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**1. Overall Description:**

RAN1 would like to thank RAN2 on DCP open issues. RAN1 had discussed the issue on the collision of DCP and RAR when a DCP monitoring occasion overlaps with the *ra-ResponseWindow* or *msgB-ResponseWindow.* Regarding RAN2’s question on RAR impact with the collision of DCP and RAR, RAR would not be impacted if the DCI using for scheduling RAR with CRC scrambled by C-RNTI is on type-3 CSS with lower index than that of DCP. RAR addressed by C-RNTI would not be prioritized over DCP if the PDCCH is on USS.

RAN1had discussed the prioritizing rule of PDCCH monitoring with agreement that RAR is critical and should be prioritized. However, RAN1 cannot reach agreement on the specification change to prioritize RAR for BFR when DCI with CRC scrambled by C-RNTI on USS over DCP on Type-3 CSS.

RAN1 also agree to have the CR to align the updated parameter *ps-TransmitOtherPeriodicCSI* in RAN1 specification.

**2. Actions:**

**To RAN2**

**ACTION:** RAN1 respectfully asks RAN2 to take into account consideration of RAN1’s agreements.

**3. Date of Next TSG-RAN WG1 Meetings:**

TSG-RAN WG1 Meeting #102 24th - 28th August, 2020 e-Meeting

TSG-RAN WG1 Meeting #103 12th -16th October, 2020 China