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# Introduction

Four email discussions have been sanctioned in RAN1#101-e on initial access procedures for NR-U based on contributions submitted to this meeting [1]-[13]. This third discussion that aims to converge by 5/29 has the following scope:

[101-e-NR-unlic-NRU-InitAccessProc-03] Email discussion/approval on the following issue from R1-2003306 until 5/29 – Amitav (Charter)

* (#4.4) Choose between following alternatives for RSSI measurement duration in RAN1#101-e:
	+ Alt 1: {sym1, sym14or28or56or48, sym28or56or112or96, sym42or84or168or144, sym70or140or280or240}
		- “sym14or28or56or48” refers to 14 symbols for 15 kHz SCS, 28 symbols for 30 kHz SCS, 56 symbols for 60 kHz SCS with NCP, and 48 symbols for 60 kHz SCS with ECP, respectively, and so on
		- Inform RAN2 of this decision (can be within updated RRC parameter spread sheet that we send to RAN2, not necessarily a separate LS)
	+ Alt 2: {sym1, sym14or12, sym28or24, sym42or36, sym70or60}
		- “sym14or12” refers to 14 symbols for NCP and 12 symbols for ECP, respectively, and so on
		- Inform RAN2 of this decision (can be within updated RRC parameter spread sheet that we send to RAN2, not necessarily a separate LS)

Note 1: If measured bandwidth of RSSI overlaps with the active DL BWP, UE performs RSSI measurement with the SCS of the active DL bandwidth part during the measurement duration derived from combination of measDuration-r16 and rmtc-ref-SCS-CP.

Note 2: The UE expects an integer number of symbol(s) with respect to the SCS of the active DL BWP to be configured for RSSI measurement.

These issues have been selected based on the preparatory discussion summarized in [14].

# Discussion

FL Observations

All contributions on this topic generally recognize that Alt. 2 offers more flexibility and Alt. 1 values are a subset of those in Alt. 2, since Alt. 1 absolute measurement durations do not scale with the reference numerology. However, proponents of Alt. 1 observe that Alt. 1 is the only option that provides 5 ms measurement duration for all reference numerologies.

The FL believes that the current Alt. 2 formulation is not consistent with the intermediate agreement “Measurement duration can be no more than 5 ms for each numerology (requires sym70, sym140, sym280/sym240 respectively)” in the last meeting, which should be applicable to both alternatives.

Therefore, companies are requested to consider a modified proposal for Alt. 2 to address the above:

* Alt 2b: {sym1, sym14or12, sym28or24, sym42or36, sym70or140or280or240}
	+ - “sym14or12” refers to 14 symbols of the reference numerology for NCP and 12 symbols for ECP, respectively, and so on
		- “sym70or140or280or240” refers to 70 symbols for 15 kHz SCS, 140 symbols for 30 kHz SCS, 280 symbols for 60 kHz SCS with NCP, and 240 symbols for 60 kHz SCS with ECP, respectively

And potential ensuing discussion is:

Choose between following alternatives for RSSI measurement duration:

* + Alt 1: {sym1, sym14or28or56or48, sym28or56or112or96, sym42or84or168or144, sym70or140or280or240}
		- “sym14or28or56or48” refers to 14 symbols for 15 kHz SCS, 28 symbols for 30 kHz SCS, 56 symbols for 60 kHz SCS with NCP, and 48 symbols for 60 kHz SCS with ECP, respectively, and so on
		- Inform RAN2 of this decision (can be within updated RRC parameter spread sheet that we send to RAN2, not necessarily a separate LS)
	+ Alt 2b: {sym1, sym14or12, sym28or24, sym42or36, sym70or140or280or240}
		- “sym14or12” refers to 14 symbols of the reference numerology for NCP and 12 symbols for ECP, respectively, and so on
		- “sym70or140or280or240” refers to 70 symbols for 15 kHz SCS, 140 symbols for 30 kHz SCS, 280 symbols for 60 kHz SCS with NCP, and 240 symbols for 60 kHz SCS with ECP, respectively
		- Inform RAN2 of this decision (can be within updated RRC parameter spread sheet that we send to RAN2, not necessarily a separate LS)

Note 1: If measured bandwidth of RSSI overlaps with the active DL BWP, UE performs RSSI measurement with the SCS of the active DL bandwidth part during the measurement duration derived from combination of measDuration-r16 and rmtc-ref-SCS-CP.

Note 2: The UE expects an integer number of symbol(s) with respect to the SCS of the active DL BWP to be configured for RSSI measurement.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Views** |
| Samsung | We don’t have strong preference between the two alternatives, and a little bit preferable to Alt 2, but we didn’t see Alt 2 is not consistent with the previous agreement that “Measurement duration can be no more than 5 ms for each numerology (requires sym70, sym140, sym280/sym240 respectively)”. Alt 2 satisfies “the maximum duration can be no more than 5 ms for each numerology” and the values in the bracket in Alt 2 are just examples of symbol values that achieves 5 ms for each numerology. We believe this interpretation is aligned with Ericsson’s change of wording from “up to” to “no more than”. Based on above, we still slightly prefer Alt 2, and we believe no change to Alt 2 is needed.  |
| ZTE | We prefer Alt2. The agreement we made last meeting “Measurement duration can be no more than 5 ms for each numerology (requires sym70, sym140, sym280/sym240 respectively)” only guarantees the maximum measurement duration for each reference numerology is not longer than 5ms, both Alt1 and Alt2 satisfy that. If we want to achieve 5ms duration, no matter what the SCS of active BWP is, we can choose the combination of “sym70or60” and reference numerology “15kHz”, the actual measurement symbols in active BWP can be derived from that, e.g., 140sym for SCS 30kHz, 280sym for SCS 60kHz NCP. Actually, we don’t understand in Alt1 why we need different reference numerology, the measurement duration is the same for each reference numerology except the 1 symbol length.In all, we prefer Alt2 and the change is not needed. |
| LG Electronics | Agree with FL’s observations on pros and cons of each alternative. Regarding whether Alt 2 can be consistent with the previous agreement or not, we share the view with Samsung and ZTE. Therefore, we also support Alt 2. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
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