink mode 2 | 1) UE can transmit and receive inter-UE coordination information of preferred resource set/non-preferred resource set and use the received information in its own resource (re-)selection in NR sidelink mode 2.  2) UE can transmit and receive inter-UE coordination information of presence of expected/potential resource conflict and use the received information in its own resource re-selection in NR sidelink mode 2.  3) UE can transmit and received an explicit request for inter-UE coordination information of [FFS: preferred resource set only or both preferred resource set and non-preferred resource set]. | [4-1] | [Yes] | [Yes] | UE does not support inter-UE coordination in NR sidelink mode 2. | [Per band] | N.A. | N.A. |  | Optional with capability signalling.  ~~FFS: For UE supports LTE Uu configuring NR sidelink, UE must indicate this FG is supported.~~ | |
| [13] | LG Electronics | When evaluating/designing the mechanism of resource allocation for the power saving in RAN1, the following three types of UEs were considered. So, in terms of the capability to receive NR SL signal/channel, it seems reasonable to make two FGs, i.e., FG of receiving PSCCH/PSSCH/PSFCH/S-SSB and FG of receiving PSFCH/S-SSB only.   * UE is not capable of performing the reception of any SL signals and channels * UE is only capable of performing the reception of PSFCH and S-SSB * UE is capable of performing the reception of all SL signals and channels defined in Rel-16   ***Proposal 1: Introduce the following two FGs for the capability of NR SL signal/channel reception.***   * ***Receiving NR SL of PSCCH/PSSCH/PSFCH/S-SSB (i.e., FG 32-1 in [1])*** * ***Receiving NR SL of PSFCH/S-SSB only (i.e., FG 32-2 in [1])***   From our perspective, as FGs related to the capability of NR SL signal/channel transmission, the FG of transmitting NR SL mode 2 with full sensing and the FG of transmitting NR SL mode 2 with partial sensing can be defined. In principle, since the partial sensing is defined as using only a part of the monitoring occasions of the full sensing, it seems desirable for the latter FG to have the former FG as the prerequisite FG. Also considering that the capability of PSCCH reception is required for the sensing operation, these two FGs need to have the FG of receiving PSCCH/PSSCH/PSFCH/S-SSB as the prerequisite FG. There is no strong technical motivation to make separate FGs for the periodic-based partial sensing and the contiguous partial sensing, respectively.  ***Proposal 2: Define the following two FGs for the capability of Mode 2 NR SL signal/channel transmission.***   * ***Transmitting NR SL Mode 2 with full sensing (i.e., FG 32-3 in [1])*** * ***Transmitting NR SL Mode 2 with partial sensing (i.e., FG 32-4 in [1])***   ***Proposal 3: The FG of transmitting NR SL Mode 2 with partial sensing (i.e., FG 32-4 in [1]) has the FG of transmitting NR SL Mode 2 with full sensing (i.e., FG 32-3 in [1]) as the prerequisite FG.***  ***Proposal 4: The FGs of transmitting NR SL Mode 2 with full sensing (i.e., FG 32-3 in [1]) and NR SL Mode 2 with partial sensing (i.e., FG 32-4 in [1]) have the FG of receiving NR SL of PSCCH/PSSCH/PSFCH/S-SSB (i.e., FG 32-1 in [1]) as the prerequisite FG.*** |
| [14] | Apple | One of the RAN1 objectives in Release 17 NR sidelink enhancement is to specify resource allocation to reduce power consumptions of UEs. In the work on this objective, three types of UEs were defined as the reference for evaluation: Type A UE is not capable of performing reception of any sidelink signals and channels; Type B UE is capable of performing PSFCH and S-SSB reception only; Type D UE is capable of performing reception of all sidelink signals and channels.  No UE feature needs to be defined for Type A UE, since there is no UE capability associated with it. It seems from [1] that feature 32-1 is associated with Type D UE and feature 32-2 is associated with Type B UE. We support these two features in general.  In feature 32-1, it defines UE can receive NR PSCCH/PSSCH/PSFCH/S-SSB. However, Type D UE is capable of performing reception of not only sidelink channels but also sidelink signals. The sidelink signal includes sidelink CSI-RS. Note that sidelink CSI report is a separate Release 16 UE feature. Hence, it may specify that Type D UE is capable of receiving NR PSCCH/PSSCH/PSFCH/S-SSB/SL CSI reporting.  ***Proposal 1:*** *In feature 32-2, UE can receive NR PSCCH/PSSCH/PSFCH/S-SSB/Sidelink CSI reporting.*  In Release 17 sidelink enhancement, partial sensing based resource allocation and random resource selection were agreed. No UE feature needs to be defined for random resource selection since it is assumed that any UE can perform random resource selection. It seems from [1] that feature 32-3 is associated with resource selection with full sensing and feature 32-4 is associated with resource selection with partial sensing. We support these two features in general.  In feature 32-3, the consequence if the feature is not supported by the UE is that UE can perform random resource selection only. However, UE not supporting full sensing can perform partial sensing or perform random resource selection. Hence, we prefer to change the consequence to “UE does not support transmission according to full sensing”.  ***Proposal 2:*** *In feature 32-3, the consequence if the feature is not supported by the UE is that UE does not support transmission according to full sensing.*  In feature 32-4, one prerequisite feature group is 32-3, i.e., UE transmitting NR sidelink mode 2 with full sensing. However, we think this prerequisite UE feature group is not necessary. A UE can only support transmitting NR sidelink mode 2 with partial sensing.  ***Proposal 3:*** *In feature 32-4, remove the prerequisite feature groups of 32-3.* |
| [15] | Qualcomm | In general, many of the new features are impacted by the currently supported featureset, e.g. the ability to process the additional information required for inter-UE coordination could be impacted by support of operations in other bands that would increase the baseband processing effort. Similarly transmitting or receiving such information would be impacted by other reception and transmission. Therefore, we propose that the feature be defined per featureset.  Proposal 1: UE features for sidelink enhancements are defined per featureset.  A second general note is that the new features are enhancements that could be implemented separately to a large degree. The UE ability to utilize inter-UE coordination information is not related to its ability to perform partial sensing for example. Therefore, we propose to have all the features as optional without being required for a UE that supports NR sidelink. Furthermore, a Release-16 UE that supports NR sidelink but not any of the Release-17 features is still capable of successfully performing sidelink communications and should not be impacted by the introduction of those new features.  Proposal 2: UE features for sidelink enhancements are optional and not required of a UE that supports NR sidelink.  Release-16 required any sidelink UE capable of transmission in Mode 2 to also be able to perform random resource selection for transmission in the exceptional resource pool. In Release-17, random selection is introduced as a resource selection mode for regular resource pools as well. Any Release-17 UE that can transmit sidelink using Mode 2 should be able to use random selection since it is the simplest form of resource selection. This could be captured directly in specifications without UE capability signaling. Then, there is no need to mention random resource selection as a consequence of not supporting full sensing or partial sensing.  Proposal 3: Any Release-17 UE that is capable of Mode 2 sidelink transmission supports random resource selection.  In [1], FG 32-2 combined support for receiving PSFCH and S-SSB. However, the two serve different purposes and have different implementation requirements. Therefore, there is no need to group them. We propose to separate them into two FGs. While the gNB would benefit from knowing whether a UE can receive PSFCH or not, the same does not hold for S-SSB reception.  FG 32-3 in [1] already exists as FG 15-3 in the UE feature list. There is no need to duplicate the feature.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | | 32. NR\_SL\_enh | 32-1 | [Receiving NR sidelink of PSCCH/PSSCHPSFCH/S-SSB] | 1) UE can receive NR PSCCH/PSSCH/PSFCH/S-SSB. | None | [Yes] | [No] |  | [Per FS] | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |  | Optional with capability signalling. | | 32. NR\_SL\_enh | 32-2a | Receiving NR sidelink of PSFCH | 1) UE can receive NR PSFCH. | None | [Yes] | [Yes] |  | [Per FS] | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |  | Optional with capability signalling. | | 32. NR\_SL\_enh | 32-2b | Receiving NR sidelink of S-SSB | 1) UE can receive NR S-SSB. | None | [No] | [No] |  | [Per FS] | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |  | Optional with capability signalling. | |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | | 32. NR\_SL\_enh | 32-4 | Transmitting NR sidelink mode 2 with partial sensing | 1) UE can transmit PSCCH/PSSCH using NR sidelink mode 2 with partial sensing configured by NR Uu or preconfiguration.  2) UE can perform periodic-based partial sensing and resource allocation operation.  3) UE can perform contiguous partial sensing and resource allocation operation. | None | [No] | [Yes] | UE does not support trasmissoin according to the partial sensing and resource allocation | [Per FS] | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |  | Optional with capability signalling. | |
| [16] | Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell | Detailed comments on UE features are listed below. For reference, the feature list is available in the Annex.   * In general it is unclear how the current Rel-16 FGs relate to the proposed Rel-17 FGs. For several Rel-16 FGs it is noted in 38.306 that ”*Support of this feature is mandatory if UE supports NR sidelink*”. In principle this applies to Rel-17 supporting SL as well, and hence this needs to be taken into account in the proposed FGs under this WID. At the same time, Rel-17 defines functionality that is simplified compared to Rel-16 V2X, and hence there may be a need for differentiation on UE FGs too. * **32-1:**    + It is unclear what is the value of adding this particular FG on top of Rel-16 FGs, in particular FG15-1. * **32-2:**    + This FG is currently defined in isolation, not being a pre-requisite to any other FG. It is unclear what functionality is enabled by such FG. Moreover, the considerations above on Rel-16 FGs needs to be considered here as well, as in the current form this FG is essentially an incapability in that context, as it defines what the UE is unable to do compared to a Rel-16 UE supporting SL. * **32-3, 32-4:**   + At least FG15-3 should be added as pre-requisite.   **Proposal: Consider the observations and modifications proposed above for the next version of the corresponding RAN1 UE features list.** |
| [17] | Ericsson | The feature group 32-1 indicates the behaviour of a UE which is capable of receiving all the SL signalling, i.e., similar capability as a Rel-16 UE. On the other hand, the feature group 32-2 indicates a UE with a reduced SL reception capability, i.e., only able to receive the PSFCH and S-SSB. We are supportive of both FG since they are aligned with the agreements and conclusion taken in RAN1.   1. Support the inclusion of FG 32-1 and FG 32-2 including its general description.   The feature group 32-3 indicates the full sensing operation that a UE performs similar to NR SL Rel-16 procedure. For the feature group 32-4, the UE is capable of performing partial sensing operation as defined in RAN1 agreements. Following a similar approach as in LTE Rel-14, we support to have separate FGs for full sensing and partial sensing procedures.   1. Support the inclusion of FG 32-3 and FG 32-4. FFS details and wording of the FG.   The feature groups 32-3 and 32-4 define the sensing behaviour of the UE when performing mode 2 resource allocation for sidelink. In our view, we need to also include a new feature group to indicate that mode 2 RA for sidelink can be performed without performing sensing. The inclusion of this feature group is also aligned with the following agreement reached in the inter-UE coordination discussion for scheme 1:   |  | | --- | | **Agreement**  In scheme 1, at least following UE-B’s behavior in its resource (re-)selection is supported when it receives inter-UE coordination information from UE-A:   * For preferred resource set, the following two options are supported:   + Option A): UE-B’s resource(s) to be used for its transmission resource (re-)selection is based on both UE-B’s sensing result (if available) and the received coordination information     - UE-B uses in its resource (re-)selection, resource(s) belonging to the preferred resource set in combination with its own sensing result       * UE-B uses in its resource (re-)selection, resource(s) not belonging to the preferred resource set when condition(s) are met         + FFS: Details of condition(s)       * This option is supported when UE-B performs sensing/resource exclusion       * FFS: Other details (if any)   + Option B): UE-B’s resource(s) to be used for its transmission resource (re-)selection is based only on the received coordination information     - UE-B uses in its resource (re-)selection, resource(s) belonging to the preferred resource set       * This option is supported at least when UE-B does not support sensing/resource exclusion         + FFS: Whether the support is conditional or UE capability       * FFS: Other details (if any) |   As indicated in the text marked in yellow, a UE that it is not capable of performing sensing/resource exclusion should indicate this capability to the other UEs in order to be participant of the inter-UE coordination under certain circumstances. Therefore, we propose to include an FG indicating this capability.   1. Include a new FG to indicate that a UE can perform transmission in NR sidelink mode 2 without sensing. |

