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# Introduction

In the Rel-16 work item on “Additional MTC enhancements for LTE” [1], one of the objectives is to specify performance improvements for LTE-MTC coexistence with NR.

|  |
| --- |
| The objective is to specify the following set of improvements for machine-type communications for BL/CE UEs.[...]**Coexistence with NR:*** Specify the following performance improvements for LTE-MTC coexistence with NR [RAN1, RAN2, RAN4]
	+ LTE-MTC resource reservation in the DL frequency domain and the DL/UL time domain with slot-level and symbol-level granularity to avoid resource overlap between NR and LTE-MTC when LTE-MTC is deployed within an NR carrier
	+ LTE-MTC subcarrier puncturing for 1 or 2 LTE-MTC DL subcarriers (excluding CRS) to reduce the number of NR resource blocks that need to be reserved for LTE-MTC when LTE-MTC is deployed within an NR carrier
 |

RAN1 agreements made until RAN1#99 are summarized in [2] and RAN1 agreements made in RAN1#100e are listed below. RAN2 agreements are summarized in [3]. The endorsed L1 configuration parameter list can be found in [4], the initial RAN1 UE feature list in [5], and the endorsed RAN1 CRs in [6] – [16].

|  |
| --- |
| [**R1-2001058**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG1_RL1/TSGR1_100_e/Docs/R1-2001058.zip) Feature lead summary for NR coexistence performance improvements for LTE-MTC Ericsson[**R1-2001186**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG1_RL1/TSGR1_100_e/Docs/R1-2001186.zip) Feature lead summary#2 for NR coexistence performance improvements for LTE-MTC Ericsson[**R1-2001221**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG1_RL1/TSGR1_100_e/Docs/R1-2001221.zip) Feature lead summary#3 for NR coexistence performance improvements for LTE-MTC Ericsson[100e-LTE-eMTC5-NR-coexistence-01] – Johan (Ericsson)Email discussion/approval focusing on the following issues (refering to section 2 of [R1-2001186](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG1_RL1/TSGR1_100_e/Docs/R1-2001186.zip)):* UE-specific resource reservation (including configuration aspects and Type0-CSS aspects)
* Clarification of handling of fully and partially reserved subframes
* Resource reservation in special subframes in TDD
* Definition of subcarrier puncturing

by 2/27; if there is a spec impact, followed by endorsing the corresponding TP by 3/2**Decision:** As per email decision posted on Mar. 4th,AgreementIssue #1: UE-specific resource reservation (including configuration aspects and Type0-CSS aspects)* The 36.211 TPs in Section 2.1 in FL summary in [R1-2001186](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG1_RL1/TSGR1_100_e/Docs/R1-2001186.zip) are endorsed. TP to be included in 36.211 editor’s CR.
* The 36.212 TPs in Section 2.1 in FL summary in [R1-2001186](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG1_RL1/TSGR1_100_e/Docs/R1-2001186.zip) are endorsed with the following change: the words “given by C-RNTI or SPS C-RNTI” are removed in all four places. TP to be included in 36.212 editor’s CR.
* It should be possible to enable the resource reservation feature using UE-specific signaling, separately for DL and UL. Indicate this in the updated L1 parameter list. The details are up to RAN2.

Issue #2: Clarification of handling of fully and partially reserved subframes* The 36.213 TP in Section 2 in FL summary in [R1-2001221](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG1_RL1/TSGR1_100_e/Docs/R1-2001221.zip) is endorsed. TP to be included in 36.213 editor’s CR.
* The 36.211 TP in Section 3 in FL summary in [R1-2001221](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG1_RL1/TSGR1_100_e/Docs/R1-2001221.zip) is endorsed. TP to be included in 36.211 editor’s CR.

Issue #4: Definition of subcarrier puncturing* The 36.211 TP on definition of subcarrier puncturing in Section 2.4 in FL summary in [R1-2001186](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG1_RL1/TSGR1_100_e/Docs/R1-2001186.zip) is endorsed. TP to be included in 36.211 editor’s CR.
 |

This document provides a prioritized list of issues and proposals based on the contributions in [17] – [25].