## **Discussion**

**[FL1] High priority question 2-1:**

* **Companies are encouraged to provide views on whether/how to compose of an FG including some SL Tx/Rx capabilities**
  + **Potential Tx capabilities**
    - **mode 2 with random resource selection**
    - **mode 2 with partial sensing (FG 32-4)**
    - **mode 2 with full sensing (FG 32-3)**
  + **Potential Rx capabilities**
    - **SL reception Type A**
    - **SL reception Type B (FG 32-2)**
      * **Whether to split PSFCH and S-SSB receptions**
    - **SL reception Type D (FG 32-1)**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment |
| Qualcomm | “Mode 2 with full sensing” and “SL reception Type D” are both features included in the Release-16 feature list and there is no need to duplicate them here.  We prefer to have random resource selection be captured as part of specifications and be implemented by all Release-17 UEs.  PSFCH and S-SSB reception serve different purposes and have different, unrelated implementation requirements. Therefore, we propose to capture them as separate FGs.  Finally, we propose not to use the Type A/B/D terminology in the feature list per the agreement notes.   * + **Potential Tx capabilities**     - **mode 2 with random resource selection (in specifications)**     - **mode 2 with partial sensing (FG 32-4)**     - **~~mode 2 with full sensing (FG 32-3)~~**   + **Potential Rx capabilities**     - **No SL reception ~~Type A~~**     - **SL reception ~~Type B~~ of PSFCH (FG 32-2)**     - **SL reception of S-SSB (new FG)**     - **~~SL reception Type D (FG 32-1)~~** |
| NTT DOCOMO | Mode 2 full sensing, SL RX type D are included in Rel-16, so corresponding new FG would be unnecessary. Rather, they are mandated in Rel-16, so we need to discuss how to handle the FGs.  We think one FG for random selection is needed since Rel-16 does not have the feature. “mandatory”-like rule is fine for us if possible.  Regarding Type A, type A can be represented by not indicating support of type B and type D, so new FG for type A is unnecessary. For type B, we are fine with the split if majority want. |
| vivo | In our view one or several FGs are needed to represent the SL UEs having different Tx/Rx capabilities. A UE without SL Rx capabilities (i.e., Type A) has been supported since LTE and should also be supported in NR. We are open to consider the detailed signalling design. |
| Samsung | Regarding Tx capabilities, Feature 32-3 (full sensing) by itself cannot be a Rel-17 UE feature since a distinguishing factor for Rel-17 NR sidelink enhancement is resource allocation for power saving. In other words, full sensing alone is a Rel-16 UE feature and a Rel-17 UE feature needs to include at least one of the resource allocation schemes for power saving. Hence we propose the following FG combinations:  ***Proposal 1:*** *The following UE features are supported for transmitting NR sidelink Mode 2 as:*   * *Transmitting NR sidelink Mode 2 with full sensing, partial sensing and random* * *Transmitting NR sidelink Mode 2 with partial sensing and random selection only* * *Transmitting NR sidelink Mode 2 with random selection only*   Regarding receiving, we believe that having types B and D are sufficient as by default not reporting the support of FG 32-1 and 32-2 will automatically indicate type A. Hence no need to add an additional FG. |
| ZTE, Sanechips | 1. OK to have Type A/Type B Rx capabilities, but Type D (FG32-1) seems not needed given the basic FG for Rel-16 already supports this kind of capability signaling.  Regarding Rx capability of Type B, there is no need to separate PSFCH/S-SSB receptions given there is no agreement on that  2. Full sensing indication can be supported by Rel-16 signalling and thus can be removed. Random selection can be a component associated with Type A/B UE. |
| Intel | In our view there is no need to repeat R16 FGs and fragment too much RX capabilities. Therefore, we have following proposal.   * + **Potential Tx capabilities**     - **mode 2 with random resource selection**     - **mode 2 with partial sensing (FG 32-4)**     - **~~mode 2 with full sensing (FG 32-3)~~**   + **Potential Rx capabilities**     - **No SL reception**   + **Inter-UE coordination capabilities**     - **Inter-UE coordination scheme 1**     - **Inter-UE coordination scheme 2**   It is also OK to merge TX capabilities for **mode 2 with random resource selection and mode 2 with partial sensing** |
| Xiaomi | For potential Tx capabilities, “Mode 2 with random resource selection” and “Mode 2 with partial sensing” should be included. “Mode 2 with full sensing” is already supported in Rel-16 and thus does not need to be included in Rel-17 capabilities.  For potential Rx capabilities, we prefer to including “No SL reception”. |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | For Tx capabilities:   * For 32-3 (full sensing), it has been defined by R16, no need to re-define in Rel-17. Leave RAN2 to decide how to signal it. * It is appropriate to define a capability for partial sensing (32-4), and a capability for random selection. Note that Rel-17 should likely have its own FG for random selection rather than rely on the Rel-16 implied specification mandate, because there are or may be procedural differences between the two releases versions of random selection.   For Rx capabilities   * Type A is the same as a suitable set of Rel-16 (basic) FGs, and does not require Rel-17 signaling. * Types B and D – based on what we have seen in the papers, we are fine to not define these as UEs since they were originally introduced simply as design references. If a UE implementation can achieve these ‘types’ by virtue of the Rel-17 features it does / does not implement, that is fine, but does not require additional signaling on top of the supported features.   For Tx and Rx, as per the RAN2 guidance we should not define any ‘incapability’. |
| Lenovo/Motorola Mobility | Tx capability: Two different UE capability to indicate UE supporting random resource selection and partial sensing otherwise UE can indicate supporting/not supporting partial sensing and full sensing thereby by default supports random selection (according to the agreement)  Rx capability: No SL reception and SL Reception of S-SSB only |
| CATT, GOHIGH | Regarding to the Tx capability, Mode 2 with full sensing is not necessary to be a FG since it has supported by R16. For mode 2 with random selection, it is also supported in R16, and also it is related to the UE’s Rx capability, if a UE is not able to receive PSCCH, it will autonomously support random selection only.  We prefer to only introduce mode 2 with partial sensing as a new FG.  Regarding to the Rx capability, firstly, type D is not necessary to be a FG, since it has already supported by R16. Secondly, Type A will restrict the application scenarios for R17 sidelink since it only allows UE to work within network coverage.  We prefer to only introduce type B as a new FG. |
| Nokia, NSB | We agree with some of the comments above that we should not repeat Rel-16 FGs and fragment capabilities. However we should not define capabilities for things UE cannot do, like “no SL reception”. These principles are somewhat contradicting each other, so to avoid further confusion the FG definition needs to be very focused on the specific UE design that R17 specs enable. Some grouping of FGs into a meaningful set might be needed as well. For example, current 32-2 is not sensible as a standalone FG. |
| Ericsson | The full sensing mode 2 resource allocation both Rx capability and Tx capability FGs can be re-used from Rel-16 FGs (15-1 and 15-3). We propose to remove the FG 32-1 and 32-2.  Regarding the Tx capabilities, we are supportive of having FG for partial sensing (32-4) and we propose to include a separate FG for mode 2 random resource selection. Therefore, we propose to first add the following modification to 32-3 and remove the text “[UE can perfom random resource selection only]”:   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | | 32. NR\_SL\_enh | 32-3 | Transmitting NR sidelink mode 2 with full sensing | 1) UE can transmit PSCCH/PSSCH using NR sidelink mode 2 with full sensing configured by NR Uu or preconfiguration.  2) UE supports the sensing and resource allocation operation as specified in Rel-16. | [32-1] | [Yes] | [No] | ~~[UE can perfom random resource selection only]~~ | [Per band] | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |  | Optional with capability signalling. FFS: For UE supports NR sidelink, UE must indicate this FG is supported. |   For the partial sensing FG, we propose the following modification:   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | | 32. NR\_SL\_enh | 32-4 | Transmitting NR sidelink mode 2 with partial sensing | 1) UE can transmit PSCCH/PSSCH using NR sidelink mode 2 with partial sensing configured by NR Uu or preconfiguration.  2) UE can perform periodic-based partial sensing and resource allocation operation.  3) UE can perform contiguous partial sensing and resource allocation operation.  4) UE can perform re-evaluation and pre-emption checking | [32-1], [32-3] | [Yes] | [No] | UE does not support transmission according to the partial sensing and resource allocation | [Per band] | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |  | Optional with capability signalling. FFS: For UE supports NR sidelink, UE must indicate this FG is supported. |   For the random resource selection FG, we propose the following:   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | | 32. NR\_SL\_enh | 32-4a | Transmitting NR sidelink mode 2 with random resource selection | 1) UE can transmit PSCCH/PSSCH using NR sidelink mode 2 with random resource selection configured by NR Uu or preconfiguration.  2) UE can perform re-evaluation and pre-emption checking | None | [Yes] | [No] | UE does not support transmission according to random resource selection | [Per band] | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |  | Optional with capability signalling. FFS: For UE supports NR sidelink, UE must indicate this FG is supported. |   In both cases, the addition is aligned with the following agreement:  Agreements:   * Re-evaluation and pre-emption checking are not supported by UEs that do not perform any sensing (i.e. PSCCH reception) * Re-evaluation and pre-emption checking are supported by UEs that perform sensing   + FFS details and any conditions(s) in which re-evaluation and pre-emption can be performed * FFS whether/how re-evaluation and pre-emption can be supported by UEs performing random resource selection that do perform sensing * Note: details about sensing in this context, including when it is performed, are not decided yet.   Regarding the Rx capabilities, we are supportive of introducing a FG for Type B UEs as indicated in 32-2. We do not think that we should have separated FGs for PSFCH and S-SSB reception as both should be supported by Type B UEs.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | | 32. NR\_SL\_enh | 32-2 | [Receiving NR sidelink of PSFCH/S-SSB only] | 1) UE can receive NR PSFCH/S-SSB only. | None | [Yes] | [No] |  | [Per band] | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |  | Optional with capability signalling. FFS: For UE supports NR sidelink, UE must indicate this FG is supported. | |
| Futurewei | For potential Tx capabilities, the first two features, i.e., random resource selection (potentially in any resource pool rather than just exception pool) and partial sensing, are new features that need to be included in Rel-17. The pre-requisite for these two features is Rel-16 FG 15-3 ( The third one, mode 2 full sensing, is the feature of Rel-16. We do not need to re-define this feature.  For potential Rx capabilities, as in the conclusions in RAN1#103-e and RAN1#104-e, Type A, B, and D UEs were only used as the reference for evaluation and designing of SL power saving features in R17. There were no agreements later on these types of UEs as new UE features or UE capabilities. Therefore, it is not necessary to define these new features. Further, type D UE of receiving NR sidelink of PSCCH/PSSCH/PSFCH/S-SSB basically means that it supports sidelink mode 2 UE features in Rel-16. Therefore, the existing Rel-16 mode 2 feature is sufficient, no need to have a new feature.  The proposed updated FGs are listed below.   * + **Potential Tx capabilities**     - **mode 2 with random resource selection**     - **mode 2 with partial sensing (FG 32-4)**   + **Rel-16 FG 15-3 (mode 2 transmission and reception) is a pre-requisite for above two features.**     - **~~mode 2 with full sensing (FG 32-3)~~**   + **~~Potential Rx capabilities~~**     - **~~SL reception Type A~~**     - **~~SL reception Type B (FG 32-2)~~**       * **~~Whether to split PSFCH and S-SSB receptions~~**     - **~~SL reception Type D (FG 32-1)~~** |
| Apple | Since “SL reception type D” and “mode 2 with full sensing” are already included in the Release 16 feature list, we are fine not to duplicate them for Release 17 feature list.  For potential Tx capabilities, we support to keep “mode 2 with partial sensing” and add one new feature of “mode 2 with random resource selection”.  For potential Rx capabilities, we support to keep “SL reception Type B”. We do not see the necessity to define “SL reception Type A”, which does not define any new UE functionalities. |
| FL2 | According to the comments provided so far, companies view can be summarized as follows:   * + **Potential Tx capabilities**     - **mode 2 with random resource selection**       * Necessary: Qualcomm (as basic FG for Rel-17 SL), DOCOMO (as basic FG for Rel-17 SL), Samsung (as basic FG for Rel-17 SL), Intel, Xiaomi, Huawei, HiSilicon, Lenovo/Motorola Mobility, Ericsson, Futurewei, Apple, OPPO       * Not necessary: CATT, GOHIGH     - **mode 2 with partial sensing (FG 32-4)**       * Necessary: Qualcomm, Samsung, Intel, Xiaomi, Huawei, HiSilicon, Lenovo/Motorola Mobility, CATT, GOHIGH, Ericsson, Futurewei, Apple, OPPO       * Not necessary:     - **mode 2 with full sensing (FG 32-3)**       * Necessary: Samsung       * Not necessary: Qualcomm, DOCOMO, Intel, Xiaomi, CATT, GOHIGH, Futurewei, Apple, OPPO       * Leave to RAN2: Huawei, HiSilicon   + **Potential Rx capabilities**     - **No SL reception**       * Necessary: Qualcomm, vivo, ZTE, Sanechips, Intel, Xiaomi, Lenovo/Motorola Mobility       * Not necessary: DOCOMO, Samsung, Huawei, HiSilicon, CATT, GOHIGH, Nokia, NSB, Futurewei, Apple, OPPO     - **SL reception of PSFCH/S-SSB (FG 32-2)**       * Necessary: Qualcomm, DOCOMO, Samsung, ZTE, Sanechips, CATT, GOHIGH, Apple         + **Whether to split PSFCH and S-SSB receptions**   Yes: Qualcomm, DOCOMO, Lenovo/Motorola Mobility  No: ZTE, Sanechips, Ericsson   * + - * Not necessary: Intel, Huawei, HiSilicon, Ericsson, Futurewei, OPPO     - **SL reception of PSCCH/PSSCH/PSFCH/S-SSB (FG 32-1)**       * Necessary: Samsung       * Not necessary: Qualcomm, DOCOMO, ZTE, Sanechips, Intel, Huawei, HiSilicon, CATT, GOHIGH, Ericsson, Futurewei, Apple, OPPO   For potential Tx capabilities, majority companies think “**mode 2 with random resource selection**” and “**mode 2 with partial sensing (FG 32-4)**” are necessary but “**mode 2 with full sensing (FG 32-3)**” is not necessary.  For potential Rx capabilities, there is no majority view whether “**No SL reception**” and “**SL reception of PSFCH/S-SSB**” are necessary. However, majority companies don’t think “**SL reception of PSCCH/PSSCH/PSFCH/S-SSB (FG 32-1)**” is necessary.  Therefore, following proposal is made:  **[FL2] High priority proposal 2-1:**   * **Following Tx/Rx capabilities are used to compose of FGs for Rel-17 SL Tx/Rx capabilities**   + **Tx capabilities**     - **mode 2 with random resource selection**     - **mode 2 with partial sensing**   + **Rx capabilities**     - **FFS: No SL reception**     - **FFS: SL reception of PSFCH/S-SSB**   + **FFS detail FG structure for Rel-17 SL Tx/Rx capabilities** |
| Ericsson | We would like to clarify that our position is to have FG 32-2 (there was a typo in our previous reply. As it is clear from the text, we proposed to remove 32-1 and 32-3), although we do not think further split (PSFCH and S-SSB) is justified. We suggest making the following correction in the moderator’s summary:   * + - **SL reception of PSFCH/S-SSB (FG 32-2)**       * Necessary: Qualcomm, DOCOMO, Samsung, ZTE, Sanechips, CATT, GOHIGH, Apple, Ericsson   Regarding the proposal from FL, we have the following position/view:   * + **Tx capabilities**     - **mode 2 with random resource selection**       * We are supportive of having a FG for mode 2 with random resource selection     - **mode 2 with partial sensing**       * We are supportive of having a FG for mode 2 with partial sensing   + **Rx capabilities**     - **FFS: No SL reception**       * It is our understanding that such a capability is against the RAN2 guidelines in R2-2002378, which explicitly states “Avoid defining “incapability” bits as they may cause interpretation issues”. We think no further discussion should take place.     - **FFS: SL reception of PSFCH/S-SSB**       * We re supportive of having a FG for SL reception of PSFCH/S-SSB and it should not be further split.     - **FFS detail FG structure for Rel-17 SL Tx/Rx capabilities**       * Regarding the detailed FG structure, we suggest discussing these details when the FG and other details are more stable. |
| Apple | We are fine with FL proposal 2-1. |
| Qualcomm2 | While we prefer to have random selection be required in specifications, we’re ok with introducing it as a UE feature for progress.  In our view, it is important to have a UE that can receive PSFCH to obtain feedback for its transmissions without requiring it to implement other reception capability. This isn’t possible under the existing Rel-16 UE feature framework. Therefore, we propose to update the proposal as follows:   * **Following Tx/Rx capabilities are used to compose of FGs for Rel-17 SL Tx/Rx capabilities**   + **Tx capabilities**     - **mode 2 with random resource selection**     - **mode 2 with partial sensing**   + **Rx capabilities**     - **FFS: No SL reception**     - **~~FFS:~~ SL reception of PSFCH~~/S-SSB~~**     - **FFS SL reception of S-SSB and whether to combine with reception of PSFCH**   + **FFS detail FG structure for Rel-17 SL Tx/Rx capabilities**   We’d like to for clarification on “FFS: No SL reception”. This is does not mean that all SL UEs must support reception, only that the same capability could be signaling without using an additional FG. Is our understanding correct? |
| OPPO | We are OK with this proposal.  BTW, we add OPPO’s view in the FL’s summary |
| Futurewei | We support this proposal. We think the FFS parts on Rx capabilities are not necessary, which can be removed. |
| NTT DOCOMO | Support.  Regarding “No SL reception”, this incapability would not be good. Indicating not support of SL reception can represent “no SL reception”, so this part can be removed. |
| CATT, GOHIGH | We are ok with this proposal. |
| Xiaomi | We are OK with this proposal. |
| vivo | We are OK with this proposal, and also OK to remove the “FFS” from the Rx capabilities.  Regarding the “No SL reception”, we understand the concern of introducing “incapability” FG. On the other hand, we are not sure if “No SL reception” can be simply represented by not reporting FG 15-1, as 15-1 is a prerequisite of many FGs. Maybe we can have the “No SL reception” bullet here, and add a sub-bullet of “FFS how to represent this”.   * **Following Tx/Rx capabilities are used to compose of FGs for Rel-17 SL Tx/Rx capabilities**   + **Tx capabilities**     - **mode 2 with random resource selection**     - **mode 2 with partial sensing**   + **Rx capabilities**     - **~~FFS:~~ No SL reception**       * **FFS how to represent this**     - **~~FFS:~~ SL reception of PSFCH/S-SSB**       * **FFS SL reception of S-SSB and whether to combine with reception of PSFCH**   + **FFS detail FG structure for Rel-17 SL Tx/Rx capabilities** |
| LG Electronics | Support Proposal 2-1. |
| Samsung | We think that TX capabilities with multiple sensing schemes should be considered/discussed.  So, we suggest the following modification as:   * **Following Tx/Rx capabilities are used to compose of FGs for Rel-17 SL Tx/Rx capabilities**   + **Tx capabilities**     - **mode 2 with random resource selection**     - **mode 2 with partial sensing**     - **FFS: TX capabilities with more than one sensing schemes (e.g., {full sensing, partial sensing, random selection}, {partial sensing, random selection})**   + **Rx capabilities**     - **FFS: No SL reception**     - **FFS: SL reception of PSFCH/S-SSB**   **FFS detail FG structure for Rel-17 SL Tx/Rx capabilities** |
| Sharp | We are fine with FL proposal 2-1. |
| Nokia, NSB | We think this is going in a better direction now. We agree with DOCOMO that we should not have an incapability for “No SL reception”. It is better to have capabilities to define exactly what the UE can receive instead. |
| ZTE,Sanechips | Ok |
| MediaTek | For TX capabilities, we prefer to keep random selection and partial sensing as separate capabilities. We don’t think that random selection should be mandated for all sidelink UEs. We can agree with companies that mode-2 full sensing (**FG 32-3)** is not necessary, however R16 mode-2 full sensing FG should be made optional.  For RX capabilities, we prefer separate PSFCH and S-SSB reception FGs as they are completely different procedures. We also need no reception as separate FG unless the R16 reception FG is made optional. “No reception” FG is needed unless UE can report ‘no’ for all other reception FGs (including S-SSB, PSFCH, R16-reception)  PS. I have inserted MTK’s preference in FL2 tab.  Our view is:   * **Following Tx/Rx capabilities are used to compose of FGs for Rel-17 SL Tx/Rx capabilities**   + **Tx capabilities**     - **mode 2 with random resource selection**     - **mode 2 with partial sensing**   + **Rx capabilities**     - **~~FFS:~~ No SL reception**     - **~~FFS:~~ SL reception of ~~PSFCH/~~S-SSB**     - **FFS: SL reception of PSFCH**   + **FFS detail FG structure for Rel-17 SL Tx/Rx capabilities** |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Proposal looks ok. It is not so clear what “are used to compose of” means. If it means that each listed row will be separate FGs, we support the proposal on Tx side as we wrote previously.  We don’t see a need for additional Tx-capability signaling states, since once the two FGs are defined, that is clearly sufficient to achieve combinations, and we did not so far see a reason to restrict combinations.  Regarding the Rx capabilities, all that appears to matter is if the features a UE does support allow it to not have Rx capability/ies (e.g. only random selection), that is something the UE implementation can achieve on its own, without needing additional signaling for it. Note that ‘incapabilities’ are deprecated by RAN2. |
| FL3 | Given that companies still have different view, this proposal is not set for email endorsement at 1st check point (Oct 14th).  Moderator will update the proposal in the next round discussion |
| FL4 | According to the comments provided so far, many companies are generally fine with the proposal.  Main bullet is revised based on the comments from Huawei, HiSilicon. Those capabilities can be used as a component of Rel-17 FGs. If an FG included only one of the capabilities, the capability itself defines an FG.  One additional FFS sub-bullet is added based on the comments from Samsung  For “No SL reception”, companies have different views whether/how to represent such “incapability”. Corresponding sub-bullet is updated to further discuss this aspect.  For “SL reception of PSFCH/S-SSB”, companies have different views whether to split it into one for PSFCH and the other for S-SSB. Corresponding sub-bullet is updated to further discuss this aspect.  **[FL4] High priority proposal 2-1:**   * **Following Tx/Rx capabilities are used as a component of ~~to compose of~~ FGs for Rel-17 SL Tx/Rx capabilities**   + **Tx capabilities**     - **mode 2 with random resource selection**     - **mode 2 with partial sensing**     - **FFS: TX capabilities with more than one sensing schemes (e.g., {full sensing, partial sensing, random selection}, {partial sensing, random selection})**   + **Rx capabilities**     - **FFS: whether/how to represent the capability for no SL reception**     - **FFS: SL reception of PSFCH/S-SSB**       * **FFS: whether to split the capability into one for PSFCH and the other for S-SSB**   + **FFS detail FG structure for Rel-17 SL Tx/Rx capabilities** |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Seems clear on the Tx side that we will have these as FGs, not merely as components. The proposal should refer to “…are defined as FGs for Rel-17 …”, and the final FFS point should refer to “FFS detail components of the FGs”.  On Rx side, we don’t agree to an FFS to introduce an incapability. We wrote before on the fact RAN2 have deprecated their use by writing to WGs and RAN plenary, and with good reasons, and we don’t want to spend time discussing whether to ignore RAN2’s guidance. |
| Ericsson | We have the following comments for this proposal:   * We are supportive of having 2 separate FGs for indicating the Tx capability in mode 2 with random resource selection and with partial sensing. * However, we do not think that having a FG including the different combinations of sensing for resource selection, i.e., full-sensing, partial sensing and/or random resource selection, is needed. In our view, a UE will signal more than one FG to indicate that it has several of these capabilities. Therefore, we propose to remove the FFS regarding this issue. * Moreover, as mentioned in our previous reply and following the guidelines given by RAN2 in R2-2002378, we should avoid having a kind of UE capabilities that indicates incapability. In the RAN2 guidelines, it is explicitly stated: “Avoid defining “incapability” bits as they may cause interpretation issues”. Therefore, we think that no further discussion should take place and that it should be removed. * We are supportive of having an FG for SL reception of PSFCH/S-SSB **only**. We propose to add this into the FG definition. We are OK to have it as FFS for the time being, but we do not think we should consider splitting it further.   Therefore, we propose the following modified proposal which summarizes our proposed modifications (in blue):  **[FL4] High priority proposal 2-1:**   * **Following Tx/Rx capabilities are used as a component of ~~to compose of~~ FGs for Rel-17 SL Tx/Rx capabilities**   + **Tx capabilities**     - **mode 2 with random resource selection**     - **mode 2 with partial sensing**     - **~~FFS: TX capabilities with more than one sensing schemes (e.g., {full sensing, partial sensing, random selection}, {partial sensing, random selection})~~**   + **Rx capabilities**     - **~~FFS: whether/how to represent the capability for no SL reception~~**     - **FFS: SL reception of PSFCH/S-SSB only**       * **~~FFS: whether to split the capability into one for PSFCH and the other for S-SSB~~**   **FFS detail FG structure for Rel-17 SL Tx/Rx capabilities** |