# Issue #1: LS response to RAN2

RAN2 has sent questions regarding configuration of LTE-MTC/NB-IoT resource reservation to RAN1 in the LS in [18], and several companies have provided discussion and proposed answers in [18][19][20][21][23].

1. Discuss LS response to RAN2 in a joint email discussion for LTE-MTC and NB-IoT.

# Issue #2: Special subframes

RAN1#100e discussed whether and how to support resource reservation in special subframes in TDD without reaching a conclusion. Based on the input in contributions [21][22][23][24][25], the following can be considered.

1. Symbol-level granularity resource reservation is not applied in special subframes.

If above proposal can be agreed, the following 36.211 TP from Huawei’s contribution [21] can be considered.

1. Consider the following 36.211 TP on transmission in special subframes.

|  |
| --- |
| 4.2 Frame structure type 2**<Unchanged parts are omitted>**For frame structure type 2, if higher layer parameter *ce-reserved-resource-DL-time* is configured, *ce-reserved-resource-DL-time-symbol-bitmap1* and *ce-reserved-resource-DL-time-symbol-bitmap2* is not applied to special subframes.4.3 Frame structure type 3**<Unchanged parts are omitted>** |

# Issue #3: DL DMRS

Qualcomm’s contribution [23] has the following 36.211 TP on DL DMRS transmission (see contribution for further discussion).

1. Consider the following 36.211 TP on DL DMRS transmission.

|  |
| --- |
| 6.10.3.2 Mapping to resource elements**<Unchanged parts are omitted>**For BL/CE UEs, if higher layer parameter *ce-reserved-resource-DL-freq* or *ce-reserved-resource-DL-time* is configured, and the Resource reservation field in the DCI is set to 1, then in case of PDSCH transmission associated with C-RNTI or SPS C-RNTI using UE-specific MPDCCH search space,- If and only if all OFDM symbols in a PRB are reserved, the demodulation reference signal transmission in that PRB is dropped.**<Unchanged parts are omitted>**6.10.3A.2 Mapping to resource elements**<Unchanged parts are omitted>**For BL/CE UEs, if higher layer parameter *ce-reserved-resource-DL-freq* or *ce-reserved-resource-DL-time* is configured, then in case of MPDCCH transmission associated with C-RNTI or SPS C-RNTI using UE-specific MPDCCH search space,- If and only if all OFDM symbols in a PRB are reserved, the demodulation reference signal transmission in that PRB is dropped.**<Unchanged parts are omitted>** |

# Issue #4: UL DMRS

Huawei’s contribution [21] has the following 36.211 TP on UL DMRS transmission (see contribution for further discussion).

1. Consider the following 36.211 TP on UL DMRS transmission.

|  |
| --- |
| 5.5.2.1.2 Mapping to physical resources**<Unchanged parts are omitted>**For BL/CE UEs, if higher layer parameter ce-reserved-resource-UL-time is configured, and the Resource reservation field in the DCI is set to 1, then in case of PUSCH transmission associated with C-RNTI or SPS C-RNTI,- In a subframe that is partially reserved, the demodulation reference signal transmission in a SC-FDMA symbol that is reserved is dropped.**<Unchanged parts are omitted>** |

# Issue #5: SRS

ZTE’s contribution [22] proposes that SRS transmission that would fall into a reserved UL resource should be dropped (see contribution for further discussion).

1. If a 36.211 TP on SRS transmission can be provided in time, it can be considered in this meeting, otherwise it can be treated in the next meeting.

# Issue #6: SPS

Ericsson’s contribution [24] proposes to discuss whether and how to update the formulation “associated with C-RNTI or SPS C-RNTI using UE-specific MPDCCH search space” in 36.211 and 36.213 to cover MPDCCH-less SPS PUSCH transmission in the intended way (see contribution for further discussion).

1. If 36.211/36.213 TPs on SPS transmission can be provided in time, they can be considered in this meeting, otherwise they can be treated in the next meeting.
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