**[FL1] High priority question 2-2:**

* **Companies are encouraged to provide views on the relationship between the FGs 32-1 to 32-4 and Rel-16 basic FGs for NR SL**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment |
| Qualcomm | Many of the Release-17 SL features are for UEs that do not, or cannot, implement all of the Release-16 basic features. Therefore, we propose to allow a Release-17 to implement a subset, including none, of the Release-16 basic FGs. |
| NTT DOCOMO | Same view as QC. And at the same time, which Rel-16 FGs can be non-basic features should be discussed carefully. |
| vivo | RAN1 should first investigate and clarify how the Rel-17 Pedestrian UE (or Type A/B UE) handles the Rel-16 NR SL basic FGs. For example, 15-11 (PSFCH format 0) is defined as basic FG in Rel-16. Then, is a Rel-17 PUE without Rx capability (i.e., SL Type A UE) allowed to not report 15-11? If it is allowed, then the Rel-16 FGs (e.g., 15-11, 15-4, etc.) can be largely reused, and 32-1, 32-2, etc., are not needed.  On the other hand, if the Rel-16 basic FGs are still mandatory for Rel-17 PUE, some solution to indicate the PUE capability is needed. But the current FGs 32-1 to 32-4 may not resolve this issue. When a UE does not report 32-1/2, is it a Rel-16 UE, or a Rel-17 UE with Type A reception? |
| ZTE, Sanechips | FG 32-1 and FG 32-4 can be covered by Rel-16 basic FGs. Instead, an FG covering type D UE should be established as the novel FG32-1. |
| Intel | Our views are summarized in table below:   |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | | 32-1 | [Receiving NR sidelink of PSCCH/PSSCHPSFCH/S-SSB] | Same as Rel.16 FG 15-1 Receiving NR sidelink => No need to define | | 32-2 | [Receiving NR sidelink of PSFCH/S-SSB only] | No need to introduce | | 32-3 | Transmitting NR sidelink mode 2 with full sensing | Same as Rel.16 FG 15-3 Transmitting NR sidelink mode-2 => No need to define | | 32-4 | Transmitting NR sidelink mode 2 with partial sensing | Either relates to support of mode-2 transmission or no relationship with Rel.16 FGs, which is also OK | |
| Xiaomi | We agree with QC and DOCOMO to allow Rel-17 to implement only a subset including none of Release-16 basic FGs. |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | A Rel-17 UE only needs to implement Rel-16 basic FGs, according to which Rel-17 features it supports. The relationship can be best expressed via having Rel-16 FG pre-requisites as needed for Rel-17 FGs. Other points are in reply to Q2-1. |
| Lenovo/Motorola Mobility | Which of the Rel-16 basic FGs should be supported by Rel17 UEs depends on the Rel17 UEs Tx/RX capability |
| Ericsson | The FG for mode 2 full sensing in Rel-17 does not need to be newly implemented and can be re-used from the Rel-16 FGs (15-1 and 15-3). |
| Futurewei | As we prefer not to include Type A,B,D UEs as new features, we do not need current FGs 32-1 to 32-3. For the two new features we support, mode 2 with random resource selection and mode 2 with partial sensing (FG 32-4), the Rel-16 mode 2 FG, i.e., FG 15-3, is prerequisite of these two new features. |
| Apple | Agree with QC and DOCOMO: The basic FGs for Rel-16 NR V2X may not be always the basic FGs for Rel-17 NR SL. |
| FL2 | According to the comments provided so far, a number of companies think Rel-17 SL UE only needs to support a subset of Rel-16 SL basic FGs, while which ones should be supported may depend on Rel-17 SL FG structure.  Therefore, following proposal is made:  **[FL2] High priority proposal 2-2:**   * **Rel-17 SL UE is not mandated to support all Rel-16 SL basic FGs**   + **FFS which Rel-16 SL basic FGs should be supported by Rel-17 SL UE** |
| Ericsson | We are supportive of the FL proposal. Not all the Rel-16 SL basic FGs should be supported by all Rel-17 UEs. We can indicate which Rel-16 SL basic FG to be supported depending on the particular Rel-17 FG. |
| Apple | We support FL proposal 2-2. |
| Qualcomm2 | We’re generally ok with the proposal but would like to add “if any” to the end of the FFS in case the decision is to not support any Rel-16 FGs   * **Rel-17 SL UE is not mandated to support all Rel-16 SL basic FGs**   + **FFS which Rel-16 SL basic FGs should be supported by Rel-17 SL UE if any** |
| OPPO | We support this proposal |
| Futurewei | We are OK in principle with the proposal. However, based on the main bullet, we think FFS part should state which Rel-16 basic FGs are not mandated to be supported as the default is to support SL mode 2 feature (15-3) per the WI (mode 2 enhancement). So we propose the following updates   * **Rel-17 SL UE is not mandated to support all Rel-16 SL basic FGs**   **FFS which Rel-16 SL basic FGs ~~should~~ are not mandated to be supported by Rel-17 SL UE** |
| NTT DOCOMO | Support. FW’s text is preferred. |
| CATT, GOHIGH | We support this proposal. |
| Xiaomi | We support FL proposal. |
| vivo | We are generally fine with this proposal, but have a question for clarification: does this proposal apply to a whole FG (e.g., 15-11) only, or also apply to component(s) of a Rel-16 FG (e.g., supporting only some components of a Rel-16 basic FG, but not all)? |
| LG Electronics | Support Proposal 2-2 |
| Samsung | We are O.K with proposal 2-2. |
| Sharp | We are fine with FL proposal 2-2. |
| Nokia, NSB | We support the general idea of the proposal, though Futurewei’s is a better description of the intent of the discussion. |
| ZTE,Sanechips | Prefer FW’s text |
| MediaTek | We are OK with FL proposal 2-2.  FGs for R16 mode-2 reception and R16 mode-2 full sensing should be supported, but they should be made optional instead of mandatory. |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | There is not much need to discuss importing basic features from Rel-16 as basic features in Rel-17. There should not be basic Rel-17 FGs at all. The Rel-16 FGs should be handled via pre-requisites of Rel-17 FGs, where needed. We don’t see benefit in adding an FFS, with its workload, on this point. The word order in the proposal may lead to confusion, and can be revised as follows to promptly close the issue:   * **Rel-17 SL UE is not mandated to support ~~all~~ Rel-16 SL basic FGs**   **~~FFS which Rel-16 SL basic FGs should be supported by Rel-17 SL UE~~** |
| FL3 | Given that companies still have different view, this proposal is not set for email endorsement at 1st check point (Oct 14th).  Moderator will update the proposal in the next round discussion |
| FL4 | According to the comments provided so far, most companies are generally fine with the proposal and/or prefer Futurewei’s version. Therefore, Let’s take Futurewei’s version.  @vivo: It can be further discussed whether a whole FG or a part of an FG (i.e. some components in the FG) is not mandated to be supported. Current FFS include both cases.  @ Huawei, HiSilicon: It is great if we can conclude this discussion in one shot as you proposed. However, it seems difficult for companies to do so. Could you live with the following proposal for now?  **[FL4] High priority proposal 2-2:**   * **Rel-17 SL UE is not mandated to support all Rel-16 SL basic FGs**   + **FFS which Rel-16 SL basic FGs ~~should~~ are not mandated to be supported by Rel-17 SL UE** |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | There are not basic FGs assumed in later releases, but the proposal’s sub-bullet assumes them. The way to build is up to Rel-17, not down from Rel-16. The logic in the proposal points in the wrong direction. It’s not a matter of “living with it”, it’s a matter of working with correct procedure and technical rationale. |
| Ericsson | We are OK with the intention of this proposal, but think that the wording in FL2 is more appropriate. We think the discussion is about which features need to be supported and not the other way around.   * **Rel-17 SL UE is not mandated to support all Rel-16 SL basic FGs**   + **FFS which Rel-16 SL basic FGs should be supported by Rel-17 SL UE** |

**[FL1] High priority question 2-3:**

* **Companies are encouraged to provide views on whether FGs 32-1 to 32-4 should be supported as basic FGs for Rel-17 SL enhancement**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment |
| Qualcomm | No, the features in Release-17 are independent and there is no need to introduce a basic feature group. Any functional dependency could be implemented using the pre-requisites field. |
| NTT DOCOMO | It depends on what is the actual Rel-17 SL FGs. For example, if a FG for type A is introduced, it should be basic FG since lower capable UE will not exist. But if such a FG is not introduced, then basic FG might be unnecessary. |
| vivo | It depends on the answer to question 2-2, for example, are they defined in Rel-17 to “override” the Rel-16 FGs? If yes, they may be considered as basic FGs – but still some revisions are needed. |
| Samsung | Given that Type A and Type B UEs might exist, having FGs 32-1 and 32-2 as basic will require all UEs to perform full sensing. Hence, only FG supporting transmission using random resource selection should be a basic FG. |
| ZTE, Sanechips | The novel 32-1 to 32-4 as in R1-2109733 should not be basic FGs |
| Intel | We do not see strong motivation to define basic FGs for Rel.17 FGs |
| Xiaomi | We do not think the current FGs 32-1 to 32-4 can be basic FGs for Rel-17. We are open to discuss how to define basic FGs for Rel-17. |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | No.  A “later” release, in this case wrt Rel-16 NR V2X, should not usually consider basic FGs for a feature because it can delay implementation of unconnected FGs. There need not be any association between implementing inter-UE coordination features and implementing power saving features.  This question is properly handled via pre-requisites among inter-UE coordination FGs, once the FGs themselves are clearer. |
| Lenovo/Motorola Mobility | Depends on the Tx capability indication discussion, UE needs to implement random selection as basic FG if not indicated separately |
| CATT, GOHIGH | we don’t see the need to define basic FGs in R17 |
| Ericsson | The FGs which are newly introduced in NR SL Rel-17 do not need to be defined as basic FGs. |
| Futurewei | We prefer to only include FG 32-4 and Random resource selection as new features for Rel-17 SL enhancement. These two can be independent. Neither of them is a basic FG.  If there is a push to have one of them as the basic FG for rel-17, then random resource selection should be the basic feature. |
| Apple | We do not think FGs 32-1 to 32-4 are the basic FGs for Rel-17. |
| FL2 | As commented by some companies, this question is related to **question 2-1** and **question 2-2**. Therefore, this question can be discussed after some progress is made for those questions. |
|  |  |

**Medium priority question 2-4:**

* **Companies are encouraged to provide views on whether the type of FGs 32-1 to 32-4 should be per band or per FS**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment |
| Samsung | We prefer to have features 32-1 to 32-4 as per band rather than per FS. This will allow the UE to be more flexible and adapt to the underlying use case. |
| ZTE, Sanechips | Per band should be fine |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Per band seems reasonable, but we note the proposal of per-FS and would like to understand the reasons more, given that the Rel-17 SL features do not seem related to NR band combinations. |
| Nokia, NSB | Per band |
| Ericsson | The FGs related to Tx/Rx capabilities and resource allocation should be defined per band. |
| Futurewei | These features should be per band. |
| Apple | Per band should be fine. |
| Qualcomm | Per-FS  The ability of the UE to perform the operations required for sensing and resource selection could be impacted by operations being performed in other bands that increase baseband processing effort for example. Having the FGs per FS provides the UE with the flexibility needed to address different scenarios. This is important in Rel-17 since it expands the use cases to devices that could be highly sensitive to processing requirements, power consumption, and implementation complexity. |
| MediaTek | Per band |

**Medium priority question 2-5:**

* **Companies are encouraged to provide views on whether the column of “Need for the gNB to know if the feature is supported” for FGs 32-1 to 32-4 should be “Yes”**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment |
| Samsung | Since the focus here is on Mode 2, there is no need for the gNB to know. Thus the answer is No. |
| ZTE,Sanechips | Yes |
| Nokia, NSB | Yes |
| Ericsson | We are supportive of indicating “Yes” to the “Need for the gNB to know if the feature is supported”. The gNB needs to know this information for properly configuring pools and UEs. |
| Futurewei | Rel-17 Sidelink WI is mode 2 sidelink enhancement. Following the mode 2 UE feature specified in Rel-16, i.e., FG 15-3, the answer should be “Yes”. |
| MediaTek | Yes |

**Medium priority question 2-6:**

* **Companies are encouraged to provide views on whether the column of “Applicable to the capability signalling exchange between UEs (Sidelink WI only)” for FGs 32-1 to 32-4 should be “No”**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment |
| ZTE,Sanechips | For FG 32-1 to 32-3, should be “No”, but for FG32-4, should be “Yes”. |
| Ericsson | For FGs 32-1 to 32-4 we do not need to indicate capability signalling. |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Low priority question 2-7**

* **Companies are encouraged to provide views on whether/how to revise the prerequisite feature groups for FGs 32-1 to 32-4**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment |
| Samsung | It is essential to limit the prerequisite of FG 32-4 only to FG 32-1. This is because a UE performing partial sensing is not necessarily capable of performing full sensing. |
| Xiaomi | Only feature 32-1 is defined as the prerequisite feature of feature 32-4 |
| Ericsson | Partial sensing FG has as prerequisite the support of full sensing FG as defined in Rel-16 |
| Futurewei | For FG 32-4 mode 2 with partial sensing and mode 2 with random resource selection, the Rel 16 mode 2 UE feature, FG 15-3, is the prerequisite FG. |
| MediaTek | Prerequisite for FG 32-4 should only include FG 32-1. Prerequisite for full sensing (FG 34-3) should not be necessary to perform partial sensing (FG 32-4) |

**Low priority question 2-8**

* **Companies are encouraged to provide views on whether/how to revise any other contents in FGs 32-1 to 32-4 which do not have capability signaling impacts**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# **32-5 for NR: Inter-UE coordination in NR sidelink mode 2**

In [1], FG 32-5 is captured as below.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Features | Index | Feature group | Components | Prerequisite feature groups | Need for the gNB to know if the feature is supported | Applicable to the capability signalling exchange between UEs (Sidelink WI only)”. | **Consequence if the feature is not supported by the UE** | **Type**  **(the ‘type’ definition from UE features should be based on the granularity of 1) Per UE or 2) Per Band or 3) Per BC or 4) Per FS or 5) Per FSPC)** | Need of FDD/TDD differentiation | Need of FR1/FR2 differentiation | Capability interpretation for mixture of FDD/TDD and/or FR1/FR2 | Note | Mandatory/Optional |
| 32. NR\_SL\_enh | 32-5 | Inter-UE coordination in NR sidelink mode 2 | 1) UE can transmit and receive inter-UE coordination information of preferred resource set/non-preferred resource set and use the received information in its own resource (re-)selection in NR sidelink mode 2.  2) UE can transmit and receive inter-UE coordination information of presence of expected/potential resource conflict and use the received information in its own resource re-selection in NR sidelink mode 2.  3) UE can transmit and received an explicit request for inter-UE coordination information of [FFS: preferred resource set only or both preferred resource set and non-preferred resource set]. | [32-1] | [Yes] | [Yes] | UE does not support inter-UE coordination in NR sidelink mode 2. | [Per band] | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |  | Optional with capability signalling. FFS: For UE supports NR sidelink, UE must indicate this FG is supported. |

Following feedbacks are provided in contributions for the RAN1#106bis-e meeting.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| [4] | vivo | There are two schemes (and probably several sub-schemes) are being discussed for inter-UE coordination [4]. It is preferable to have separate UE features for different inter-UE coordination (sub-)schemes, considering that these (sub-)schemes are targeting different scenarios.  *Proposal 2:* *Separate UE features are defined for different inter-UE coordination schemes.* |
| [5] | OPPO | For the inter-UE coordination RA, there should be a separate feature group for each of the followings. Currently, they are grouped together in FG 32-5 according to [2].   * Scheme 1 for preferred resource set   + Maybe separate FG for Option A) with sensing capability and Option B) without sensing capability * Scheme 1 for non-preferred resource set * Scheme 2 for expected/potential resource conflict |
| [6] | Huawei, HiSilicon | There are two inter-UE coordination schemes, where scheme 1 allows to UE-A to indicate a set of resources (preferred or not-preferred) to UE-B, and thus UE-B can perform resource selection according to the coordination information provided by the UE-A, e.g. UE-B is aware of what resources is preferred to be used for transmission. On the other hand, scheme 2 only indicates collision, and UE-B has no information about resource preference and perform resource re-selection on its own sensing results. Thus two schemes can be associated with different UE capability to support different inter-UE coordination operation. Within a scheme, it is not needed to have a number of combinations of different optionss to define different inter-UE coordination capability, because each combination may be optimized in different conditions, such as cast type, group-size, periodic/aperiodic traffic, and a UE is to perform any of them, depending on the situation. In summary, we propose to have two inter-UE coordination UE features, one each for scheme 1 and scheme 2.  ***Proposal 3: Define inter-UE coordination scheme 1 and scheme 2 as separate UE features, FG 32-5 (scheme 1) and FG 32-6 (scheme 2).***  Based on RAN1 agreements that resource allocation schemes (full sensing, partial sensing, and random resource selection) are configured per resource pool, thus it is essential for gNB to be aware of the UE features on what resource allocation schemes it supports. For inter-UE coordination, it is also agreed that features for both scheme 1 and scheme 2 can be enabled or disabled or controlled by (pre-)configuration, and therefore gNB should be informed with UE capability.  ***Proposal 4: UE features regarding resource allocation schemes and inter-UE coordination schemes need to be informed to gNB.***   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | | 32. NR\_SL\_enh | 32-5 | Inter-UE coordination scheme 1 in NR sidelink mode 2 | 1) UE can transmit and receive inter-UE coordination information of preferred resource set and use the received information in its own resource (re-)selection in NR sidelink mode 2.  2) UE can transmit and receive inter-UE coordination information of non-preferred resource set and use the received information in its own resource (re-)selection in NR sidelink mode 2.  3) UE can transmit and received an explicit request for inter-UE coordination information of [FFS: preferred resource set only or both preferred resource set and non-preferred resource set]. | 15-1 | Yes | [Yes] | UE does not support inter-UE coordination scheme 1 in NR sidelink mode 2. | [Per band] | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |  | Optional with capability signalling. FFS: For UE supports NR sidelink, UE must indicate this FG is supported. | | 32. NR\_SL\_enh | 32-6 | Inter-UE coordination scheme 2 in NR sidelink mode 2 | 1) UE can transmit and receive inter-UE coordination information of presence of expected/potential resource conflict and use the received information in its own resource re-selection in NR sidelink mode 2. | 15-1 | Yes | [Yes] | UE does not support inter-UE coordination scheme 2in NR sidelink mode 2. | [Per band] | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |  | Optional with capability signalling. FFS: For UE supports NR sidelink, UE must indicate this FG is supported. | |
| [7] | CATT | **FG 32-5: Inter-UE coordination in NR sidelink mode 2**  Regarding FG32-5, there are two schemes for inter-UE coordination, i.e. scheme 1 and scheme 2. The two schemes have too much difference on the trigger condition, resource selection procedure and coordination information generation and coordination transmission. Therefore, it would be better to separate the two inter-UE coordination schemes into two FGs, one FG is for inter-UE coordination scheme 1, and another FG is for inter-UE coordination scheme 2.  ***Proposal 5: FG 32-5 should be separated into two FGs, one FG is for inter-UE coordination scheme 1, and another FG is for inter-UE coordination scheme 2.*** |
| [9] | Samsung | **Feature 32-5: Inter-UE coordination in NR sidelink mode 2**  1) UE can transmit and receive inter-UE coordination information of preferred resource set/non-preferred resource set and use the received information in its own resource (re-)selection in NR sidelink mode 2.  2) UE can transmit and receive inter-UE coordination information of presence of expected/potential resource conflict and use the received information in its own resource re-selection in NR sidelink mode 2.  3) UE can transmit and received an explicit request for inter-UE coordination information of [FFS: preferred resource set only or both preferred resource set and non-preferred resource set].  However, the UE features of transmitting and receiving inter-UE coordination information need to be seperated because some UEs might be able to receive and implement the assistance but not necessarily capable of providing assistance due to their limited sensing capabilities and power restrictions. Moreover, in case of transmitting coordination information, this should also be separated into two features (i.e., the support of Scheme 1 and Scheme 2 should be treated separately). This is because Scheme 2 is much simpler than Scheme 1 and requires only an indication which is unlike providing sets of preferred and non-preferred resources which might require sensing that is beyond the UE’s capability.Therefore, we propose:  ***Proposal 2:*** *The following UE features are supported for NR sidelink inter-UE coordination information as:*   * *Transmitting inter-UE coordination Scheme1 in NR sidelink Mode 2* * *Transmitting inter-UE coordination Scheme2 in NR sidelink Mode 2* * *Receving inter-UE coordination in NR sidelink Mode 2*  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | | 32. NR\_SL\_enh | 32-~~5~~6 | Transmitting i~~I~~nter-UE coordination Scheme1 in NR sidelink mode 2 | 1) UE can transmit ~~and receive~~ inter-UE coordination information of preferred resource set/non-preferred resource set ~~and use the received information in its own resource (re-)selection in NR sidelink mode 2.~~  ~~2) UE can transmit and receive inter-UE coordination information of presence of expected/potential resource conflict and use the received information in its own resource re-selection in NR sidelink mode 2.~~  2) UE can transmit ~~and received~~ an explicit request for inter-UE coordination information of [FFS: preferred resource set only or both preferred resource set and non-preferred resource set]. | ~~[32-1]~~,[32-3 or 32-4 or 32-5] | [Yes] | [Yes] | UE does not support Scheme 1 of inter-UE coordination in NR sidelink mode 2. | [Per band] | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |  | Optional with capability signalling. FFS: For UE supports NR sidelink, UE must indicate this FG is supported. | | 32. NR\_SL\_enh | 32-7 | Transmitting inter-UE coordination Scheme2 of in NR sidelink mode 2 | 1) UE can transmit inter-UE coordination information of presence of expected/potential resource conflict | [32-3 or 32-4 or 32-5] | [Yes] | [Yes] | UE does not support Scheme 2 of inter-UE coordination in NR sidelink mode 2. | [Per band] | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |  | Optional with capability signalling. FFS: For UE supports NR sidelink, UE must indicate this FG is supported. | | 32. NR\_SL\_enh | 32-8 | Receving inter-UE coordination in NR sidelink mode 2 | 1) UE can receive inter-UE coordination information of preferred resource set/non-preferred resource set and use the received information in its own resource (re-)selection in NR sidelink mode 2.  2) UE can receive inter-UE coordination information of presence of expected/potential resource conflict and use the received information in its own resource re-selection in NR sidelink mode 2.  3) UE can receive an explicit request for inter-UE coordination information of [FFS: preferred resource set only or both preferred resource set and non-preferred resource set]. | [32-1] | [Yes] | [Yes] | UE does not support inter-UE coordination in NR sidelink mode 2. | [Per band] | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |  | Optional with capability signalling. FFS: For UE supports NR sidelink, UE must indicate this FG is supported. | |
| [10] | MediaTek | For inter-UE coordination, more details are pending on the discussion. For the explicit, it can be up to further discussion. Our initial view is that component 3) in 32-5 is only applicable for the preferred resource set indication case.  For mandatory or Optional, there seems no need to have FFS, i.e., all features can be optional. To be noted, this is the enhancement of NR SL rather than the basic NR SL (R16), no support of this feature won’t break the operation of NR SL.  Our view on 32-5 are summarized in Table IV as highlighted in track-change mode.  **Table IV. Feature group 32-3 and 32-4 for sidelink UE sensing operation.**   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | | Features | Index | Feature group | Components | Prerequisite feature groups | Need for the gNB to know if the feature is supported | Applicable to the capability signalling exchange between UEs (Sidelink WI only)”. | Mandatory/Optional | | 32. NR\_SL\_enh | 32-5 | Inter-UE coordination in NR sidelink mode 2 | 1) UE can transmit and receive inter-UE coordination information of preferred resource set/non-preferred resource set and use the received information in its own resource (re-)selection in NR sidelink mode 2.  2) UE can transmit and receive inter-UE coordination information of presence of expected/potential resource conflict and use the received information in its own resource re-selection in NR sidelink mode 2.  3) UE can transmit and received an explicit request for inter-UE coordination information of preferred resource set | [32-1] | [Yes] | [Yes] | Optional with capability signalling. |   **Proposal 3: UE feature 32-5 [1] is supported as indicated in Table IV above.** |
| [11] | Intel | In Rel.17, two inter-UE coordination schemes were agreed for sidelink communication (scheme 1 and scheme 2). These schemes have different mechanisms and can operate independently. Therefore FG 32-5 can be divided at least into two FGs:   * 32-x5 Inter-UE coordination scheme 1 in NR sidelink mode 2 * 32-y5 Inter-UE coordination scheme 2 in NR sidelink mode 2   Further progress on design needs to be made to decide whether further split is needed. For instance, the FG for inter-UE coordination scheme 1 can be further divided into the following FGs:   * Request-based inter-UE coordination with the feedback of preferred resource set * Request-based inter-UE coordination with the feedback of non-preferred resource set * Condition-based inter-UE coordination with the feedback of preferred resource set * Condition-based inter-UE coordination with the feedback of non-preferred resource set   These aspects can be further discussed once more design details are available.  At this stage, we have following proposal for inter-UE coordination FG:   * + **Split FG 32-5 into two FGs:**     - **32-x5: Inter-UE coordination scheme 1 in NR sidelink mode 2**     - **32-y5: Inter-UE coordination scheme 2 in NR sidelink mode 2**   + **Further discuss if additional split is needed based on progress of inter-UE coordination framework**  |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | | 32-x5 | Inter-UE coordination scheme 1 in NR sidelink mode 2 | 1) UE can transmit and receive inter-UE coordination information of preferred resource set/non-preferred resource set and use the received information in its own resource (re-)selection in NR sidelink mode 2.  2) UE can transmit and receive an explicit request for inter-UE coordination information of preferred resource set only and/or non-preferred resource set. | [32-1] | | 32-y5 | Inter-UE coordination scheme 2 in NR sidelink mode 2 | 1. UE can transmit and receive inter-UE coordination information of presence of expected/potential resource conflict and use the received information in its own resource re-selection in NR sidelink mode 2. | [32-1] | |
| [12] | ZTE, Sanechips | Moreover, it's suggested all the FGs listed shall be optional, thus the note for each FG "the FFS: For UE supports LTE Uu configuring NR sidelink, UE must indicate this FG is supported." can be removed.  In summary, the following proposal is made   1. Adopt the following modification to the relevant FGs  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | | 4(32)--5 | Inter-UE coordination in NR sidelink mode 2 | 1) UE can transmit and receive inter-UE coordination information of preferred resource set/non-preferred resource set and use the received information in its own resource (re-)selection in NR sidelink mode 2.  2) UE can transmit and receive inter-UE coordination information of presence of expected/potential resource conflict and use the received information in its own resource re-selection in NR sidelink mode 2.  3) UE can transmit and received an explicit request for inter-UE coordination information of [FFS: preferred resource set only or both preferred resource set and non-preferred resource set]. | [4-1] | [Yes] | [Yes] | UE does not support inter-UE coordination in NR sidelink mode 2. | [Per band] | N.A. | N.A. |  | Optional with capability signalling.  ~~FFS: For UE supports LTE Uu configuring NR sidelink, UE must indicate this FG is supported.~~ | |
| [13] | LG Electronics | According to the agreements/working assumptions made so far, there can be up to five variants of the inter-UE coordination by combining the types of resource sets and the triggering conditions in the two schemes (see details below). Since supporting all these variants would be challenging especially considering that each variant may require the distinctive design in its details, we think that RAN1 can prioritize the variants of “signalling of preferred resource set triggered by the explicit request from UE-B” and “signalling of non-preferred resource set triggered by the event/condition at UE-A” for Scheme 1, which will respect the RAN1 conclusion that two types are supported in terms of the resource sets and the triggering conditions. In our understanding, the former is essential to support the preferred resource set for the case where UE-B’s resource(s) to be used for its transmission resource (re-)selection is based only on the received coordination information as UE-A does not know whether the UE-B’s is performing the sensing operation without receiving the explicit request. Note that for Scheme 2, there is no need for the prioritization/down-selection because only one operation has been agreed.   * Scheme 1   + Signalling of preferred resource set/non-preferred resource set triggered by the explicit request from UE-B   + Signalling of preferred resource set/non-preferred resource set triggered by the event/condition at UE-A * Scheme 2   + Signalling of expected/potential resource conflict triggered by the event/condition at UE-A   ***Proposal 5: In the inter-UE coordination, prioritize the following variants and defines only the related FGs.***   * ***Signalling of preferred resource set triggered by the explicit request from UE-B in Scheme 1*** * ***Signalling of non-preferred resource set triggered by the event/condition at UE-A in Scheme 1*** * ***Scheme 2 as per the current RAN1 agreements*** |
| [14] | Apple | One RAN1 objective in Release 17 NR sidelink enhancement is to specify inter-UE coordination. Two inter-UE coordination schemes are supported. In inter-UE coordination scheme 1, the coordination information sent from UE-A to UE-B is the set of resources preferred and/or non-preferred for UE-B’s transmission; In inter-UE coordination scheme 2, the coordination information sent from UE-A to UE-B is the presence of expected/potential detected resource conflict on the resources indicated by UE-B’s SCI. It seems from [1] that feature 32-4 is associated with both inter-UE coordination schemes.  We think inter-UE coordination scheme 1 and inter-UE coordination scheme 2 are two different UE features. A UE supporting inter-UE coordination scheme 1 does not have to support inter-UE coordination scheme 2, or vice versa. Inter-UE coordination scheme 1 is a proactive scheme, where UE-A provides inter-UE coordination before UE-B’s initial sidelink transmission. Inter-UE coordination scheme 2 is a reactive scheme, where UE-A provides inter-UE coordination after receiving UE-B’s SCI.  Although it has not been agreed, it is likely the inter-UE coordination in scheme 1 is carried in PSCCH or PSSCH due to a large number of information bits, while the inter-UE coordination in scheme 2 is carried in PSFCH-like channel due to a small number of information bits. This implies that a UE supporting PSFCH/S-SSB reception only (i.e., feature 32-2) can receive inter-UE coordination in scheme 2.  Consider a UE performing random resource selection, can receive inter-UE coordination scheme 2 based on supported feature 32-2. This UE applies the received inter-UE coordination in its resource re-selection. In this way, feature 32-1 is not a necessary prerequisite feature group for the UE receiving inter-UE coordination information of presence of expected/potential resource conflict.  On the other hand, a UE transmitting the inter-UE coordination of presence of expected/potential resource conflict needs to have the sensing capability to detect other UEs’ SCI in order to prepare the inter-UE coordination. Hence, the UE needs to receive PSCCH/PSSCH, which makes feature 32-1 as a prerequisite feature group.  Hence, it is preferred to separate feature 32-5 according to inter-UE coordination scheme 1 or inter-UE coordination scheme 2 and according to transmitting inter-UE coordination or receiving inter-UE coordination.  ***Proposal 4:*** *Separate feature 32-5 according to inter-UE coordination scheme 1 or inter-UE coordination scheme 2, and according to transmitting inter-UE coordination or receiving inter-UE coordination.*  ***Proposal 4a:*** *Introduce feature 32-5a for transmitting inter-UE coordination scheme 1, where*   * *UE can transmit inter-UE coordination of preferred or non-preferred resource set,* * *UE can receive explicit request for inter-UE coordination.*   ***Proposal 4b:*** *Introduce feature 32-5b is for receiving inter-UE coordination scheme 1, where*   * *UE can receive inter-UE coordination of preferred or non-preferred resource set and use the received information in its own resource (re-)selection in NR sidelink mode 2,* * *UE can transmit explicit request for inter-UE coordination.*   ***Proposal 4c:*** *Introduce feature 32-5c for transmitting inter-UE coordination scheme 2, where UE can transmit inter-UE coordination information of presence of expected/potential resource conflict.*  ***Proposal 4d:*** *Introduce feature 32-5d for receiving inter-UE coordination scheme 2, where UE can receive inter-UE coordination information of presence of expected/potential resource conflict and use the received information in its own resource re-selection in NR sidelink mode 2.*   * *The prerequisite feature group is either 32-1 or 32-2.* |
| [15] | Qualcomm | A single FG is used for all inter-UE coordination features in [1]. However, the ability to utilize preferred resource information is unrelated to the ability to utilize non-preferred resources. Therefore, the FGs for Scheme 1 with preferred resource indication, for Scheme 1 with non-preferred resource indication, and Scheme 2 are separated. Moreover, a UE that utilizes coordination information does not necessarily need to be able to generate coordination information. Hence, those two aspects are listed in separate FGs for each variant.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | | 32. NR\_SL\_enh | 32-5a | Inter-UE coordination preferred resource transmission | 1) UE can generate and transmit inter-UE coordination information of preferred resource set in NR sidelink mode 2.  2) UE can receive an explicit request for inter-UE coordination information | None | Yes | Yes |  | Per FS | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |  | Optional with capability signalling | | 32. NR\_SL\_enh | 32-5b | Inter-UE coordination preferred resource reception | 1) UE can receive inter-UE coordination information of preferred resource set and use the received information in its own resource (re-)selection in NR sidelink mode 2.  2) UE can transmit an explicit request for inter-UE coordination information | None | Yes | Yes |  | Per FS | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |  | Optional with capability signalling | | 32. NR\_SL\_enh | 32-5c | Inter-UE coordination non-preferred resource transmission | 1) UE can generate and transmit inter-UE coordination information of non-preferred resource set in NR sidelink mode 2. | None | Yes | Yes |  | Per FS | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |  | Optional with capability signalling | | 32. NR\_SL\_enh | 32-5d | Inter-UE coordination preferred nonresource reception | 1) UE can receive inter-UE coordination information of non-preferred resource set and use the received information in its own resource (re-)selection in NR sidelink mode 2. | None | Yes | Yes |  | Per FS | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |  | Optional with capability signalling | | 32. NR\_SL\_enh | 32-5e | Inter-UE coordination expected conflict indication transmission | 1) UE can detect the presence of expected/potential resource conflict and transmit an indication for inter-UE coordination in NR sidelink mode 2. | None | Yes | Yes |  | Per FS | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |  | Optional with capability signalling | | 32. NR\_SL\_enh | 32-5f | Inter-UE coordination expected conflict indication reception | 1) UE can receive inter-UE coordination information indicating the presence of expected/potential resource conflict and use the received information in its own resource re-selection in NR sidelink mode 2. | None | Yes | Yes |  | Per FS | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |  | Optional with capability signalling | |
| [17] | Ericsson | For this feature group, we propose to split the feature into two different groups due to the different schemes and potential requirements as agreed for inter-UE coordination framework in RAN1:   1. The requirements that are needed for each of the Inter-UE coordination schemes agreed in RAN1, i.e., Scheme 1 and Scheme 2, can be different.   We propose to include the following FG 32-5-1 to include the capability for the inter-UE coordination scheme 1.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | | **Index** | **Feature group** | **Components** | **Prerequisite feature groups** | **Need for the gNB to know if the feature is supported** | **Applicable to the capability signalling exchange between UEs (Sidelink WI only)”.** | **Consequence if the feature is not supported by the UE** | **Type**  **(the ‘type’ definition from UE features should be based on the granularity of 1) Per UE or 2) Per Band or 3) Per BC or 4) Per FS or 5) Per FSPC)** | **Need of FDD/TDD differentiation** | **Need of FR1/FR2 differentiation** | **Capability interpretation for mixture of FDD/TDD and/or FR1/FR2** | **Note** | **Mandatory/Optional** | | 32-5-1 | Inter-UE coordination in NR sidelink mode 2 scheme 1 | 1) UE can transmit and receive inter-UE coordination information of preferred resource set/non-preferred resource set and use the received information in its own resource (re-)selection in NR sidelink mode 2.  2) UE can transmit and received an explicit request for inter-UE coordination information of [FFS: preferred resource set only or both preferred resource set and non-preferred resource set]. | [32-1] | [Yes] | [Yes] | UE does not support inter-UE coordination scheme 1 in NR sidelink mode 2. | Per UE | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |  | Optional with capability signalling. FFS: For UE supports NR sidelink, UE must indicate this FG is supported. |   We propose to include the following FG 32-5-2 to include the capability for the inter-UE coordination scheme 2.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | | **Index** | **Feature group** | **Components** | **Prerequisite feature groups** | **Need for the gNB to know if the feature is supported** | **Applicable to the capability signalling exchange between UEs (Sidelink WI only)”.** | **Consequence if the feature is not supported by the UE** | **Type**  **(the ‘type’ definition from UE features should be based on the granularity of 1) Per UE or 2) Per Band or 3) Per BC or 4) Per FS or 5) Per FSPC)** | **Need of FDD/TDD differentiation** | **Need of FR1/FR2 differentiation** | **Capability interpretation for mixture of FDD/TDD and/or FR1/FR2** | **Note** | **Mandatory/Optional** | | 32-5-2 | Inter-UE coordination in NR sidelink mode 2 scheme 2 | UE can transmit and receive inter-UE coordination information of presence of expected/potential resource conflict and use the received information in its own resource re-selection in NR sidelink mode 2. | [32-1] [32-2] | [Yes] | [Yes] | UE does not support inter-UE coordination scheme 2 in NR sidelink mode 2. | Per UE | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |  | Optional with capability signalling. FFS: For UE supports NR sidelink, UE must indicate this FG is supported. |   The motivation of the proposed changes (in red in the tables) is as follows:   * In our view, making mandatory that in case a UE supports the inter-UE coordination mechanism, it has to support both schemes is not feasible. Therefore, we propose to divide the FG into two different groups, one for Scheme 1 and another for Scheme 2. * For Scheme 1 since the coordination message is likely to be included in MAC CE/PC5-RRC or PSSCH, it requires to support the group 32-1 which indicates that the UE is able to receive all of the SL signals. * For Scheme 2, since the coordination message is likely to be included within the PSFCH resources, i.e., coexisting with the HARQ-ACK resources, then the UE can support this feature by supporting either 32-1 or 32-2. * The FGs for inter-UE coordination mechanism can be defined per UE.  1. Divide the 32-5 FG into two different FG as defined above to indicate whether the UE supports either Scheme 1 and/or Scheme 2 as agreed in RAN1. |

## **Discussion**

**[FL1] High priority question 3-1:**

* **Companies are encouraged to provide views on whether/how to split FG 32-5 into, e.g.,**
  + **scheme 1**
    - **Request-based inter-UE coordination with the feedback of preferred resource set**
    - **Request-based inter-UE coordination with the feedback of non-preferred resource set**
    - **Condition-based inter-UE coordination with the feedback of preferred resource set**
    - **Condition-based inter-UE coordination with the feedback of non-preferred resource set**
  + **scheme 2**
  + **transmitting inter-UE coordination information**
  + **receiving inter-UE coordination information**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment |
| Qualcomm | We don’t see the need to support request-based non-preferred resource set indication or condition-based preferred resource set indication. We support the remainder split as proposed, i.e. 6 FGs in total:   * **Companies are encouraged to provide views on whether/how to split FG 32-5 into, e.g.,**   + **scheme 1**     - **Request-based inter-UE coordination with the feedback of preferred resource set**     - **~~Request-based inter-UE coordination with the feedback of non-preferred resource set~~**     - **~~Condition-based inter-UE coordination with the feedback of preferred resource set~~**     - **Condition-based inter-UE coordination with the feedback of non-preferred resource set**   + **scheme 2**   + **transmitting inter-UE coordination information**   + **receiving inter-UE coordination information** |
| NTT DOCOMO | It depends on WI discussion outcome. We are fine with the four separate FGs for scheme 1 when all four patterns are supported in Rel-17 SL.  For scheme 2, we are fine with it. |
| vivo | We are fine to have separate FGs for each scheme. |
| ZTE, Sanechips | OK to have both schemes as component to an FG |
| Intel | If companies prefer to further split on transmitting and receiving inter-UE coordination information, then we suggest the following otherwise we can have FGs for scheme 1 and scheme 2 as starting point:   * + **scheme 1 transmitting inter-UE coordination information**   + **scheme 1 receiving inter-UE coordination information (including the use of inter-UE coordination information)**   + **FFS whether to split scheme 1 on request-based and condition-based feedback for preferred and non-preferred resource sets**   + **scheme 2 transmitting inter-UE coordination information**   + **scheme 2 receiving inter-UE coordination information (including the use of inter-UE coordination information)** |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | As per our submitted proposals – one FG for scheme 1, and another FG for scheme 2.  We do not see need for further subdivision: In scheme 1, preferred and non-preferred resource sets are not fundamentally different in terms of UE implementations, and nor are support of condition based vs. request based.  It is worthwhile discussing whether to separate inter-UE TX from inter-UE RX capabilities. |
| Lenovo/Motorola Mobiltiy | We prefer the wording from Intel to separate each scheme as transmitting and receiving while further discussion on request based and condition based can be made once we are clear which of those schemes RAN1 might finally implement, |
| CATT, GOHIGH | Firstly, we think the scheme 1 and scheme 2 should be separate FGs. Regarding whether scheme 1 could be further separated in preferred resource set and non-preferred resource set, it would be depend on the further progress in RAN1 |
| Ericsson | At this point, there is no substantial distinction between the different variants of the two schemes to justify separate reporting. Thus, we propose to split the Inter-UE coordination mechanism into two different FGs to indicate whether the UE supports either Scheme 1 and/or Scheme 2:   * One FG for scheme 1 * One FG for scheme 2 |
| Futurewei | We prefer not to split FG 32-5. Inter-UE coordination as a feature should be supported for both scheme 1 and 2. |
| Apple | We are supportive to the proposal of splitting FG 32-5 at least based on Scheme 1 vs. Scheme 2, UE-A (transmitting Inter-UE coordination) vs. UE-B (receiving Inter-UE coordination).  The combination of these two categories could be like the list proposed by Intel. For example, a UE supporting random resource selection and SL reception type B is able to receive Inter-UE coordination in Scheme 2. However, a UE to receive Inter-UE coordination in Scheme 1 has to support SL reception type D. |
| FL2 | According to the comments provided so far, companies view can be summarized as follows:   * Split FG 32-5 into scheme1 and scheme 2   + Yes: Qualcomm, DOCOMO, vivo, Intel, Huawei, HiSilicon, Lenovo/Motorola Mobiltiy, CATT, GOHIGH, Ericsson, Apple     - Different views whether/how to separate FGs for each scheme, which may depends on the discussion in AI 8.11.1.2   + No: ZTE, Sanechips, Futurewei   Given that majority companies are fine to split FG 32-5 into at least scheme 1 and scheme 2, following proposal is made:  **[FL2] High priority proposal 3-1:**   * **FG 35-1 is split to two FGs as follows**   + **FG 35-1a: Inter-UE coordination scheme 1 in NR sidelink mode 2**   + **FG 35-1b: Inter-UE coordination scheme 2 in NR sidelink mode 2**  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | | 32. NR\_SL\_enh | 32-5a | Inter-UE coordination scheme 1 in NR sidelink mode 2 | 1) UE can transmit and receive inter-UE coordination information of preferred resource set/non-preferred resource set and use the received information in its own resource (re-)selection in NR sidelink mode 2.  2) UE can transmit and received an explicit request for inter-UE coordination information of [FFS: preferred resource set only or both preferred resource set and non-preferred resource set].  FFS whether/how to split FG 32-5a into multiple FGs | [32-1] | [Yes] | [Yes] | UE does not support inter-UE coordination scheme 1 in NR sidelink mode 2. | [Per band] | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |  | Optional with capability signalling. FFS: For UE supports NR sidelink, UE must indicate this FG is supported. | | 32. NR\_SL\_enh | 32-5b | Inter-UE coordination scheme 2 in NR sidelink mode 2 | 1) UE can transmit and receive inter-UE coordination information of presence of expected/potential resource conflict and use the received information in its own resource re-selection in NR sidelink mode 2.  FFS whether/how to split FG 32-5b into multiple FGs | [32-1] | [Yes] | [Yes] | UE does not support inter-UE coordination scheme 2 in NR sidelink mode 2. | [Per band] | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |  | Optional with capability signalling. FFS: For UE supports NR sidelink, UE must indicate this FG is supported. |   Note that any contents highlighted in yellow mean FFS and to be discussed further. |
| Ericsson | We are supportive of the proposal from FL. |
| Apple | We think further split based on “transmission of inter-UE coordination” and “reception of inter-UE coordination” is also needed. But we are fine with Proposal 3-1 and will continue discussing the split. |
| Qualcomm2 | In our view, Scheme 1 with preferred resources and Scheme 1 with non-preferred resources need to be listed as separate FGs. They address different scenarios and place different requirements on both the transmitter and the receiver UEs. For example, the procedure implemented by the UE is different between the two sub-schemes. RAN1 is still discussing other aspects that could lead to further differentiation.   * + **FG 35-1a: Inter-UE coordination scheme 1 with preferred resource set in NR sidelink mode 2**   + **FG 35-1b: Inter-UE coordination scheme 1 with non-preferred resource set in NR sidelink mode 2**   + **FG 35-1~~b~~c: Inter-UE coordination scheme 2 in NR sidelink mode 2**   We also think that separation of Rx and Tx capabilities is important. For example, per RAN1 agreements, a UE that only uses the preferred resource set for its resource selection, has chosen to not perform sensing and wouldn’t be able to generate and transmit the preferred resource set in those cases. |
| OPPO | We support this proposal |
| Futurewei | Although we prefer not to split the two inter UE coordination schemes, we are ok to follow the majority. The prerequisite FG 32-1 is not yet decided. Maybe we could change it to [TBD] for now. |
| NTT DOCOMO | Support. |
| CATT, GOHIGH | We are fine with this proposal, and we are also open to further separate scheme 1 into prefer resource set and non-preferred resource set. |
| vivo | We are fine with the proposal, and we also prefer to further split the scheme 1 to two FGs, for preferred and non-preferred resource set as suggested by Qualcomm. |
| LG Electronics | Support Proposal 3-1.  At this moment, there seems to be no strong motivation to define “Scheme 1 w/ preferred resource set” and “Scheme 2 w/ non-preferred resource set” as separate features. |
| Samsung | O.K with proposal. However, we think that separate UE feature of receiving inter-UE coordination information needs to be discussed because some UEs might be able to receive and implement the assistance but not necessarily capable of providing assistance due to their limited sensing capabilities and power restrictions.  Therefore, we suggest to add FFS bullet as below:   * **FG 35-1 is split to two FGs as follows**   + **FG 35-1a: Inter-UE coordination scheme 1 in NR sidelink mode 2**   + **FG 35-1b: Inter-UE coordination scheme 2 in NR sidelink mode 2**   FFS: whether to introduce separate UE feature of receiving inter-UE coordination information |
| ZTE,Sanechips | Support |
| Nokia, NSB | Support |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | We support the split at this level.  It seems that only difference for scheme 1 preferred vs. non-preferred is on resource exclusion, where for non-preferred resource set, it is to exclude coordination resources, and for non-preferred resource set, it is to include coordination resources. This does not seem fundamental to define separate capabilities.  We can discuss if to separate TX from RX of inter-UE coordination information.  Agree with others to [TBD] the pre-requisites, since 32-1 should not be defined. No need for the FFS on basic – can be deleted.  NOTE: The FG numbering in the proposal is incorrect. Should be 32-x. |
| FL3 | Given that companies still have different view, this proposal is not set for email endorsement at 1st check point (Oct 14th).  Moderator will update the proposal in the next round discussion |
| FL4 | According to the comments provided so far, many companies are generally fine with the proposal.  Prerequisite FG is revised to “[TBD]” based on comment from Futurewei. Also typo is corrected.  @Companies who prefer to split FG further: as mentioned in the column of Components, it can be further discussed. Could you live with the following proposal for now?  **[FL4] High priority proposal 3-1:**   * **FG 32-5 is split to two FGs as follows**   + **FG 32-5a: Inter-UE coordination scheme 1 in NR sidelink mode 2**   + **FG 32-5b: Inter-UE coordination scheme 2 in NR sidelink mode 2**  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | | 32. NR\_SL\_enh | 32-5a | Inter-UE coordination scheme 1 in NR sidelink mode 2 | 1) UE can transmit and receive inter-UE coordination information of preferred resource set/non-preferred resource set and use the received information in its own resource (re-)selection in NR sidelink mode 2.  2) UE can transmit and received an explicit request for inter-UE coordination information of [FFS: preferred resource set only or both preferred resource set and non-preferred resource set].  FFS whether/how to split FG 32-5a into multiple FGs | [TBD] | [Yes] | [Yes] | UE does not support inter-UE coordination scheme 1 in NR sidelink mode 2. | [Per band] | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |  | Optional with capability signalling. FFS: For UE supports NR sidelink, UE must indicate this FG is supported. | | 32. NR\_SL\_enh | 32-5b | Inter-UE coordination scheme 2 in NR sidelink mode 2 | 1) UE can transmit and receive inter-UE coordination information of presence of expected/potential resource conflict and use the received information in its own resource re-selection in NR sidelink mode 2.  FFS whether/how to split FG 32-5b into multiple FGs | [TBD] | [Yes] | [Yes] | UE does not support inter-UE coordination scheme 2 in NR sidelink mode 2. | [Per band] | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |  | Optional with capability signalling. FFS: For UE supports NR sidelink, UE must indicate this FG is supported. |   Note that any contents highlighted in yellow mean FFS and to be discussed further. |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | OK for this proposal. |
| Ericsson | Supportive of this proposal by FL. |

**[FL1] High priority question 3-2:**

* **Companies are encouraged to provide views on whether FG 32-5 should be supported as a basic FG for Rel-17 SL enhancement**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment |
| Qualcomm | No, as commented in our reply to 2-3, the features in Release-17 are independent and there is no need to introduce a basic feature group. |
| NTT DOCOMO | Inter-UE coordination would be an optional feature. It cannot be a basic FG. |
| vivo | At least we don’t think it should be a basic FG for power saving UE. |
| Samsung | No this feature should not be a basic FG because it will not be supported by Type A UEs performing only random-based Mode 2 resource selection with no sensing capability. |
| ZTE, Sanechips | Prefer to have this as optional |
| Intel | Optional |
| Xiaomi | Prefer to setting it as optional FG. |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | No.  A “later” release, in this case wrt Rel-16 NR V2X, should not usually consider basic FGs for a feature because it can delay implementation of unconnected FGs. There need not be any association between implementing the newly introduced R17 inter-UE coordination features and implementing power saving features. |
| Lenovo/Motorola Mobility | Optional |
| CATT, GOHIGH | Prefer as an optional FG. |
| Ericsson | The FGs related to inter-UE coordination do not need to be defined as basic FG for Rel-17 SL |
| Futurewei | At the current stage, we are ok to have it as an optional feature. |
| Apple | It does not have to be a basic FG. |
| FL2 | According to the comments provided so far, all companies don’t think FG 32-5 should be supported as a basic FG for Rel-17 SL enhancement. Therefore, following proposal is made:  **[FL2] High priority proposal 3-2:**   * **The capability for inter-UE coordination scheme 1 in NR sidelink mode 2 is not a basic FG for Rel-17 SL enhancement** |
| Ericsson | We are supportive of the FL proposal. |
| Apple | We support Proposal 3-2. |
| Qualcomm2 | We support the proposal |
| OPPO | We support the proposal |
| Futurewei | We are ok with the proposal. |
| NTT DOCOMO | Support. |
| CATT, GOHIGH | We support this proposal. |
| Xiaomi | Support |
| vivo | We are fine with this proposal. |
| LG Electronics | Support Proposal 3-2. |
| Samsung | We did not finalized scheme 1 and scheme 2 yet. So, we suggest to decide this in the next meeting. |
| ZTE, Sanechips | Prefer to have conclusion on both scheme 1 and scheme 2 as not basic FG together |
| Nokia, NSB | Support |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Agree.  But as mentioned elsewhere, we suggest simply deciding that *no* Rel-17 FG is basic. It will be faster work. |
| FL3 | Given that companies still have different view, this proposal is not set for email endorsement at 1st check point (Oct 14th).  Moderator will update the proposal in the next round discussion |
| FL4 | According to the comments provided so far, most companies are generally fine with the proposal.  Editorial revision is applied to include both schemes 1 and 2  Based on the comment from Samsung, the proposal is changed to proposed working assumption so that it can be confirmed when scheme 1 and scheme 2 are finalized.  **[FL4] High priority proposed working assumption 3-2:**   * **The capabilities for inter-UE coordination schemes 1 and 2 in NR sidelink mode 2 are not a basic FG for Rel-17 SL enhancement** |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Agree, this should be straightforward. |
| Ericsson | We are supportive of this proposal. |

**Medium priority question 3-3:**

* **Companies are encouraged to provide views on whether the type of FG 32-5 should be per UE, per band, or per FS**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment |
| Samsung | Per band is ok with us. |
| ZTE, Sanechips | Per band. |
| Nokia, NSB | Per band |
| Ericsson | The FGs related to inter-UE coordination should be defined per UE |
| Futurewei | We prefer per band based. |
| Apple | Per band |

**Medium priority question 3-4:**

* **Companies are encouraged to provide views on whether the column of “Need for the gNB to know if the feature is supported” for FG 32-5 should be “Yes”**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment |
| Samsung | No |
| ZTE, Sanechips | No |
| Ericsson | For the FGs related to inter-UE coordination the column of “Need for the gNB to know if the feature is supported” should be “Yes”. The gNB needs to know this information for properly configuring pools and UEs. |
| Futurewei | Again, following the mode 2 UE feature specified in Rel-16, i.e., FG 15-3, the answer should be “Yes”. |

**Medium priority question 3-5:**

* **Companies are encouraged to provide views on whether the column of “Applicable to the capability signalling exchange between UEs (Sidelink WI only)” for FG 32-5 should be “Yes”**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment |
| Samsung | Yes for FG supporting Scheme 1. This is because UE B should be aware of the capabilities of UE A before triggering the transmission of resource coordination information. Similarly UE A should be aware that UE B can use the resource coordination information before transmitting it. |
| ZTE, Sanechips | Yes. |
| Ericsson | For the FGs related to inter-UE coordination the column of “Applicable to the capability signalling exchange between UEs (Sidelink WI only)” should be “Yes” |
| Futurewei | Yes, the capability signalling exchange is needed so that UE B knows whether a UE supports the feature and can be UE-A. |

**Low priority question 3-6**

* **Companies are encouraged to provide views on whether/how to revise the prerequisite feature groups for FG 32-5**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment |
| Samsung | In general, sensing is needed for UE A to generate and transmit the assistance information. In addition, for UE B, it is essential that is capable of receiving to obtain the coordination information. This can be finalized later once the FGs are decided. |
| Ericsson | This can be defined once we have a stable definition and split of the FGs. |
| Futurewei | The prerequisite FG is 15-3 mode 2 feature in Rel 16 |
| Apple | This can be discussed after the split of the FG. |

**Low priority question 3-7**

* **Companies are encouraged to provide views on whether/how to revise any other contents in FG 32-5 which do not have capability signaling impacts**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment |
| Futurewei | Current components and other contents of FG 32-5 are fine |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# **4-1 to 4-5 for LTE**

In [2], FGs 4-1 to 4-5 are captured as below.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Features | Index | Feature group | Components | Prerequisite feature groups | Need for the eNB to know if the feature is supported | [Need for the UE to know if the feature is supported (only for V2X WI, where the PC5-RRC capability signalling is delivered between the UEs)] | **Consequence if the feature is not supported by the UE** | **Type**  **(the ‘type’ definition from UE features should be based on the granularity of 1) Per UE or 2) Per Band or 3) Per BC or 4) Per FS or 5) Per FSPC)** | Need of FDD/TDD differentiation | Capability interpretation for mixture of FDD/TDD | Note | Mandatory/Optional |
| 4. [NR\_SL\_enh] | 4-1 | [Receiving NR sidelink of PSCCH/PSSCHPSFCH/S-SSB] | 1) UE can receive NR PSCCH/PSSCH/PSFCH/S-SSB. | None | [Yes] | [No] |  | [Per band] | N.A. | N.A. |  | Optional with capability signalling.  FFS: For UE supports LTE Uu configuring NR sidelink, UE must indicate this FG is supported. |
| 4-2 | [Receiving NR sidelink of PSFCH/S-SSB only] | 1) UE can receive NR PSFCH/S-SSB only. | None | [Yes] | [No] |  | [Per band] | N.A. | N.A. |  | Optional with capability signalling.  FFS: For UE supports LTE Uu configuring NR sidelink, UE must indicate this FG is supported. |
| 4-3 | Transmitting NR sidelink mode 2 with full sensing | 1) UE can transmit PSCCH/PSSCH using NR sidelink mode 2 with full sensing configured by LTE Uu.  2) UE supports the sensing and resource allocation operation as specified in Rel-16. | [4-1] | [Yes] | [No] | [UE can perfom random resource selection only] | [Per band] | N.A. | N.A. |  | Optional with capability signalling.  FFS: For UE supports LTE Uu configuring NR sidelink, UE must indicate this FG is supported. |
| 4-4 | Transmitting NR sidelink mode 2 with partial sensing | 1) UE can transmit PSCCH/PSSCH using NR sidelink mode 2 with partial sensing configured by LTE Uu.  2) UE can perform periodic-based partial sensing and resource allocation operation.  3) UE can perform contiguous partial sensing and resource allocation operation. | [4-1], [4-3] | [Yes] | [No] | UE does not support trasmissoin according to the partial sensing and resource allocation | [Per band] | N.A. | N.A. |  | Optional with capability signalling.  FFS: For UE supports LTE Uu configuring NR sidelink, UE must indicate this FG is supported. |
| 4-5 | Inter-UE coordination in NR sidelink mode 2 | 1) UE can transmit and receive inter-UE coordination information of preferred resource set/non-preferred resource set and use the received information in its own resource (re-)selection in NR sidelink mode 2.  2) UE can transmit and receive inter-UE coordination information of presence of expected/potential resource conflict and use the received information in its own resource re-selection in NR sidelink mode 2.  3) UE can transmit and received an explicit request for inter-UE coordination information of [FFS: preferred resource set only or both preferred resource set and non-preferred resource set]. | [4-1] | [Yes] | [Yes] | UE does not support inter-UE coordination in NR sidelink mode 2. | [Per band] | N.A. | N.A. |  | Optional with capability signalling.  FFS: For UE supports LTE Uu configuring NR sidelink, UE must indicate this FG is supported. |

Following feedbacks are provided in contributions for the RAN1#106bis-e meeting.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| [4] | vivo | The Rel-17 NR sidelink enhancement only targets NR-specific enhancements. There is no impact on LTE sidelink, nor on LTE/NR sidelink interaction. Consequently, there should not be any impact to a Rel-17 UE supporting LTE sidelink only. For a Rel-17 UE supporting both LTE sidelink and enhanced NR sidelink, it should report the Rel-17 related UE features in NR sidelink interface only. Therefore, in our view, the Rel-17 NR sidelink enhancement should have no impact on LTE UE feature.  *Observation 1: The Rel-17 NR sidelink enhancement WI has no impact on LTE UE feature.* |
| [13] | LG Electronics | Further discussion is also necessary on whether to define LTE Rel-17 UE feature list for SL enhancement. We think that if LTE Rel-17 feature to support the mechanism of SL enhancement is not introduced, the usability of Rel-17 SL enhancement mechanism will be lower compared to the case of Rel-16 NR V2X. In this sense, our preference is to define LTE Rel-17 UE feature list for SL enhancement.  ***Proposal 6: Define LTE Rel-17 UE feature list for SL enhancement (i.e., FG 4-1/4-2/4-3/4-4 in [2]).*** |
| [15] | Qualcomm | Some sidelink-related FGs were included for LTE in [2]. In our view, the LTE sidelink feature list can be discussed after the NR FGs are finalized.  Proposal 4: Release-17 sidelink related FGs in the LTE UE feature list can be discussed after the NR FGs are finalized. |
| [17] | Ericsson | The list of UE features which are studied and potentially introduced in Rel-17 are only relevant for UEs which use NR Rel-17 technology/procedures. Therefore, there is no need to include or update any of the FG in the LTE feature list.   1. There is no need to include or update any of the FG in the LTE feature list. Therefore, we propose to remove all the SL\_enh feature groups included in R1-2108678. |

## **Discussion**

**[FL1] High priority question 4-1:**

* **Companies are encouraged to provide views on whether Rel-17 UE features for SL enhancement should be included in the LTE features list**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment |
| Qualcomm | The benefit and target scenario for introducing FGs in the LTE feature list aren’t clear to us at this point. We can discussion once more progress has been made on the NR feature list. |
| NTT DOCOMO | Necessity should be clarified. |
| vivo | We don’t see the need of introducing these FGs of NR-specific sidelink enhancements for LTE. |
| Samsung | We prefer to postpone the discussion after NR FGs are completed. |
| ZTE, Sanechips | OK to further discuss the FGs till NR FGs are stable |
| Intel | Can be discussed later if it is justified |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | We assume yes, on the basis that this means LTE Uu-RRC can configure inter-UE coordination for NR mode 2, for the same reason that LTE Uu can configure Rel-16 NR V2X mode 2. That is – to allow use of inter-UE coordination when NR SL mode 2 is being used in an LTE cell. After checking with our RAN2 colleagues, we do not anticipate difficulty in higher layers to do this.  (It does not mean inter-UE coordination between LTE UEs). |
| Lenovo/Motorola mobility | Can be discussed later, if necessary |
| Ericsson | We do not think that the LTE feature list should be impacted by the NR Rel-17 feature list. In any case, if needed we can update the LTE feature list once the NR Rel-17 feature list is stable. |
| Futurewei | Prefer to discuss this after finalizing Rel-17 UE features |
| Apple | We do not see the need of introducing Rel-17 UE features for SL enhancement in the LTE features list. |
| FL2 | According to the comments provided so far, companies view can be summarized as follows:   * Yes: Huawei, HiSilicon * No: DOCOMO, vivo, Apple * Wait until further progress is made for NR FGs: Qualcomm, Samsung, ZTE, Sanechips, Intel, Lenovo/Motorola mobility, Ericsson, Futurewei   Given majority companies prefer to wait until further progress is made for NR FGs, no additional proposal is made but any company can propose it when further progress is made for NR FGs |
|  |  |

# **Other FGs**

This section discusses other FGs which are not included in [1] or [2].

Following feedbacks are provided in contributions for the RAN1#106bis-e meeting.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| [15] | Qualcomm | It was already agreed to support reevaluation and pre-emption checking. Two new FGs are introduced for these features.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | | 32. NR\_SL\_enh | 32-6 | Reevaluation of selected resources | 1. UE can perform reevaluation checking for selected but not reserved resources. | None | No | No |  | Per FS | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |  | Optional with capability signalling | | 32. NR\_SL\_enh | 32-7 | Preemption checking for reserved resources | 1. UE can perform preemption checking for selected resources. | None | No | Yes |  | Per FS | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |  | Optional with capability signalling | |

**[FL1] High priority question 5-1:**

* **Companies are encouraged to provide views on whether to add and FG for reevaluation of selected resources**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment |
| Qualcomm | Reevaluation is an important feature to improve the UE’s performance as shown in our evaluation results. However, there are cases when a UE is not capable of performing this operation and therefore, we propose an FG. |
| NTT DOCOMO | We found that Rel-16 SL does not have this capability. Power saving UE might not support re-evaluation/pre-emption check, so these FGs might be needed. But before agreeing this, which feature should be mandated should be discussed first as commented 2-2. |
| vivo | We agree that some power saving UEs may not support re-evaluation/pre-emption, but we share the view with DOCOMO that question 2-2 should be clarified first. |
| Samsung | We don’t see a need for a FG for reevaluation. |
| Intel | Can be discussed further. It needs to be discussed how inter-UE coordination is taken into account for re-evaluation. |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | No. The Rel-17 agreements do not support re-evaluation/pre-emption being optional within partial sensing, and both are mandatory in Rel-16. |
| Lenovo/Motorola Mobility | Agree with the DOCOMO comment which of the Rel16 feature should be supported by Rel17 UE should be discussed and these re-evaluation&pre-emption are part of those discussion. |
| CATT, GOHIGH | we think when a UE is capable of mode 2 partial sensing, it would support re-evaluation, we don’t see the need to define FG for re-evaluation in R17. |
| Ericsson | There is no need to include a separated FG for re-evaluation of the selected resources. For UEs transmitting in mode 2 resource allocation, i.e., full-sensing, partial sensing or random resource selection, the re-evaluation procedure can be added as a component on each of the FGs. |
| Futurewei | Reevaluation and preemption are supported if UE supports full sensing in Rel-16. Therefore, in Rel-17, UE supports Rel-17 reevaluation and preemption if UE supports partial sensing, no need to add FG for reevaluation and preemption. |
| Apple | In Rel 16 V2X, we do not have separate FG for re-evaluation and pre-emption. But we think that a power saving UE does not have to support re-evaluation and pre-emption, and we are open to discuss this further. |
| FL2 | According to the comments provided so far, companies view can be summarized as follows:   * Yes: Qualcomm * No: Samsung, Huawei, HiSilicon, CATT, GOHIGH, Ericsson, Futurewei * Discuss further: Intel, Apple * Question 2-2 should be clarified at first: DOCOMO, vivo, Lenovo/Motorola Mobility   Given majority companies prefer to clarify Question 2-2 at first, no additional proposal is made but any company can propose it when further progress is made for Question 2-2 |
|  |  |

**[FL1] High priority question 5-2:**

* **Companies are encouraged to provide views on whether to add and FG for preemption checking for reserved resources**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment |
| Qualcomm | Similar to reevaluation, there are cases when the UE is incapable of performing preemption checking and therefore, we propose an FG. |
| NTT DOCOMO | Same comment as above. |
| vivo | Please see our comments for question 5-1. |
| Samsung | We don’t see a need for a FG for preemption. |
| Intel | We are open to it especially for the case of partial sensing with semi-persistent transmissions since we indeed see significant power saving trade-offs in this scenario. Otherwise, we need some specification framework on how to handle it. |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | No, as per Q 5-1. |
| Lenovo/Motorola Mobility | Same comment as above |
| CATT, GOHIGH | No need to define FG for pre-emption in R17, similar comments as that for re-evaluation. |
| Ericsson | Similar view as in 5-1. There is no need to include a separated FG for pre-emption of the selected resources. For UEs transmitting in mode 2 resource allocation, i.e., full-sensing, partial sensing or random resource selection, the pre-emption procedure can be added as a component on each of the FGs. |
| Futurewei | Reevaluation and preemption are supported if UE supports full sensing in Rel-16. Therefore, in Rel-17, UE supports Rel-17 reevaluation and preemption if UE supports partial sensing, no need to add FG for reevaluation and preemption. |
| Apple | Same comment as in 5-1. |
| FL2 | Given the same situation as question 5-1, no additional proposal is made but any company can propose it when further progress is made for Question 2-2 |
|  |  |

# **Conclusions**

TBD
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